

### **APPLICATIONS:**

## APPEAL APPLICATION

## Instructions and Checklist

**Related Code Section:** Refer to the City Planning case determination to identify the Zone Code section for the entitlement and the appeal procedure.

**Purpose:** This application is for the appeal of Department of City Planning determinations authorized by the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC).

#### A. APPELLATE BODY/CASE INFORMATION

#### 1. APPELLATE BODY

|    | <ul> <li>Area Planning Commission</li> <li>Zoning Administrator</li> </ul> | City Planning Commission  | City Council   | Director of Planning |  |  |  |  |
|----|--|---|--|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
|    | Regarding Case Number:   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
|    | Project Address:   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
|    | Final Date to Appeal:  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | APPELLANT  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
|    | Appellant Identity:<br>(check all that apply)                              | <ul><li>Representative</li><li>Applicant</li></ul>  | <ul><li>Property Own</li><li>Operator of the</li></ul> | er<br>ie Use/Site    |  |  |  |  |
|    | Person, other than the A   | I   |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
|    | Person affected by the d   | Person affected by the determination made by the <b>Department of Building and Safety</b> |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
|    | <ul><li>Representative</li><li>Applicant</li></ul>                         | <ul><li>Owner</li><li>Operator</li></ul>  | Aggrieved Pa   | arty                 |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | APPELLANT INFORMATION  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
|    | Appellant's Name:  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
|    | Company/Organization:  |   |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
|    | Mailing Address:   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
|    | City:  | State:  |  | Zip:                 |  |  |  |  |
|    | Telephone: E-mail:   |   |  |                      |  |  |  |  |
|    | <b>a.</b> Is the appeal being filed on $\Box$ Self $\Box$ Other:           | your behalf or on behalf of anothe  | er party, organizatio                                  | n or company?        |  |  |  |  |
|    | <b>b.</b> Is the appeal being filed to                                     | support the original applicant's po   | sition? 🛛 Yes  | □ No                 |  |  |  |  |

#### 4. REPRESENTATIVE/AGENT INFORMATION

|  | Representative/Agent name (if applicable):  |                   |                     |             |                        |      |
|--|---|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------------|------|
|  | Company:  |                   |                     |             |                        |      |
|  | Mailing Address:  |                   |                     |             |                        |      |
|  | City:   | State:            |                     | Z           | ip:                    |      |
|  | Telephone:  | E-n               | nail:               |             |                        |      |
| 5.   | . JUSTIFICATION/REASON FOR APPEA  | L                 |                     |             |                        |      |
|  | a. Is the entire decision, or only parts  | of it being appea | led?                | Entire      | Part                   |      |
|  | <b>b.</b> Are specific conditions of approval   | being appealed?   |                     | □ Yes       | 🗆 No                   |      |
|  | If Yes, list the condition number(s) here   | :                 |                     |             |                        |      |
| Attach a separate sheet providing your reasons for the appeal. Your reason must state: |   |                   |                     |             |                        |      |
|  | The reason for the appeal   | □ How you are     | e aggrieved by the  | decision    |                        |      |
|  | Specifically the points at issue  | Why you be        | lieve the decision- | maker erred | or abused their discre | tion |
| 6.   | APPLICANT'S AFFIDAVIT<br>I certify that the statements contained in this application are complete and true: |                   |                     |             |                        |      |
|  | Appellant Signature:  | doull'            |                     | Date: 1     | 2/6/2021               |      |
|  |   |                   |                     |             |                        |      |

## **GENERAL APPEAL FILING REQUIREMENTS**

B. ALL CASES REQUIRE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS - SEE THE ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR SPECIFIC CASE TYPES

#### 1. Appeal Documents

- a. Three (3) sets The following documents are required for <u>each</u> appeal filed (1 original and 2 duplicates) Each case being appealed is required to provide three (3) sets of the listed documents.
  - Appeal Application (form CP-7769)
  - □ Justification/Reason for Appeal
  - Copies of Original Determination Letter

#### b. Electronic Copy

Provide an electronic copy of your appeal documents on a flash drive (planning staff will upload materials during filing and return the flash drive to you) or a CD (which will remain in the file). The following items must be saved as <u>individual PDFs</u> and labeled accordingly (e.g. "Appeal Form.pdf", "Justification/Reason Statement.pdf", or "Original Determination Letter.pdf" etc.). No file should exceed 9.8 MB in size.

#### c. Appeal Fee

- □ Original Applicant A fee equal to 85% of the original application fee, provide a copy of the original application receipt(s) to calculate the fee per LAMC Section 19.01B 1.
- Aggrieved Party The fee charged shall be in accordance with the LAMC Section 19.01B 1.

#### d. Notice Requirement

- □ Mailing List All appeals require noticing per the applicable LAMC section(s). Original Applicants must provide noticing per the LAMC
- □ Mailing Fee The appeal notice mailing fee is paid by the <u>project applicant</u>, payment is made to the City Planning's mailing contractor (BTC), a copy of the receipt must be submitted as proof of payment.

### SPECIFIC CASE TYPES - APPEAL FILING INFORMATION

#### C. DENSITY BONUS / TRANSIT ORIENTED COMMUNITES (TOC)

#### 1. Density Bonus/TOC

Appeal procedures for Density Bonus/TOC per LAMC Section 12.22.A 25 (g) f.

NOTE:

- Density Bonus/TOC cases, <u>only</u> the *on menu or additional incentives* items can be appealed.
- Appeals of Density Bonus/TOC cases can only be filed by adjacent owners or tenants (must have documentation), and always <u>only</u> appealable to the Citywide Planning Commission.

□ Provide documentation to confirm adjacent owner or tenant status, i.e., a lease agreement, rent receipt, utility bill, property tax bill, ZIMAS, drivers license, bill statement etc.

#### D. WAIVER OF DEDICATION AND OR IMPROVEMENT

Appeal procedure for Waiver of Dedication or Improvement per LAMC Section 12.37 I.

NOTE:

- Waivers for By-Right Projects, can <u>only</u> be appealed by the owner.
- When a Waiver is on appeal and is part of a master land use application request or subdivider's statement for a project, the applicant may appeal pursuant to the procedures that governs the entitlement.

#### E. TENTATIVE TRACT/VESTING

1. Tentative Tract/Vesting - Appeal procedure for Tentative Tract / Vesting application per LAMC Section 17.54 A.

NOTE: Appeals to the City Council from a determination on a Tentative Tract (TT or VTT) by the Area or City Planning Commission must be filed within 10 days of the date of the written determination of said Commission.

Provide a copy of the written determination letter from Commission.

#### F. BUILDING AND SAFETY DETERMINATION

1. Appeal of the <u>Department of Building and Safety</u> determination, per LAMC 12.26 K 1, an appellant is considered the Original Applicant and must provide noticing and pay mailing fees.

#### a. Appeal Fee

Original Applicant - The fee charged shall be in accordance with LAMC Section 19.01B 2, as stated in the Building and Safety determination letter, plus all surcharges. (the fee specified in Table 4-A, Section 98.0403.2 of the City of Los Angeles Building Code)

#### b. Notice Requirement

- □ Mailing Fee The applicant must pay mailing fees to City Planning's mailing contractor (BTC) and submit a copy of receipt as proof of payment.
- 2. Appeal of the <u>Director of City Planning</u> determination per LAMC Section 12.26 K 6, an applicant or any other aggrieved person may file an appeal, and is appealable to the Area Planning Commission or Citywide Planning Commission as noted in the determination.

#### a. Appeal Fee

□ Original Applicant - The fee charged shall be in accordance with the LAMC Section 19.01 B 1 a.

#### b. Notice Requirement

- □ Mailing List The appeal notification requirements per LAMC Section 12.26 K 7 apply.
- □ Mailing Fees The appeal notice mailing fee is made to City Planning's mailing contractor (BTC), a copy of receipt must be submitted as proof of payment.

#### G. NUISANCE ABATEMENT

1. Nuisance Abatement - Appeal procedure for Nuisance Abatement per LAMC Section 12.27.1 C 4

#### NOTE:

- Nuisance Abatement is only appealable to the City Council.

#### a. Appeal Fee

Aggrieved Party the fee charged shall be in accordance with the LAMC Section 19.01 B 1.

#### 2. Plan Approval/Compliance Review

Appeal procedure for Nuisance Abatement Plan Approval/Compliance Review per LAMC Section 12.27.1 C 4.

#### a. Appeal Fee

- Compliance Review The fee charged shall be in accordance with the LAMC Section 19.01 B.
- □ Modification The fee shall be in accordance with the LAMC Section 19.01 B.

## NOTES

A Certified Neighborhood Council (CNC) or a person identified as a member of a CNC or as representing the CNC may <u>not</u> file an appeal on behalf of the Neighborhood Council; persons affiliated with a CNC may only file as an <u>individual on behalf of self</u>.

**Please note** that the appellate body must act on your appeal within a time period specified in the Section(s) of the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) pertaining to the type of appeal being filed. The Department of City Planning will make its best efforts to have appeals scheduled prior to the appellate body's last day to act in order to provide due process to the appellant. If the appellate body is unable to come to a consensus or is unable to hear and consider the appeal prior to the last day to act, the appeal is automatically deemed denied, and the original decision will stand. The last day to act as defined in the LAMC may only be extended if formally agreed upon by the applicant.

| This Section for City Planning Staff Use Only |                                       |  |       |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Base Fee:                                     | Reviewed & Accepted by                | (DSC Planner):   | Date: |  |  |  |  |
| Receipt No:                                   | Deemed Complete by (Project Planner): |  | Date: |  |  |  |  |
| Determination authority notified              | 🛛 Origina                             | Original receipt and BTC receipt (if original applicant) |       |  |  |  |  |

KEVIN T. CARMICHAEL CHRISTINA M. CARO JAVIER J. CASTRO THOMAS A. ENSLOW KELILAH D. FEDERMAN ANDREW J. GRAF TANYA A. GULESSERIAN KENDRA D. HARTMANN\* DARIEN K. KEY RACHAEL E. KOSS AIDAN P. MARSHALL TARA C. RENGIFO

Of Counsel MARC D. JOSEPH DANIEL L. CARDOZO

\*Not admitted in California. Licensed in Colorado.

## ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

#### ATTORNEYS AT LAW

601 GATEWAY BOULEVARD, SUITE 1000 SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080-7037

> TEL: (650) 589-1660 FAX: (650) 589-5062 amarshall@adamsbroadwell.com

SACRAMENTO OFFICE

520 CAPITOL MALL, SUITE 350 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-4721 TEL: (916) 444-6201 FAX: (916) 444-6209

December 6, 2021

## VIA ONLINE SUBMISSION

Los Angeles City Council Online Portal: <u>https://plncts.lacity.org/oas</u>

## VIA EMAIL

Jane Choi, Principal City Planner Email: jane.choi@lacity.org Valentina Knox-Jones, City Planner Email: valentina.knox.jones@lacity.org

Jason Hernandez, City Planning Associate Email: jason.hernandez@lacity.org

## Re: <u>Appeal of Central Area Planning Commission Approvals for the</u> <u>HPMC Building Project (Case No. APCC-2020-1764-SPESPP-</u> <u>SPR, Environmental Case No. ENV-2015-310-MND-REC1)</u>

Dear City Council, Ms. Choi, Ms. Knox-Jones, and Mr. Hernandez:

On behalf of the Coalition for Responsible Equitable Economic Development Los Angeles ("CREED LA"), we submit this appeal of the Central Area Planning Commission's ("Commission") approval of the HPMC Building Project (Case No. APCC-2020-1764-SPESPP-SPR, Environmental Case No. ENV-2015-310-MND-REC1) ("Project"), including, approval of a Project Permit Compliance pursuant to LAMC Section 11.5.7(C), approval of Specific Plan Exceptions pursuant to LAMC Section 11.5.7(F), approval of a Site Plan Review pursuant to LAMC Section 16.05, adopting conditions of approval and related findings, and adopting the HPMC Building Project Addendum to the 2015 Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration ("MND") for the original HPMC Project, including finding that no

L5740-004acp

subsequent EIR or negative declaration is required for the Project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA").<sup>1</sup>

On October 26, 2021, the Commission conducted a hearing on the Project. On November 23, 2021, the Commission issued a Letter of Determination ("LOD") approving the Project.<sup>2</sup> The LOD states that the Commission found that no subsequent EIR or negative declaration is required, approved a Project Permit Compliance, approved Specific Plan Exceptions, approved a Site Plan Review, adopted conditions of approval, and adopted amended findings. The LOD indicates that the appeal period for the determination ends on December 8, 2021.

This appeal is timely filed in compliance with the Los Angeles Municipal Code ("LAMC"). This letter supplements CREED LA's Appeal Application, filed concurrently herewith, and is accompanied by the required appeal fee. This appeal is based on each of the reasons set forth herein and in the attached and referenced exhibits.

CREED LA is an unincorporated association of individuals and labor organizations that may be adversely affected by the potential public and worker health and safety hazards, and the environmental and public service impacts of the Project. The coalition includes the Sheet Metal Workers Local 105, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 11, Southern California Pipe Trades District Council 16, and District Council of Iron Workers of the State of California, along with their members, their families, and other individuals who live and work in the City of Los Angeles. Individual members of CREED LA and its member organizations include John Ferruccio, Jorge L. Aceves, and John P. Bustos. These individuals live, work, recreate, and raise their families in the City of Los Angeles and surrounding communities. Accordingly, they would be directly affected by the Project's environmental and health and safety impacts. Individual members may also work on the Project itself. They will be first in line to be exposed to any health and safety hazards that exist onsite.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cal. Pub. Res. Code §§ 21000 et seq.; 14 Cal. Code Regs. §§ 15000 et seq.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  A copy of the LOD is attached to this Appeal.

L5740-004acp

## I. REASONS FOR APPEAL

CREED LA hereby appeals all actions taken by the Central Area Planning Commission with regard to the Project on October 26, 2021 and described in the LOD dated November 23, 2021. The reasons for this appeal are set forth in the attached comments and exhibits, including CREED LA's October 18, 2021 comment letter to the Planning Commission, as well as the comments of air quality expert James Clark, Ph.D. We incorporate by reference the attached comments and exhibits, which are in the City's record of proceedings for the Project.

As explained herein and in the attached comments, the Commission abused its discretion and failed to proceed in the manner required by law by approving the Project in reliance on a deficient CEQA document and without substantial evidence to support the approval findings.<sup>3</sup>

## A. The City Cannot Rely on an Addendum – a Subsequent EIR or Mitigated Negative Declaration is required

As discussed in our prior comments, a Subsequent EIR or Mitigated Negative Declaration ("MND") is required to analyze the Project's environmental impacts. Case law provides that when a project's impacts were previously reviewed in an MND, adoption of an addendum is not permitted if substantial evidence shows changes to the project, changes in circumstances, or new information might result in a significant impact.<sup>4</sup>

Here, the City's decision to prepare an addendum, rather than a subsequent or supplemental EIR or MND, for the Project is not supported by substantial evidence. The Addendum does not simply provide "some changes or additions" to the EIR; rather, it includes analysis for a 95,995 square foot medical office project. This is an entirely new use that was not analyzed in the original IS/MND. As a result of this new use, our comments show that the Project may have new or more severe significant impacts than previously analyzed in the IS/MND, including impacts on noise, air quality, greenhouse gas, and public health. Therefore, the City Council

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Friends of College of San Mateo Gardens v. San Mateo County Community College Dist. ("San Mateo Gardens II") (2017) 11 Cal.App.5th 596, 606-607. L5740-004acp



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Code Civ. Proc § 1094.5(b); *Topanga Assn. for a Scenic Community v. County of Los Angeles* (1974) 11 Cal.3d 506, 515.

must reverse the Commission's decision and find that a subsequent or supplemental EIR or, at a minimum, a subsequent MND is required for the Project.

## B. The Commission's Approval of a Project Permit Compliance for the Project Was Contrary to Law and Unsupported by the Record

LAMC Sec. 11.5.7(C)(2) requires certain findings to be made before a Project Permit Compliance may be granted:

The Director shall grant a Project Permit Compliance upon written findings that the project satisfies each of the following requirements:

- a. That the project substantially complies with the applicable regulations, findings, standards and provisions of the specific plan; and
- b. That the project incorporates mitigation measures, monitoring measures when necessary, or alternatives identified in the environmental review which would mitigate the negative environmental effects of the project, to the extent physically feasible.

However, the Commission lacked substantial evidence to support the findings required by LAMC Sec. 11.5.7(C)(2), which requires that environmental impacts be mitigated to the extent physically feasible. Our comments show that the Project's impacts on noise, air quality, greenhouse gas, and public health were not adequately disclosed and mitigated by the Addendum. The City must vacate the Commission's approval of the Project Permit Compliance and require that a subsequent EIR or MND be prepared for the Project which includes adequate analysis and all feasible mitigation to reduce the Project's significant impacts to the fullest extent feasible.

## C. The Commission's Approval of the Project's Specific Plan Exceptions Was Contrary to Law and Unsupported by the Record

The Commission erroneously approved Specific Plan exceptions from Vermont/Western Transit Oriented District Station Neighborhood Area Plan ("SNAP") Section 9.E.3 (Project Parking Requirements – Commercial), and SNAP Section 9.G (Pedestrian Throughways) without substantial evidence to support the approval findings.

Certain findings must be made by the City in order to approve Specific Plan exceptions. LAMC Sec. 11.5.7(F)(2) provides:

The Area Planning Commission may permit an exception from a specific plan if it makes all the following findings:

- a. That the strict application of the regulations of the specific plan to the subject property would result in practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships inconsistent with the general purpose and intent of the specific plan;
- b. That there are exceptional circumstances or conditions applicable to the subject property involved or to the intended use or development of the subject property that do not apply generally to other property in the specific plan area;
- c. That an exception from the specific plan is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right or use generally possessed by other property within the specific plan area in the same zone and vicinity but which, because of special circumstances and practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships is denied to the property in question;
- d. That the **granting of an exception will not be detrimental to the public welfare** or injurious to the property or improvements adjacent to or in the vicinity of the subject property; and
- e. That the granting of an exception will be consistent with the principles, intent and goals of the specific plan and any applicable element of the general plan.

The Commission lacked substantial evidence to support Findings (d) and (e), as the City's Addendum fails to adequately disclose or mitigate impacts on noise, air quality, greenhouse gas, and public health. Until the City fully discloses and mitigates the Project's environmental impacts, as identified in our comments, the City cannot approve the Project's Specific Plan Exceptions.

## D. The Commission's Approval of the Project's Site Plan Review Was Contrary to Law and Unsupported by the Record

The Commission erroneously approved a Site Plan Review for the Project pursuant to LAMC Section 16.05 without substantial evidence to support the

L5740-004acp

required findings. This approval requires making certain environmental findings. LAMC Sec. 16.05(A) provides that:

The purposes of site plan review are to promote orderly development, evaluate and mitigate significant environmental impacts, and promote public safety and the general welfare by ensuring that development projects are properly related to their sites, surrounding properties, traffic circulation, sewers, other infrastructure and environmental setting; and to control or mitigate the development of projects which are likely to have a significant adverse effect on the environment as identified in the City's environmental review process, or on surrounding properties by reason of inadequate site planning or improvements. [emphasis added]

LAMC Sec. 16.05(E) further provides that:

- a. In granting site plan approval, the Director may condition and/or modify the project, or select an alternative project, as he or she deems necessary to implement the general or specific plan and to mitigate significant adverse effects of the development project on the environment and surrounding areas.
- b. The Director shall not approve or conditionally approve a site plan review for a development project unless an appropriate environmental review clearance has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of CEQA. [emphasis added]

Here, the purposes of site plan review set forth by LAMC Sec. 16.05(A) have not been fulfilled, as the Addendum failed to adequately evaluate and mitigate significant environmental impacts. Further, the appropriate environmental review clearance has not been prepared in accordance with the requirements of CEQA, in violation of LAMC Sec. 16.05(E). As explained in our comments, the appropriate environmental clearance is a subsequent or supplemental EIR or MND, not an addendum. Further, the analysis conducted in the addendum contained flaws in violation of CEQA, as shown in our comments. The findings adopted by the Commission in support of the Project's Site Plan Review approval were not

supported by substantial evidence, and were therefore contrary to law.<sup>5</sup> The City Council must vacate the Commission's approval of the Project's site plan review.

## II. CONCLUSION

CREED LA respectfully requests that the City set a hearing on this appeal, and that the City Council uphold this appeal and vacate the Central Area Planning Commission's approval of the Project.

Sincerely,

Anter Model

Aidan P. Marshall

APM:acp

Attachment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Code Civ. Proc § 1094.5(b); *Topanga Assn. for a Scenic Community v. County of Los Angeles* (1974) 11 Cal.3d 506, 515. L5740-004acp



# ATTACHMENT

ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO

KEVIN T. CARMICHAEL CHRISTINA M. CARO JAVIER J. CASTRO THOMAS A. ENSLOW KELILAH D. FEDERMAN ANDREW J. GRAF TANYA A. GULESSERIAN KENDRA D. HARTMANN\* DARIEN K. KEY RACHAEL E. KOSS AIDAN P. MARSHALL TARA C. MESSING

Of Counsel MARC D. JOSEPH DANIEL L. CARDOZO

\*Not admitted in California Licensed in Colorado

## VIA EMAIL

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

### ATTORNEYS AT LAW

601 GATEWAY BOULEVARD, SUITE 1000 SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080-7037

> TEL: (650) 589-1660 FAX: (650) 589-5062 amarshall@adamsbroadwell.com

> > October 18, 2021

SACRAMENTO OFFICE

520 CAPITOL MALL, SUITE 350 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-4721 TEL: (916) 444-6201 FAX: (916) 444-6209

Commission President Ilissa Gold and Commission Members Central Area Planning Commission C/O Etta Armstrong, Commission Executive Assistant 200 North Spring Street, Room 272, Los Angeles, 90012 Email: apccentral@lacity.org

Jason Hernandez, City Planning Associate Email: jason.hernandez@lacity.org

## Re: Comments on the HPMC Building Project (Case No. APCC-2020-1764-SPESPP-SPR, Environmental Case No. ENV-2015-310-MND-REC1)

Dear Honorable Members of the Central Area Planning Commission, Mr. Hernandez:

We write on behalf of Coalition for Responsible Equitable Economic Development Los Angeles ("CREED LA") to provide preliminary comments on the HPMC Building Project ("Revised Project"), including the Addendum ("Addendum") to the October 2015 Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration ("IS/MND") prepared by the City of Los Angeles ("City") for the Revised Project. A different version of the Project, the Virgil Avenue Parking Structure Project, was originally approved by the City in 2015 in reliance on the October 2015 IS/MND ("Approved Project"). These comments are submitted in accordance with the Central Area Planning Commission's ("Commission") Rules and Operating Procedures Rule 4.3(a). CREED LA reserves the right to submit additional comments and evidence

at later hearings and proceedings on this Project, including but not limited to responding to the Commission Staff Report for its upcoming hearing on the Project.<sup>1</sup>

The Project site is located at 1318 N. Lyman Place, 4470,4472,4474, 4480,4480-1/2, 4482, 4484,4490,4494 W. De Longpre Avenue and 1321 and 1323 N Virgil Avenue in the City of Los Angeles, California. The Assessor's Parcel Numbers are 5542-012-028, -029, -034, -035, and -036. The Approved Project involved the demolition of two 1-story Hollywood Presbyterian Medical Center ("HPMC") maintenance buildings, an adjacent single-family home, and surface parking lots, and construction of a parking structure for HPMC patients, visitors, and employees.<sup>2</sup> As evaluated in the IS/MND, the structure would contain 654 automobile parking spaces in 3 subterranean and 4 aboveground parking levels, with an additional level of parking on the roof deck.<sup>3</sup> The Approved Project was constructed in 2018, and as built, contains 562 automobile parking spaces in 7 levels, consisting of 2 subterranean parking levels and 5 aboveground levels, with no roof deck.<sup>4</sup>

The subject of the Addendum is the Revised Project's addition of three levels of medical office space, containing 95,995 square feet of additional floor area, on top of the parking structure.<sup>5</sup> The Revised Project requires the following approvals from the City: (1) a Project Permit Compliance for the addition of three levels of medical office space, containing 95,995 square feet of floor area, on top of the parking structure; (2) a Specific Plan Exception from Section 9.E.3 to allow for zero additional vehicle parking spaces for the Revised Project; (3) a Specific Plan Exception from Section 9.G to allow for the existing pedestrian throughway to satisfy the Specific Plan's requirement in lieu of an additional pedestrian throughway; and (4) a Site Plan Review for a development project that creates 95,995 square feet of nonresidential floor area.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Gov. Code § 65009(b); PRC § 21177(a); *Bakersfield Citizens for Local Control v. Bakersfield ("Bakersfield"*) (2004) 124 Cal. App. 4th 1184, 1199-1203; *see Galante Vineyards v. Monterey Water Dist.* (1997) 60 Cal. App. 4th 1109, 1121.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> October 2015, Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration for Virgil Avenue Parking Structure Project, pg. MND-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Addendum, pg. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Addendum, pg. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Addendum, pg. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Addendum, pg. 24.

L5740-003acp

We reviewed the Addendum with the assistance of air quality and hazardous resources expert James J. Clark, Ph.D.<sup>7</sup> The City must separately respond to his technical comments.

Our initial review of the Project revealed several flaws in the Addendum's analyses. Specifically, the Revised Project involves substantial changes to the Approved Project which were not analyzed in the original IS/MND, and require preparation of an environmental impact report ("EIR") or, at a minimum, a new IS/MND. The Addendum also fails to adequately disclose, analyze, and mitigate the Revised Project's new and more severe noise, air quality, greenhouse gas, and public health impacts. Therefore, the City lacks substantial evidence to support its decision that an Addendum is appropriate, rather than a subsequent or supplemental EIR or MND.

## I. STATEMENT OF INTEREST

CREED LA is an unincorporated association of individuals and labor organizations that may be adversely affected by the potential public and worker health and safety hazards, and the environmental impacts of the Project. The coalition includes the Sheet Metal Workers Local 105, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 11, Southern California Pipe Trades District Council 16, and District Council of Iron Workers of the State of California, along with their members, their families, and other individuals who live and work in the City of Los Angeles.

Individual members of CREED LA and its member organizations include John Ferruccio, Jorge L. Aceves, and John P. Bustos. These individuals live, work, recreate, and raise their families in the City of Los Angeles and surrounding communities. Accordingly, they would be directly affected by the Project's environmental and health and safety impacts. Individual members may also work on the Project itself. They will be first in line to be exposed to any health and safety hazards that exist onsite.

CREED LA seeks to ensure a sustainable construction industry over the longterm by supporting projects that have positive impacts for the community, and which minimize adverse environmental and public health impacts. CREED LA has an interest in enforcing environmental laws that encourage sustainable

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  Dr. Clark's technical comments and curricula vitae are attached hereto as Exhibit A. <code>L5740-003acp</code>



development and ensure a safe working environment for its members. Environmentally detrimental projects can jeopardize future jobs by making it more difficult and more expensive for business and industry to expand in the region, and by making the area less desirable for new businesses and new residents. Indeed, continued environmental degradation can, and has, caused construction moratoriums and other restrictions on growth that, in turn, reduce future employment opportunities.

## II. THE CITY CANNOT RELY ON THE ADDENDUM FOR PROJECT APPROVAL

CEQA has two basic purposes, neither of which are satisfied by the Addendum. First, CEQA is designed to inform decision makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental impacts of a project before harm is done to the environment.<sup>8</sup> The EIR is the "heart" of this requirement.<sup>9</sup> The EIR has been described as "an environmental 'alarm bell' whose purpose it is to alert the public and its responsible officials to environmental changes before they have reached ecological points of no return."<sup>10</sup>

To fulfill this function, the discussion of impacts in an EIR must be detailed, complete, and "reflect a good faith effort at full disclosure."<sup>11</sup> An adequate EIR must contain facts and analysis, not just an agency's conclusions.<sup>12</sup> CEQA requires an EIR to disclose all potential direct and indirect, significant environmental impacts of a project.<sup>13</sup>

Second, CEQA directs public agencies to avoid or reduce environmental damage when possible by requiring imposition of mitigation measures and by requiring the consideration of environmentally superior alternatives.<sup>14</sup> If an EIR identifies potentially significant impacts, it must then propose and evaluate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 14 Cal. Code Regs. § 15002(a)(1) ("CEQA Guidelines"); *Berkeley Keep Jets Over the Bay v. Bd. of Port Comm'rs.* (2001) 91 Cal.App.4th 1344, 1354 ("*Berkeley Jets*"); *County of Inyo v. Yorty* (1973) 32 Cal.App.3d 795, 810.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> No Oil, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles (1974) 13 Cal.3d 68, 84.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> County of Inyo v. Yorty (1973) 32 Cal.App.3d 795, 810.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> CEQA Guidelines § 15151; San Joaquin Raptor/Wildlife Rescue Center v. County of Stanislaus (1994) 27 Cal.App.4th 713, 721-722.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See Citizens of Goleta Valley v. Board of Supervisors (1990) 52 Cal.3d 553, 568.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Pub. Resources Code § 21100(b)(1); CEQA Guidelines § 15126.2(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> CEQA Guidelines § 15002(a)(2) and (3); *Berkeley Jets*, 91 Cal.App.4th at 1354; *Laurel Heights Improvement Ass'n v. Regents of the University of Cal.* (1998) 47 Cal.3d 376, 400. L5740-003acp

mitigation measures to minimize these impacts.<sup>15</sup> CEQA imposes an affirmative obligation on agencies to avoid or reduce environmental harm by adopting feasible project alternatives or mitigation measures.<sup>16</sup> Without an adequate analysis and description of feasible mitigation measures, it would be impossible for agencies relying upon the EIR to meet this obligation.

Under CEQA, an EIR must not only discuss measures to avoid or minimize adverse impacts, but must ensure that mitigation conditions are fully enforceable through permit conditions, agreements or other legally binding instruments.<sup>17</sup> A CEQA lead agency is precluded from making the required CEQA findings unless the record shows that all uncertainties regarding the mitigation of impacts have been resolved; an agency may not rely on mitigation measures of uncertain efficacy or feasibility.<sup>18</sup> This approach helps "insure the integrity of the process of decision by precluding stubborn problems or serious criticism from being swept under the rug."<sup>19</sup>

Following preliminary review of a project to determine whether an activity is subject to CEQA, a lead agency is required to prepare an initial study to determine whether to prepare an EIR or negative declaration, identify whether a program EIR, tiering, or other appropriate process can be used for analysis of the project's environmental effects, or determine whether a previously prepared EIR could be used with the project, among other purposes.<sup>20</sup> CEQA requires an agency to analyze the potential environmental impacts of its proposed actions in an EIR except in certain limited circumstances.<sup>21</sup> A negative declaration may be prepared instead of an EIR when, after preparing an initial study, a lead agency determines that a project "would not have a significant effect on the environment."<sup>22</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Pub. Resources Code §§ 21002.1(a), 21100(b)(3).

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>$  Id., §§ 21002-21002.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> CEQA Guidelines § 15126.4(a)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Kings County Farm Bur. v. County of Hanford (1990) 221 Cal.App.3d 692, 727-28 (a groundwater purchase agreement found to be inadequate mitigation because there was no record evidence that replacement water was available).

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Concerned Citizens of Costa Mesa, Inc. v. 32nd Dist. Agricultural Assn. (1986) 42 Cal.3d 929, 935.
 <sup>20</sup> CEQA Guidelines §§ 15060, 15063(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See, e.g., Pub. Resources Code § 21100.

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$  Quail Botanical Gardens v. City of Encinitas (1994) 29 Cal.App.4th 1597; Pub. Resources Code § 21080(c).

L5740-003acp

When an environmental document has already been prepared for a project, CEQA requires the lead agency to conduct subsequent or supplemental environmental review when one or more of the following events occur:

- (a) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the environmental impact report;
- (b) Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is being undertaken which will require major revisions in the environmental impact report; or
- (c) New information, which was not known and could not have been known at the time the environmental impact report was certified as complete, becomes available.<sup>23</sup>

The CEQA Guidelines explain that the lead agency must determine, on the basis of substantial evidence in light of the whole record, if one or more of the following events occur:

- (1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR due to the involvement of new significant effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified effects;
- (2) Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or
- (3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the negative declaration was adopted, shows any of the following:
  - (A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Pub. Resources Code § 21166. L5740-003acp

- (B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR;
- (C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or
- (D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.<sup>24</sup>

Only where *none* of the conditions described above calling for preparation of a subsequent or supplemental EIR have occurred may the lead agency consider preparing a subsequent negative declaration, an addendum, or no further documentation.<sup>25</sup>

The California Supreme Court clarified the standard of review applicable to subsequent approvals for activities that have been analyzed in a previous MND instead of an EIR in *Friends of the College of San Mateo Gardens v. San Mateo County Community College District ("San Mateo Gardens 1").*<sup>26</sup> The fair argument standard of review was found to apply when determining whether an addendum was adequate or whether subsequent environmental review, either a subsequent MND or subsequent EIR, was required.<sup>27</sup> The Court found: when a project is initially approved by negative declaration, a "major revision" to the initial negative declaration will necessarily be required if the proposed modification may produce a significant environmental effect that had not previously been studied. Indeed, if the project modification introduces previously unstudied and potentially significant environmental effects that cannot be avoided or mitigated through further revisions to the project plans, then the appropriate environmental document would no longer be a negative declaration at all, but an EIR.<sup>28</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> CEQA Guidelines § 15162(a)(1)-(3).

 $<sup>^{25}</sup>$  CEQA Guidelines § 15162(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> (2016) 1 Ca1.5th 937.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> San Mateo Gardens I, supra, 1 Ca1.5th at 959.

 $<sup>^{28}</sup>$  Id. at 958.

L5740-003acp

On remand, the Court of Appeal elaborated and found the fair argument standard must be applied to determine whether a subsequent EIR was required after preparation of an MND. The Court of Appeal stated this was the only "reasonable interpretation" of *San Mateo Gardens I*:

[J]udicial review must reflect the exacting standard that an agency must apply when changes are made to a project that has been approved via a negative declaration, as opposed to the deferential standard that applies when the project was originally approved by an EIR. [The fair argument standard of review] is less deferential because a negative declaration requires a major revision—i.e., a subsequent EIR or mitigated negative declaration whenever there is substantial evidence to support a fair argument that proposed changes 'might have a significant environmental impact not previously considered in connection with the project as originally approved.<sup>29</sup>

Thus, when a project's impacts were previously reviewed in an MND, if substantial evidence shows changes to the project, changes in circumstances, or new information might result in a significant impact, adoption of an addendum is not permitted under CEQA.<sup>30</sup>

Here, the City's decision to prepare an addendum, rather than a subsequent or supplemental EIR or MND, for the Project is not supported by substantial evidence. The Addendum does not simply provide "some changes or additions" to the EIR; rather, it includes analysis for a 95,995 square foot medical office project. This is an entirely new use that was not analyzed in the original IS/MND. Accordingly, the Project may have new or more severe significant impacts than previously analyzed in the IS/MND. And as described below, the Addendum's site-specific analysis conducted for the Project is also flawed in several ways. Therefore, the City may not rely on the Addendum for Project approval, and must provide detailed analysis of the Project's impacts in an EIR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Friends of College of San Mateo Gardens v. San Mateo County Community College Dist. ("San Mateo Gardens II") (2017) 11 Cal.App.5th 596, 606-608, citations omitted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> *Id.* at 606-607. L5740-003acp

## A. Changes to the Project May Result in Significant Impacts that the Addendum Fails to Disclose and Mitigate.

The Revised Project involves the construction and operation of three new levels of medical office space, containing 95,995 square feet of additional floor area, on top of the Approved Project's original parking structure.<sup>31</sup> The use of the Project site as an active medical facility is an entirely new and different purpose and use than the Approved Project's current use as a parking lot. This proposed use was not analyzed in the original IS/MND, and therefore requires a new CEQA document.<sup>32</sup> The Revised Project's proposed use as a medical facility will also result in new and more severe impacts than analyzed in the IS/MND which were not known and could not have been known at the time the original project site.<sup>33</sup> For these reasons, and as discussed herein, the City must prepare a subsequent or supplemental EIR, or at minimum an MND, for the Revised Project.

## 1. Noise

## a. <u>The Addendum Identifies New, Significant Construction Noise</u> <u>Impacts Resulting from the Revised Project</u>

The Addendum considers whether the Revised Project's construction activities would generate "a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies."<sup>34</sup> The significance threshold the City uses is from the LA CEQA Thresholds Guide, which provides that "construction activities that would last more than 10 days in a 3-month period and increase ambient exterior noise levels by 5 dB(A) or more at a noise-sensitive use would normally result in a significant impact."<sup>35</sup> The Addendum finds that the Revised Project's "construction noise levels would result in a maximum increase of 0.9 dBA above the significance threshold without implementation of regulatory compliance measures."<sup>36</sup> Thus, these

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Addendum, pg. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> 14 CCR § 15162(a)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> 14 CCR § 15153(a)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Addendum, pg. 116.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> IS/MND, pg. 4.0-66; LA CEQA Thresholds Guide, pg. I.1-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Addendum, pg. 118.

L5740-003acp

construction activities would cause significant noise impacts before mitigation.<sup>37</sup> The Addendum claims to mitigate these impacts through use of "mufflers, shields, sound barriers, and/or other noise reduction devices or techniques."38

The Court of Appeal in San Mateo Gardens II found that the need for mitigation measures for the subsequent project demonstrates the potential for adverse impacts, and that more than minor technical revisions are required:

CEQA Guidelines section 15162 does not clearly specify when the agency must prepare a subsequent negative declaration instead of issuing an addendum or providing no further documentation. But as we discuss further below, a subsequent mitigated negative declaration is at least appropriate where a subsequent EIR would otherwise be required under CEQA Guidelines section 15162 but the project's new significant environmental effects may be avoided through mitigation measures.<sup>39</sup>

This holding follows the line of cases finding that the adequacy of mitigation measures should be analyzed in an environmental review document.<sup>40</sup>

Here, the Revised Project's construction noise impacts are new and previously unstudied, as the construction of a 95,995 square foot medical office building was not analyzed in the IS/MND. And the Addendum acknowledges these impacts are significant before mitigation.<sup>41</sup> Since the Addendum identifies new and significant impacts, the City must prepare, at minimum, a subsequent mitigated negative declaration. If a subsequent mitigated negative declaration is prepared, it must be given the same notice and public review as is required for an initial negative declaration.<sup>42</sup> But as will be demonstrated elsewhere in these comments, the City will have to prepare an EIR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Addendum, pg. 116.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Addendum, pg. 119.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> San Mateo Gardens II at 606, emphasis added.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Salmon Protection & Watershed Network v. County of Marin (2004) 125 Cal.App.4th 1098, 1102; Lewis v. Seventeenth Dist. Agricultural Assn. (1985) 165 Cal.App.3d 823, 830; Azusa Land Reclamation Co. v. Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster (1997) 52 Cal.App.4th 1165, 1199-1200) <sup>41</sup> The IS/MND determined that noise impacts from construction of the Approved Project would be less than significant. Not only did the IS/MND not study the construction noise impacts of the Revised Project, but it does not reach the same significance determination as the Addendum. <sup>42</sup> 14 Cal. Code Regs. § 15162(d).

## b. The Addendum Fails to Disclose the Full Extent of the Revised Project's Noise Impacts

The Addendum states that the noise significance threshold is exceeded if the Project's operations or construction would "exceed the ambient noise level by 5 dB on the premises of the adjacent properties."<sup>43</sup> Thus, the higher the ambient noise levels, the harder it is to exceed the noise significance threshold. Bare reliance on this threshold results in both a factually and legally inadequate analysis of the Project's noise impacts.

First, the Addendum relies on misleadingly high ambient noise measurements, thus underestimating the severity of the Revised Project's actual noise impacts. The reason these ambient noise measurements are misleading is that they were collected on February 13, 2020, after the Approved Project became operational.<sup>44</sup> The IS/MND acknowledges that the Approved Project's operations generate noise:

[s]ources of noise within the parking structure would include engines accelerating, doors slamming, car alarms, and people talking. Noise levels within the parking areas would fluctuate with the amount of automobile and human activity. As the subterranean parking level serving the Proposed Project would be entirely underground and enclosed, noise generated at these levels would likely be imperceptible at ground-level locations on and adjacent to the Project Site. As is typical for parking structures, cars entering and exiting the structure at all hours of the day and night can become a nuisance to occupants of adjacent buildings.<sup>45</sup>

By relying on ambient noise measurements that likely include the parking structure's operational noise, the City masks the impacts of the total noise that will be generated by the Revised Project. The LA City CEQA Thresholds Guide states that the correct analysis is: "would the project result in a[n] [...] increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing *without the project?*").<sup>46</sup> Because the medical offices and the parking structure are a single project, the City

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Addendum, pg. 120; LA CEQA Thresholds Guide, pg. I.2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Addendum, Appendix B, pg. 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> IS/MND, pg. 4.0-72.

 $<sup>^{46}</sup>$  LA City CEQA Thresholds Guide, pg. I.1-1; I.2-1. Emphasis added. L5740-003acp

fails to measure their noise impacts against ambient noise levels existing without the Project.  $^{47}$ 

The IS/MND does not quantify the parking structure's noise, so it is unknown by how much it masks the Revised Project's impacts. In any case, since the Revised Project's noise impacts likely increase ambient noise levels by some degree more than disclosed by the Addendum, the Project may have significant, unmitigated noise impacts. The City thus lacks substantial evidence to conclude that noise impacts are fully mitigated. An EIR must be prepared to evaluate the Revised Project's true impacts on ambient noise levels.

Additionally, the courts have held that reliance on a maximum noise level as the sole threshold of significance for noise impacts violates CEQA because it fails to consider whether the magnitude of changes in noise levels is significant.<sup>48</sup> In *Keep* our Mountains Quiet v. County of Santa Clara, 49 neighbors of a wedding venue sued over the County of Santa Clara's failure to prepare an EIR for a proposed project to allow use permits for wedding and other party events at a residential property abutting an open space preserve. Neighbors and their noise expert contended that previous events at the facility had caused significant noise impacts that reverberated in neighbors' homes and disrupted the use and enjoyment of their property.<sup>50</sup> Similar to the Addendum in this case, the City's CEQA document relied on the noise standards set forth in its noise ordinance as its thresholds for significant noise exposure from the project, deeming any increase to be insignificant so long as the absolute noise level did not exceed those standards.<sup>51</sup> The Court examined a long line of CEQA cases which have uniformly held that conformity with land use regulations is not conclusive of whether or not a project has significant noise impacts<sup>52</sup> in holding that the County's reliance on the project's

L5740-003acp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> LA City CEQA Thresholds Guide, pg. I.1-1; I.2-1 ("Would the project result in a[n] [...] increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing *without the project?*").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> King & Gardiner Farms, LLC, 45 Cal.App.5th at 865.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Keep our Mountains Quiet v. County of Santa Clara (2015) 236 Cal.App.4th 714.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> *Id.* at 724.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> *Id.* at 732.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> *Id., citing Citizens for Responsible & Open Government v. City of Grand Terrace* (2008) 160 Cal.App.4th 1323, 1338; *Oro Fino Gold Mining Corp. v. County of El Dorado* (1990) 225 Cal.App.3d 872, 881–882; *Gentry v. City of Murrieta* (1995) 36 Cal.App.4th 1359, 1416 (project's effects can be significant even if "they are not greater than those deemed acceptable in a general plan"); *Environmental Planning & Information Council v. County of El Dorado* (1982) 131 Cal.App.3d 350, 354, ("CEQA nowhere calls for evaluation of the impacts of a proposed project on an existing general plan").

compliance with noise regulations did not constitute substantial evidence supporting the County's finding of no significant impacts. $^{53}$ 

Similarly, here, the noise threshold used in the Addendum to assess the severity of the Revised Project's noise impacts is Section 112.02 of the LAMC, which prohibits noise from air conditioning, refrigeration, heating, pumping, and filtering equipment from exceeding the ambient noise level on the premises of other occupied properties by more than 5 dB.<sup>54</sup> The Addendum applies this threshold to the Revised Project's HVAC equipment to conclude that Project operation would not result in significant noise impacts that exceed the threshold.<sup>55</sup> While the threshold addresses the increase in ambient noise levels over existing noise levels generated at the Project, it fails to assess the severity of noise impacts on surrounding receptors as a result of the increased noise from the Project in conjunction with all relevant sources of noise that impact those receptors. The Addendum's conclusion that noise impacts are less than significant is based on an illusory threshold and is therefore unsupported.

## c. The Addendum Fails to Analyze the Total Operational Noise Impacts of the Revised Project.

The City is required to analyze whether the Revised Project would "result in generation of a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies."<sup>56</sup> However, the City fails to analyze whether the operational noise impacts of the parking structure and medical offices *combined* would be significant.

The Addendum states that the noise significance threshold is exceeded if the Project's operations would "exceed the ambient noise level by 5 dB on the premises of the adjacent properties."<sup>57</sup> The Addendum reasons that this threshold would not be exceeded because the Revised Project's heating, ventilation, and air conditioning ("HVAC") equipment would not be allowed to exceed the ambient noise level by 5 dB

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Addendum, pg. 120; LA CEQA Thresholds Guide, pg. I.2-3. L5740-003acp



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Id. at 732-734; see also King & Gardiner Farms, LLC v. County of Kern (2020) 45 Cal.App.5th

<sup>814, 893,</sup> as modified on denial of reh'g (Mar. 20, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Addendum, p. 120.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Addendum, pg. 116.

on the premises of the adjacent properties.<sup>58</sup> As discussed above, this reasoning is unsupported due to the City's reliance on a threshold that does not address the full extent of operational noise impacts. The City's reasoning is further unsupported because the Addendum does not consider whether reducing HVAC noise below the threshold is possible in combination with the noise from the parking structure.<sup>59</sup> The parking structure is part of the Revised Project, so must be considered concurrently. Since the City has failed to adequately analyze the Revised Project's consistency with operational noise thresholds, an EIR must be prepared.

## d. The Addendum Fails to Analyze the Revised Project's Cumulative Noise Impacts

CEQA mandates that a lead agency find a project may have a significant effect on the environment and "thereby require an EIR to be prepared for the project where there is substantial evidence" that the project has "possible environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable."<sup>60</sup> Specifically, CEQA recognizes that incremental effects of an individual projects can be significant when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, current projects, and probable future projects and therefore requires lead agencies to evaluate cumulative impacts from other projects with similar effects on the environment.<sup>61</sup> "An EIR must be prepared if the cumulative impact may be significant and the project's incremental effect, though individually limited, is cumulatively considerable."<sup>62</sup>

CEQA requires that an adequate discussion of significant cumulative impacts must include either (A) a list of past, present, and probable future projects producing related or cumulative impacts, including, if necessary, those projects outside the control of the agency, or (B) a summary of projections contained in an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Addendum, pg. 120; LA CEQA Thresholds Guide, pg. I.2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> 14 Cal. Code Regs. § 15126.4(a)(1)(B) (providing that compliance with a regulatory permit or similar process is sufficient mitigation if compliance with such standards can reasonably be expected, based on substantial evidence, to reduce the impact to a specified performance standard).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> 14 C.C.R. § 15065(a)(3).

 $<sup>^{61}</sup>$  *Id.* § 15064(h)(1); see *id.* § 15065(a)(3) (defining "cumulatively considerable" as meaning that "the incremental effects of an individual project are significant when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects"); *id.* § 15355 ("Cumulative impacts' refer to two or more individual effects which, when considered together, are considerable or which compound or increase other environmental impacts.")  $^{62}$  *Id.* § 15064(h)(1).

adopted local, regional or statewide plan, or related planning document, that describes or evaluates conditions contributing to the cumulative effect.<sup>63</sup>

Even if the lead agency determines that a project's incremental contribution to a cumulative effect is not cumulatively considerable because the project complies with a previously approved plan or mitigation program, an EIR must be prepared if there is "substantial evidence that the possible effects of a particular project are still cumulatively considerable notwithstanding that the project complies with the specified plan or mitigation program."<sup>64</sup> Moreover, "[w]hen relying on a plan, regulation or program, the lead agency should explain how implementing the particular requirements in the plan, regulation or program ensure that the project's incremental contribution to the cumulative effect is not cumulatively considerable."<sup>65</sup>

Here, the Addendum acknowledges that "cumulative construction-noise impacts have the potential to occur when multiple construction projects in the local area generate noise within the same time frame and contribute to the local ambient noise environment." However, the Addendum fails to provide a list of related projects that will have construction or operational noise impacts.<sup>66</sup> And the Addendum fails to otherwise describe or evaluate conditions contributing to a cumulative effect.<sup>67</sup> Instead, the Addendum summarily states that related projects would implement best management practices and adhere to the City's noise standards.<sup>68</sup> The Addendum's approach violates CEQA by failing to conduct a cumulative impacts analysis in one of the two authorized ways.<sup>69</sup>

The Addendum's reasoning, in the short analysis it does provide, is also flawed.<sup>70</sup> The Addendum reasons that because related projects would implement best management practices and adhere to the City's noise standards, there would

 $<sup>^{70}</sup>$  14 Cal. Code Regs. § 15126.4(a)(1)(B) (providing that compliance with a regulatory permit or similar process is sufficient mitigation if compliance with such standards can reasonably be expected, based on substantial evidence, to reduce the impact to a specified performance standard). L5740-003acp



<sup>63 14</sup> CCR § 15130(b).

<sup>64</sup> Id. § 15064(h)(3).

 $<sup>^{65}</sup>$  *Id.*; see *id.* § 15130(a) (stating that the lead agency shall describe its basis for concluding that an incremental effect is not cumulatively considerable).

<sup>66 14</sup> CCR § 15130(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> 14 CCR § 15130(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Addendum, Appendix B, pg. 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> 14 CCR § 15130(b).

not be cumulative impacts.<sup>71</sup> However, in order for a related project to ensure that its noise impacts would not combine with the Revised Project's to exceed noise thresholds, this other project would have to first identify the Revised Project in a list of related projects. This other project would then measure the two projects' combined impacts against a threshold. The Addendum cannot assume that other projects will conduct an analysis that it itself fails to conduct.

In light of the City's failure to analyze cumulative noise impacts, the City lacks substantial evidence to conclude that these impacts will be less than significant. The Addendum acknowledges that the Revised Project's construction noise exceeds noise thresholds before mitigation is applied. However, the Addendum fails to adopt binding mitigation,<sup>72</sup> and fails to quantify the extent by which mitigation will reduce the Revised Project's noise impacts.<sup>73</sup> In combination with construction or operational noise from a related project, it is likely that the Revised Project may result in significant cumulative noise impacts. A subsequent or supplemental EIR must be prepared to analyze these potential impacts.

## e. The City Claims to Mitigate the Revised Project's Noise Impacts with Nonbinding, Ineffective Mitigation.

Public agencies must adopt feasible mitigation measures that will substantially lessen or avoid a project's potentially significant environmental impacts and describe those mitigation measures in the CEQA document.<sup>74</sup> A public agency may not rely on mitigation measures of uncertain efficacy or feasibility.<sup>75</sup> "Feasible" means capable of successful accomplishment within a reasonable period of time, taking into account economic, environmental, legal, social, and technological factors.<sup>76</sup> Mitigation measures must be enforceable through permit conditions, agreements, or other legally binding instruments.<sup>77</sup> Incorporating

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Addendum, Appendix B, pg. 21.

 $<sup>^{72}</sup>$  As is discussed elsewhere in these comments, the Addendum does not include any mitigation measures in a binding, enforceable mitigation monitoring program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Addendum, pg. 119 (The City points to a government report generally stating that muffler systems may reduce construction noise levels by approximately 10 dB or more. But the City provides no project-specific analysis showing that the Revised Project's construction noise will be reduced by this amount.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Pub. Res. Code §§ 21002, 21081(a), 21100(b)(3); 14 C.C.R. § 15126.4.

<sup>75</sup> Kings County Farm Bureau v. City of Hanford (1990) 221 Cal.App.3d 692, 727-728.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> 14 C.C.R. § 15364.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Id. § 15126.4(a)(2).

L5740-003acp

mitigation measures into conditions of approval is sufficient to demonstrate that the measures are enforceable.  $^{78}$ 

Compliance with relevant regulatory standards can sometimes provide a basis for determining that a project will not have a significant environmental impact, but only where compliance with the standards is otherwise required by law.<sup>79</sup> As one court explained, "a condition requiring compliance with regulations is a common and reasonable mitigation measure and may be proper where it is reasonable to expect compliance."<sup>80</sup> The CEQA Guidelines specify that reliance on compliance with a regulatory permit or similar process is sufficient mitigation only if compliance with such standards can reasonably be expected, based on substantial evidence, to reduce the impact to a specified performance standard.<sup>81</sup> The Addendum fails to meet that standard.

Here, the City acknowledges that the Project would have significant noise impacts prior to mitigation, but fails to include mitigation measures in a legally binding instrument. The Addendum finds that the Revised Project's "construction noise levels would result in a maximum increase of 0.9 dBA above the significance threshold without implementation of regulatory compliance measures."<sup>82</sup> The Addendum claims that this impact will be mitigated through regulatory compliance with Section 112.05 of the Los Angeles Municipal Code ("LAMC"), which prohibits the operation of any powered equipment or powered hand tool that produces a maximum noise level exceeding 75 dBA at a distance of 50 feet from the source of the noise between the hours of 7:00 AM to 9:00 PM when the source is located within 500 feet of a residential zone.<sup>83</sup> The Addendum states that this standard can be complied with by requiring use of muffling devices on construction equipment.<sup>84</sup>

But compliance with this standard cannot be reasonably expected to reduce noise impacts to less than significant levels. Section 112.05 provides that the aforementioned noise limitations "shall not apply where compliance therewith is technically infeasible."<sup>85</sup> If the City determines at a later time that muffling its

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Pub Res. Code § 21081.6(b); Gray v. County of Madera (2008) 167 CA4th 1099, 1116.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Tracy First v. City of Tracy (2009) 177 CA4th 912.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Oakland Heritage Alliance v. City of Oakland (2011) 195 CA4th 884, 906.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> 14 Cal. Code Regs. § 15126.4(a)(1)(B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> Addendum, pg. 118.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> Addendum, pg. 119; LAMC § 112.05.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Addendum, pg. 119.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> LAMC § 112.05.

L5740-003acp

construction equipment to levels below LAMC limitations is infeasible, it is released from complying with the LAMC limitations. This determination would be made after the approval of the Addendum, in an unaccountable arena, which is prohibited by CEQA.<sup>86</sup> Therefore, compliance with Section 112.05 cannot be reasonably expected to reduce noise impacts to less than significant levels. As a result, the Revised Project's significant noise impacts remain unmitigated. An EIR must be prepared, in which the City adopts concrete, noise-reducing measures in a binding, enforceable instrument.

## f. The Constructed Portion of the Project May Have Unmitigated Noise Impacts.

The IS/MND determined the Approved Project would have less than significant noise impacts after mitigation. The IS/MND adopted two mitigation measures to reduce the operational noise impacts from cars entering and exiting the parking structure. One of them is Mitigation Measure ("MM") Xll-30, which provides "A 6-foot-high solid decorative masonry wall adjacent to residential use and/or zones shall be constructed if no such wall exists."<sup>87</sup> The Addendum claims this measure has been implemented: the "[p]arking structure has already been constructed and no alteration of parking ramps or walls is included in the Revised Project. Therefore, this mitigation has been implemented and is no longer necessary to implement as part of the Revised Project."<sup>88</sup>

But the Addendum's claim may be inaccurate. Figure 1, below, is a Google Earth photo of the Approved Project, as constructed. Figure 1 shows that the

<sup>86</sup> Oro Fino Gold Mining Corp. v. County of El Dorado ("Oro Fino") (1990) 225 Cal.App.3d 872, 882 ("even though the mitigated negative declaration states that noise levels exceeding the applicable City general plan noise standard maximum of 65 decibels are prohibited, there is no evidence of any measures to be taken that would insure that the noise standards would be effectively monitored and enforced vigorously); *id.* at 85 ("One of the purposes of the [EIR] is to insure that the relevant environmental data are before the agency and considered by it prior to the decision to commit [...] resources to the project" [citing No Oil, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles (1974) 13 Cal.3d 68, 84, quoting from Hanly v. Kleindienst (2d Cir. 1972) 471 F.2d 823, 837-838 (dis. opn. of Friendly, J.); Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino ("Sundstrom") (1988) 202 Cal.App.3d 296, 308-309]. In short, in the absence of overriding circumstances, the CEQA process demands that mitigation measures timely be set forth, that environmental information be complete and relevant, and that environmental decisions be made in an accountable arena. (Sundstrom at pp. 306-309.)").
<sup>87</sup> IS/MND, pg. 3. The other mitigation measure is MM Xll-40, which requires parking ramps to be

constructed of textured concrete.

<sup>88</sup> Addendum, pg. 3. L5740-003acp

parking structure's entrance is close to an adjacent single-story residence at 1316 Lyman Ave, Los Angeles. Figure 1 also shows that the wall is not a decorative masonry wall, and is not 6 feet tall through its entire length. It appears that the residents of 1318 Lyman Ave needed to supplement this wall with plywood. It is unknown whether this makeshift barrier was intended to reduce the Project's aesthetic impacts or the significant noise impacts from parking structure entrance. It is also unknown whether the Project Applicant has improved this wall since the date of the February 2021 photo. Nonetheless, the City must consider the possibility that the parking structure's noise impacts on neighboring sensitive receptors are yet unmitigated. Further increases in noise from the Revised Project may exacerbate these impacts. A subsequent or supplemental EIR must be prepared to analyze these impacts.



L5740-003acp

## g. There is Substantial Evidence Demonstrating that the Revised Project has Significant Noise Impacts that Are More Severe than Previously Analyzed

The City's overall approach in its noise analysis is to rely on purported compliance with regulatory standards. The City claims that the Revised Project's significant construction noise impacts are mitigated through compliance with Section 112.05 of the LAMC. The City points to the same standard to claim the Revised Project's operational impacts (from its HVAC equipment) would not exceed thresholds. Cumulative impacts would also be less than significant because related projects would adhere to the City's noise thresholds. However, as detailed in the sections above, CREED LA has put forth a fair argument that the Revised Project will cause significant noise impacts. Courts require preparation of a subsequent or supplemental EIR under these circumstances.<sup>89</sup>

In Oro Fino Gold Mining Corp. v. County of El Dorado ("Oro Fino"),<sup>90</sup> a mining company applied for a special use permit for drilling holes to explore for minerals.<sup>91</sup> The mining company argued the proposed mitigated negative declaration prohibited noise levels above the applicable county general plan noise standard maximum of 50 dBA and, therefore, there could be no significant noise impact.<sup>92</sup> The court rejected this argument on two grounds. "Initially, we note that conformity with a general plan does not insulate a project from EIR review where it can be fairly argued that the project will generate significant environmental effects."<sup>93</sup> Second, the court reviewed the record and, like the trial court, concluded it contained substantial evidence supporting a fair argument that noise from drilling would exceed the county standard of 50 dBA.<sup>94</sup> Thus, the court concluded an EIR was required.

In Citizens for Responsible & Open Government v. City of Grand Terrace ("Grand Terrace"),<sup>95</sup> the city approved a 120-unit senior housing facility based on a mitigated negative declaration.<sup>96</sup> A citizen's group argued substantial evidence

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> San Mateo Gardens I, supra, 1 Ca1.5th at 959.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> (1990) 225 Cal.App.3d 872.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> *Id.* at pg. 876.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> Oro Fino, supra.

<sup>93</sup> Id. at pp. 881-882.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> *Id.* at pg. 882.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup> (2008) 160 Cal.App.4th 1323.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> *Id.* at pg. 1327.

L5740-003acp

supported a fair argument that the project would result in significant environmental impacts, including the impact of noise from air conditioners.<sup>97</sup> The trial court agreed and issued a writ of mandate requiring the preparation of an EIR.<sup>98</sup> The appellate court affirmed.<sup>99</sup>

In *Grand Terrace*, the noise element of the city's general plan stated exterior noise levels in residential areas should be limited to 65 dB CNEL.<sup>100</sup> The initial study concluded the facility's air conditioner units would cause noise impacts, but with mitigating measures the project would operate within the general plan's noise standard. Mitigation measures specified as conditions of approval included "shielding" the units, having self-contained condensers that would not transmit noise outside, reducing the number of units near residences, including a buffer setback, planting trees as a noise buffer, etc.<sup>101</sup> However, a community member who had been in the HVAC business for 30 years stated that the type of air conditioning units proposed by the project "sound like airplanes."<sup>102</sup> And at a city council public hearing, community and city council members expressed concern that the air conditioners would be noisy.<sup>103</sup> The public was not able to analyze numerical data about the noise generated by the air conditioners because the project proponent "did not provide a noise rating on the units"<sup>104</sup>

The appellate court cited *Oro Fino* for the principle that "conformity with a general plan does not insulate a project from EIR review where it can be fairly argued that the project will generate significant environmental effects."<sup>105</sup> The court considered the testimony about the noise generated by the proposed air conditioners, took into account the mitigation measures, and concluded "there is substantial evidence that it can be fairly argued that the Project may have a significant environmental noise impact."<sup>106</sup>

 $^{97}$  Id.

98 Id. at pp. 1326–1327.

<sup>99</sup> *Id.* at pg. 1327.

 $^{100}$  Grand Terrace, supra, 160 Cal.App.4th at pg. 1338.

<sup>101</sup> *Id.* at 1339-1340.

 $^{102}$  Id. at 1338-1339.

<sup>103</sup> *Id.* at 1338.

<sup>104</sup> *Id.* at pp. 1339, 1340.

<sup>105</sup> Grand Terrace, supra, at pg. 1338.

<sup>106</sup> *Id.* at p. 1341. L5740-003acp



CREED LA has thus far introduced stronger evidence of significant noise impacts than the citizens' group in *Grand Terrace*.

First, these comments show that the Revised Project's significant construction impacts are unmitigated, as the City relies on unenforceable, nonbinding mitigation. This is stronger evidence of significant noise impacts than any evidence considered in *Grand Terrace*, as it is uncontested that the Revised Project, without mitigation, exceeds noise thresholds by at least 0.9 dBA.<sup>107</sup>

Second, these comments show that the City includes the Approved Project's own operational noise in its ambient noise measures, thus underestimating the Revised Project's increase of ambient noise levels. Ambient noise levels may be exceeded when this error is corrected.

Third, these comments show that the Revised Project's operational noise may exceed noise thresholds, as the City failed to analyze the *combined* operational noise impacts of the parking structure and medical offices. As discussed above, sources of noise within the parking structure would include engines accelerating, doors slamming, car alarms, and people talking. And HVAC systems can "sound like airplanes."<sup>108</sup> Combined, there may be a significant operational noise impact. This noise impact will be acutely felt by the sensitive receptors adjacent to the building.<sup>109</sup> As in *Grand Terrace*, we are not able to calculate whether there is a quantitative exceedance of City noise thresholds because the environmental document does not quantify these impacts. And as in *Grand Terrace*, we are not required to generate such data ourselves to demonstrate an EIR is necessary.<sup>110</sup>

Fourth, the Revised Project may already have unmitigated noise impacts on the sensitive receptors at 1316 Lyman Ave. Not only did the Approved Project not build a decorative masonry wall as stated in the IS/MND, the wall as constructed is not 6 feet tall through its entire length. The fact that the residents of 1316 Lyman Ave needed to supplement this wall with plywood suggests that additional noise

<sup>110</sup> *Grand Terrace, supra*, at pg. 1341 ("Although there was no evidence as to the actual noise rating of the individual air conditioner units or of the actual noise level caused by the units en masse, there was nevertheless a sufficient basis for concluding that, even with the mitigated measures in place, there was enough evidence to support a fair argument that the Project's noise from 20 or more noisy air conditioners would have a significant environmental impact"). L5740-003acp



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> Addendum, pg. 118.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> Id. at 1338-1339.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> Adjacent to the Project site is a single-story residence at 1316 Lyman Ave, Los Angeles.

from the Revised Project would have significant impacts. This evidence is analogous to the testimony at the *Grand Terrace* city council public hearing.<sup>111</sup>

Therefore, a subsequent or supplemental EIR must be prepared.

## B. The Addendum Fails to Disclose Potentially Significant Health Risks from Construction Emissions that Are More Severe than Previously Analyzed

An agency must support its findings of a project's potential environmental impacts with concrete evidence, with "sufficient information to foster informed public participation and to enable the decision makers to consider the environmental factors necessary to make a reasoned decision."<sup>112</sup> A project's health risks "must be 'clearly identified' and the discussion must include 'relevant specifics' about the environmental changes attributable to the Project and their associated health outcomes."<sup>113</sup>

Courts have held that an environmental review document must disclose a project's potential health risks to a degree of specificity that would allow the public to make the correlation between the project's impacts and adverse effects to human health.<sup>114</sup> In *Bakersfield Citizens for Local Control v. City of Bakersfield* (*"Bakersfield"*), the court found that the EIRs' description of health risks were insufficient and that after reading them, "the public would have no idea of the health consequences that result when more pollutants are added to a nonattainment basin."<sup>115</sup> And in *Sierra Club v. County of Fresno* (*"Sierra Club"*), the Supreme Court of California disapproved of an EIR that failed to compare the health effects from exposure to ozone emissions against applicable thresholds.<sup>116</sup> The Court held that it is insufficient to merely state that "exposure to ambient levels of ozone ranging from 0.10 to 0.40 [parts per million of ozone] has been found to significantly alter lung functions" – the EIR must also compare the Project's impacts against this threshold.<sup>117</sup>

L5740-003acp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> Id. at 1338.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> Sierra Club v. County of Fresno (2018) 6 Cal.5th 502, 516.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> *Id.* at 518.

 $<sup>^{114}</sup>$  Id. at 518–520; Bakersfield Citizens for Local Control v. City of Bakersfield (2004) 124 Cal.App.4th 1184.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 115}\,Bakersfield$  at 1220.

<sup>116 (2018) 6</sup> Cal.5th 502, 517

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> Sierra Club v. County of Fresno (2018) 6 Cal.5th 502, 519.

The Addendum analyzes the Revised Project's health risks from construction activities in a paragraph, concluding impacts are less than significant:

Project construction would result in short-term emissions of diesel particulate matter, which is a TAC. Off-road heavy-duty diesel equipment would emit diesel particulate matter over the course of the construction period. Sensitive receptors are located adjacent to the Project, as shown in Figure 2. Localized diesel particulate emissions (strongly correlated with PM2.5 emissions) would be minimal and would be substantially below localized thresholds, as shown in Table 11. Project compliance with the CARB anti-idling measure, which limits idling to no, more than 5 minutes at any location for diesel-fueled commercial vehicles, would further minimize diesel particulate matter emissions in the Project area.<sup>118</sup>

This analysis fails to meet the informational standards articulated in *Bakersfield* and *Sierra Club*. The Addendum fails to disclose or explain the applicable health risk threshold – that health impacts are significant when the Project exposes sensitive receptors to air contaminants that exceed the maximum incremental cancer risk of 10 in one million.<sup>119</sup> The Addendum also fails to conduct a quantified health risk analysis ("HRA") to measure the Project's TAC emissions and resultant health impacts to sensitive receptors. The Addendum accordingly fails to compare these health impacts against the applicable significance threshold, in conflict with the holding of *Sierra Club*.<sup>120</sup> As in *Bakersfield*, after reading the Addendum, the public is left with little understanding of the Revised Project's health consequences.<sup>121</sup>

The failure to prepare an HRA also conflicts with scientific authority. California Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")<sup>122</sup> guidance sets a recommended threshold for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> Addendum, Appendix A, pg. 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> South Coast Air Quality Management District ("SCAQMD"), Air Quality Analysis Handbook, Air Quality Significance Thresholds, available at http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/scaqmd-air-quality-significance-thresholds.pdf?sfvrsn=2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup>Sierra Club v. State Bd. Of Forestry (1994) 7 Cal.4th 1215, 1219-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>121</sup> Bakersfield at 1220.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup> OEHHA is the organization responsible for providing recommendations and guidance on how to conduct health risk assessments in California. See OEHHA organization description, available at <a href="http://oehha.ca.gov/about/program.html">http://oehha.ca.gov/about/program.html</a>. L5740 002are

L5740-003acp
preparing an HRA of a construction period of two months or more.<sup>123</sup> The Addendum acknowledges construction will take at least two months."<sup>124</sup> Specifically:

[i]t will approximately take one (1) month to prepare the anchor bolts for retrieval of the steel columns and another one (1) month to complete the erection of the steel and welding. A 100-ton mobile crane will be utilized for steel erections, which Is contingent upon the size of the heaviest piece of steel structure. After the completion of the steel structure, the fire proofing, concrete decking, exterior cladding, and roofing works will be followed in order to make dry-in of the building. A 25-ton mobile crane and the concrete truck will be staged on De Longpre for material hoisting and concrete decking work. This phase is anticipated to be completed in eight (8) months. The build-out phase consists of mechanical, electrical, plumbing, elevator, and interior finishing work, as well as medical imaging equipment installation, which will last for approximately 14 months.<sup>125</sup>

This passage from the Addendum shows that heavy equipment, including a 100-ton and 25-ton mobile crane, will be utilized in construction for at least two months. Therefore, OEHHA guidance supports the preparation of a construction HRA, in addition to the CEQA mandate.

In addition to its failure to disclose health risks, the Addendum does not adopt all available measures to reduce health risks to nearby sensitive receptors. Some of these sensitive receptors are directly adjacent to the Project site.<sup>126</sup> Due to this proximity, these sensitive receptors may be acutely impacted by even relatively low emissions of TACs. Despite this heightened risk, the Addendum states that heavy-duty diesel equipment engines would merely meet Tier 3 standards. Tier 3 equipment emits more TACs than other commercially-available equipment. The Revised Project should use Tier 4 Final equipment to mitigate health risks the Addendum may have failed to detect as a result of its truncated health risk analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup> See "Risk Assessment Guidelines Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments." OEHHA, February 2015, *available at:* <u>http://oehha.ca.gov/air/hot\_spots/hotspots2015.html</u> ("OEHHA Guidance"), pp. 8-18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>124</sup> Addendum, Appendix A, pg. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup> Addendum, Appendix A, pg. 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> Addendum, Appendix A, Figure 2.

L5740-003acp

In light of the Revised Project's undisclosed potential health risks, the City must prepare an EIR which includes a construction HRA.

## C. The City's Air Quality Analysis Fails to Disclose Back-Up Generator Emissions, thus Underestimating Potentially Significant Air Quality, GHG, and Health Impacts Resulting from New Project Features.

CEQA Guidelines Section 15378 defines "project" to mean "the whole of an action, which has a potential for resulting in either a direct physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment."<sup>127</sup> Courts have explained that a complete description of a project must "address not only the immediate environmental consequences of going forward with the project, but also all "*reasonably foreseeable* consequence[s] of the initial project."<sup>128</sup> "If a[n]...EIR...does not adequately apprise all interested parties of the true scope of the project for intelligent weighing of the environmental consequences of the project, informed decision-making cannot occur under CEQA and the final EIR is inadequate as a matter of law."<sup>129</sup>

The Addendum fails to disclose whether the Revised Project would require back-up generator, which are commonly used for medical facilities and have been identified in other medical facility projects analyzed by the City. Whereas the Approved Project – a parking structure – would not be reasonably expected to require a back-up generator, medical facilities often utilize back-up generators to minimize the consequences of a power outage. Use of back-up generators is required by law for many medical facilities.<sup>130</sup> Such generators can significantly impact air quality, GHG emissions, and public health through DPM emissions.<sup>131</sup> Therefore, if

https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/emissions-impact-generator-usage-during-psps (showing that generators commonly rely on gasoline or diesel, and that use of generators during power outages results in excess emissions); California Air Resources Board, Use of Back-up Engines for Electricity Generation During Public Safety Power Shutoff Events (October 25, 2019), available at https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/use-back-engines-electricity-generation-during-public-safety-power-shutoff ("When electric utilities de-energize their electric lines, the demand for back-up L5740-003acp



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> CEQA Guidelines § 15378.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> Laurel Heights I, 47 Cal. 3d 376, 398 (emphasis added); see also Vineyard Area Citizens for Responsible Growth, Inc. v. City of Rancho Cordova (2007) 40 Cal. 4th 412, 449-50.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> Riverwatch v. Olivenhain Municipal Water Dist. (2009) 170 Cal. App. 4th 1186, 1201.
<sup>130</sup> See 22 CCR § 70841 (requiring hospitals to maintain emergency generators); § 72657 (requiring

nursing homes to maintain emergency electrical systems in safe operating condition).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup> California Air Resources Board, Emission Impact: Additional Generator Usage Associated with Power Outage (January 30, 2020), available at

the Project Applicant can reasonably foresee use of a back-up generator, the Addendum's failure to disclose such a generator is a failure to disclose all "reasonably foreseeable consequence[s] of the initial project."<sup>132</sup>

These consequences may include significant air quality, GHG emissions, and public health impacts. According to SCAQMD Rules 1110.2<sup>133</sup> and 1470,<sup>134</sup> back-up generators are allowed to operate for up to 200 hours per year, and operate for maintenance up to 50 hours per year.

Further, a back-up generator would operate during unscheduled events like Public Safety Power Shutoff ("PSPS") events and extreme heat events ("EHEs"). Dr. Clark's comments show that although such events are unscheduled, they occur frequently enough in California that they are reasonably foreseeable.<sup>135</sup> For example, the total duration of PSPS events in California lasted between 141 hours to 154 hours in 2019.<sup>136</sup> In 2021, two EHEs have been declared so far, which lasted 120 hours combined.<sup>137</sup> Dr. Clark explains that these two EHEs would have tripled the calculated yearly DPM emissions from the Project.<sup>138</sup> These conditions are expected to increase in severity.<sup>139</sup> Therefore, a failure to consider this source of emissions drastically underestimates the Revised Project's air quality, GHG, and public health impacts. A subsequent or supplemental EIR would have to be prepared to analyze these potentially significant impacts.

<sup>133</sup> Available at http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/rule-book/reg-xi/rule-1110-2.pdf.

power increases. This demand for reliable back-up power has health impacts of its own. Of particular concern are health effects related to emissions from diesel back-up engines. Diesel particulate matter (DPM) has been identified as a toxic air contaminant, composed of carbon particles and numerous organic compounds, including over forty known cancer-causing organic substances. The majority of DPM is small enough to be inhaled deep into the lungs and make them more susceptible to injury. Much of the back-up power produced during PSPS events is expected to come from engines regulated by CARB and California's 35 air pollution control and air quality management districts (air districts)").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>132</sup> Laurel Heights I, 47 Cal. 3d 376, 398.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup> Available at https://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/rule-book/reg-xiv/rule-1470.pdf?sfvrsn=4. <sup>135</sup> Clark, pg. 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>136</sup> *Id.*, pg. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>137</sup> *Id.*, pg. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>138</sup> *Id.*, pg. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> OEHHA, Extreme Heat Events, February 11, 2019, https://oehha.ca.gov/epic/changesclimate/extreme-heat-events (showing that frequency of extreme heat events is increasing); NASA Earth Observatory, California Heatwave Fits a Trend, September 6, 2020,

https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/images/147256/california-heatwave-fits-a-trend (showing trends toward longer and more intense heatwaves in Southern California).

L5740-003acp

## D. The Revised Project Creates Potentially Significant Greenhouse Gas Emissions that are Specific to the Project's New Uses and are More Severe than Previously Analyzed.

The Addendum states that the Revised Project would have less than significant GHG impacts because it complies with the LA Green Building Code.<sup>140</sup> However, courts have held that a determination that regulatory compliance will be sufficient to prevent significant adverse impacts must be based on a project-specific analysis of potential impacts and the effect of regulatory compliance. For instance, in *Californians for Alternatives to Toxics v. Department of Food & Agriculture*, the court set aside an EIR for a statewide crop disease control plan because it did not include an evaluation of the risks to the environment and human health from the proposed program but simply presumed that no adverse impacts would occur from pesticides properly registered with the California Department of Pesticide Regulation. The Addendum similarly fails to conduct a project-specific analysis of potential impacts and the effect of regulatory compliance. Substantial evidence shows that the Revised Project may have significant GHG emissions.

To begin with, the Revised Project's GHGs exceed SCAQMD draft thresholds. In 2008, SCAQMD released draft guidance regarding interim CEQA GHG significance thresholds.<sup>141</sup> With its October 2008 document, SCAQMD proposed the use of a percent reduction target to determine significance of commercial/residential projects that emit greater than 3,000 MTCO2e per year. Under this proposal, commercial/residential projects that emit fewer than 3,000 MTCO2e per year would be assumed to have a less-than-significant impact on climate change. SCAQMD has yet to formally adopt this or other thresholds for commercial/residential projects. The Addendum states that the Revised Project's GHG emissions are 3,557.65 MTCO2e per year, which exceeds the SCAQMD threshold.<sup>142</sup> Although this threshold has not yet been formally adopted, the fact that some scientific authorities view the Revised Project's emissions as significant constitutes projectspecific, substantial evidence that an EIR must be prepared. The bare proposition that the Revised Project would comply with the LA Green Building Code does not address this substantial evidence.

 $^{141}$  SCAQMD, Draft Guidance Document – Interim CEQA Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Significance Threshold, Attachment E, available at http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-

source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-(ghg)-ceqa-significance-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>140</sup> Addendum, pg. 81.

thresholds/ghgattachmente.pdf?sfvrsn=2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup> Addendum, pg. 82, Table 4.8-1.

L5740-003acp

There is also substantial evidence showing the Revised Project's GHG emissions are likely higher than disclosed. As discussed in these comments, the Addendum does not disclose whether a back-up generator would be part of the Revised Project. Also, the GHG emissions the Addendum attributes to energy consumption seem incorrect: the Addendum claims that the Approved Project's energy consumption would result in 956.33 MTC02e/year, but the Revised Project's energy consumption would result in 802.56 MTC02e/year.<sup>143</sup> The Addendum does not explain that the GHGs of a parking structure are likely to be higher than those of a parking structure combined with medical facilities.

The Revised Project's potentially significant GHG emissions (at least 3,557.65 MTCO2e/year) are substantial increases over the Approved Project's emissions (976.95 MTCO2e/year), and now exceed a SCAQMD draft threshold.<sup>144</sup> This increase is a result of the substantial changes proposed in the Revised Project, which would now involve vehicle trip generation, water consumption, wastewater generation, and increased energy needs. Since this potentially significant impact was not evaluated in the IS/MND or Addendum, a subsequent or supplemental EIR must be prepared.

## E. The Addendum Fails to Analyze the Revised Project's Potentially Significant Energy Consumption Which is the Result of New Project Features and Is More Severe than Previously Analyzed.

The CEQA Guidelines state that an environmental review document should determine whether a Project results in potentially significant environmental impact due to wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources, during project construction or operation.<sup>145</sup> The Addendum's approach to this analysis is to assert that the Revised Project would be built and operated in accordance with the applicable State Building Code Title 24 regulations and City of Los Angeles Green Building code. However, Appendix F of the CEQA Guidelines lists several disclosures relating to energy consumption that should be included in an environmental document. The Addendum fails to make these disclosures.

Appendix F states that the project description should identify energyconsuming equipment and processes used during construction and operation of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> Addendum, pg. 82, Table 4.8-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup> Addendum, pg. 82, Table 4.8-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>145</sup> CEQA Guidelines, Appendix F, subd. I

L5740-003acp

project, as well as discuss their energy intensiveness.<sup>146</sup> The Addendum fails to disclose any of the energy-intensive medical equipment that is reasonably expected to be utilized at the Revised Project. This energy consumption was also not analyzed in the IS/MND because the Approved Project did not include medical facilities.

Appendix F states that the project description should communicate the "[t]otal energy requirements of the project by fuel type and end use."<sup>147</sup> The Addendum fails to quantify the Revised Project's energy consumption.

Appendix F explains that the project description should identify "[e]nergy conservation equipment and design features."<sup>148</sup> The IS/MND stated the Approved Project would implement "a concrete top roof deck that will provide a cool roof to reduce the urban heat island effect."<sup>149</sup> However, as the Revised Project will be built on top of the Approved Project, the Addendum must disclose whether the Revised Project would include a cool roof to conserve energy.

Because the IS/MND fails to communicate basic facts of the Project's energy consumption, an EIR is necessary to fully and accurately describe the Project and its energy use impacts.

# F. The Addendum Requests an Exception from an Inapplicable Section of the Specific Plan.

As part of the Revised Project, the Applicant seeks a Specific Plan Exception from Section 9.E.3 of the Vermont/Western Transit Oriented District (Station Neighborhood Area) Specific Plan ("Specific Plan").<sup>150</sup> The requested exception would allow for zero vehicle parking spaces for the Revised Project. However, the Applicant is requesting an exception from a provision that does not apply to hospitals or medical uses. Section 9.E.3 provides:

Notwithstanding the contrary provisions of Section 12.21 A 4 of the Code and regardless of the underlying zone, the following parking standards shall

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>146</sup> *Id.*, subd. (A)(1)("Energy consuming equipment and processes which will be used during construction, operation and/or removal of the project. If appropriate, this discussion should consider the energy intensiveness of materials and equipment required for the project").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>147</sup> CEQA Guidelines, Appendix F, subd. (A)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>148</sup> *Id.*, subd. (A)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149</sup> IS/MND, pg. 4.0-113.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 150}$  Addendum, pg. 24.

L5740-003acp

apply to Projects with commercial uses, **other than Hospital and Medical Uses**: (i) the maximum number of off-street parking spaces which may be provided shall be limited to two parking spaces for each 1,000 square feet of combined floor area of commercial uses contained within all buildings on a lot; (ii) a maximum of 50% of the required non-residential parking spaces may be provided off-site, but within 1,500 feet of the lot for which they are provided.<sup>151</sup>

Since the Revised Project is a medical use, Section 9.E.4 appears to be the applicable provision:

Hospital and Medical Uses. Notwithstanding the contrary provisions of Section 12.21 A 4 (d) of the Code, **the following parking standards shall apply to Hospital and Medical Use Projects**: (i) hospitals shall provide a minimum of one parking space for each patient bed for which the hospital is licensed, and a maximum of two parking space for each patient bed for which the hospital is licensed; (ii) a maximum of 50% of the required hospital parking spaces may be provided off-site, but within 1,500 feet of the lot for which they are provided; and (iii) off-site parking facilities may be provided pursuant to leases of existing parking spaces for at least a twenty-year term, in order to provide the parking required by this Specific Plan, and these leased spaces may be shared parking operated or maintained by more than one owner or lessee.<sup>152</sup>

Since the Addendum does not request an exception from this particular provision, the Revised Project must comply with it. It should be noted that the Specific Plan provides that whenever it contains more stringent provisions than the LAMC, the Specific Plan shall prevail and supersede the applicable provisions of the Code.<sup>153</sup> The applicable provision of the Code is LAMC Section 12.21 A.3(x)(3), which requires providing two parking spaces per 1000 square feet of floor area.

## III. CONCLUSION

The City has failed to satisfy CEQA's procedural and evidentiary standards for the preparation of an addendum. As explained above, the Addendum fails to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup> Specific Plan, Section 9.E.3 [emphasis added].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>152</sup> Specific Plan, Section 9.E.4 [emphasis added].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> Specific Plan, Section 3.B.

L5740-003acp

adequately analyze and mitigate the Revised Project's noise, air quality, GHG, and public health impacts. For these reasons, we urge the City to prepare a subsequent or supplemental EIR for the Project before the City considers approval of the Revised Project. We thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments on the Addendum.

Sincerely,

Anton Medall

Aidan P. Marshall

APM:acp

Attachment

L5740-003acp

# **EXHIBIT** A



Clark & Associates Environmental Consulting, Inc.

## OFFICE 12405 Venice Blvd Suite 331 Los Angeles, CA 90066

# **PHONE** 310-907-6165

**FAX** 310-398-7626

EMAIL jclark.assoc@gmail.com October 18, 2021

Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo 601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000 South San Francisco, CA 94080

Attn: Mr. Aidan P. Marshall

## Subject: Comments On Hollywood Presbyterian Medical Center (HPMC) Building Project, 1318 North Lyman Place, Los Angeles, CA 90027.

Dear Mr. Marshall:

At the request of Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo (ABJC), Clark and Associates (Clark) has reviewed materials related to the 2021 Addendum to the City of Los Angeles Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) of the above referenced project.

Clark's review of the materials in no way constitutes a validation of the conclusions or materials contained within the plan. If we do not comment on a specific item this does not constitute acceptance of the item.

## **Project Description:**

The original Project included the demolition of two maintenance facilities, a single- family residence and a surface parking lot for construction of a parking structure containing 654 automobile parking spaces in 7 levels, consisting of 3 subterranean parking levels and 4 aboveground levels, with an additional level of parking on the roof deck ("Approved Project"). When completed the parking structure will contain 562 automobile parking spaces in a 7 level structure, consisting of 2 subterranean parking levels and 5 aboveground levels, with no roof deck. The Revised Project includes the addition of three levels of medical office space, containing approximately 95,995 square feet of floor space, on top of the parking structure. The Revised Project would increase the height of the building to approximately 94 feet above ground level. Construction of the Revised Project would begin in September 2021 and is expected to be completed by August 2023.

## **Specific Comments:**

## 1. The City's Air Quality Analysis Underestimates Emissions and Omits Relevant Emissions Input Data.

The Air Quality Analysis of the Revised Project utilized the California Air Pollution Officers Association's (CAPCOA) CalEEMod, Version 2016.3.2 was used to quantify construction-related and operational emissions. <sup>1</sup> However, on June 1, 2021, the CAPCOA posted the release of the latest version of CalEEMOD, Version 2020.4.0. The updates to the model include additional analysis and emissions factors which were added to ensure compliance with recent changes in law:

- 1. Incorporation of the latest EMFAC2017 data from CARB (https://www.arb.ca.gov/emfac/2017/).
- 2. Addition of CARB's EMFAC2017 N2O emissions.
- 3. Inclusion of the 2019 update to Title 24 (building efficiency % reduction, see http://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2019standards/index.html).
- 4. Incorporation of the ITE 10th edition trip rate data for land uses previously programmed into the model.
- 5. Utility Intensity Factors for greenhouse gases were updated.

The updates in version 2020.4.0 provide a higher level of accuracy regarding emission estimates for the project impacts compared to older versions of the CalEEMOD model. The City must re-run the CalEEMOD analyses and present them in an environmental impact report (EIR) in order to ensure that all elements of the air quality analyses required by current laws are performed for the project.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Meridian Consultants. Air Quality Study For The HPMC Building Project. Prepared For CHA Property Holdings, LP. Prepared by Meridian Consultants. April, 2020.

2. The Air Quality Analysis For The Original Parking Lot Project Calculated An Annual Operational GHG Emission Level Of Less Than 1,000 MT CO<sub>2eq</sub> Per Year. The Revised Project Will Triple The GHG Emissions For The Project And Will Cause An Exceedance Of The GHG Significance Threshold.

In the original IS/MND for the parking lot project, the City calculated a total operational emission level of greenhouses gases (GHG MT  $CO_{2eq}$ ) of 976.95 metric tons of  $CO_2$  equivalent (MT  $CO_{2eq}$ ) per year. This estimate includes 20.62 MT  $CO_{2eq}$  of construction emissions that should be added to the new project for 25 years as a measure of the total impact of the project.



Using the same conditions outlined by the City in their Air Quality Analysis, the GHG emission for the Revised Project are attached as Appendix B to this letter. It is clear that the GHG emissions from the Project will more than triple over the original Project's estimates and presents a significant issue under the CEQA analysis process. While the SCAQMD has not adopted a formal significance threshold for GHG for non-industrial projects, the generally accepted thresholds for residential, mixed use, and commercial projects (Tier 3 value) from SCAQMD is 3,000 MT  $CO_{2eq}$ .<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SCAQMD. 2009. Greenhouse Gas CEQA Significance Threshold Stakeholder Working Group #14. November 19, 2009. http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-(ghg)-ceqa-significance-thresholds/year-2008-2009/ghg-meeting-14/ghg-meeting-14-main-presentation.pdf?sfvrsn=2

#### Mitigated Construction

|         | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e     |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Year    |        |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | МТ        | /yr    |        |          |
| 2021    | 0.1309 | 1.0674 | 0.9958 | 1.8900e-<br>003 | 0.0354           | 0.0522          | 0.0876        | 9.4900e-<br>003   | 0.0494           | 0.0589         | 0.0000   | 164.0181  | 164.0181  | 0.0281 | 0.0000 | 164.7209 |
| 2022    | 0.3585 | 2.5422 | 2.9944 | 5.8300e-<br>003 | 0.1574           | 0.1176          | 0.2750        | 0.0422            | 0.1129           | 0.1551         | 0.0000   | 501.9081  | 501.9081  | 0.0611 | 0.0000 | 503.4353 |
| 2023    | 0.1958 | 1.3808 | 1.7487 | 3.4000e-<br>003 | 0.0885           | 0.0614          | 0.1499        | 0.0237            | 0.0591           | 0.0828         | 0.0000   | 292.4477  | 292.4477  | 0.0340 | 0.0000 | 293.2972 |
| Maximum | 0.3585 | 2.5422 | 2.9944 | 5.8300e-<br>003 | 0.1574           | 0.1176          | 0.2750        | 0.0422            | 0.1129           | 0.1551         | 0.0000   | 501.9081  | 501.9081  | 0.0611 | 0.000  | 503.4353 |

#### 2.2 Overall Operational

Mitigated Operational

|          | ROG             | NOx             | со              | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2       | Total CO2       | CH4             | N2O             | CO2e            |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Category |                 |                 |                 |                 | ton              | MT/yr           |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Area     | 0.3953          | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 1.3100e-<br>003 | 0.0000          |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000   | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 0.0000          | 2.7200e-<br>003 |
| Energy   | 5.7700e-<br>003 | 0.0525          | 0.0441          | 3.1000e-<br>004 |                  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 3.9900e-<br>003 |                   | 3.9900e-<br>003  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 800.7022        | 800.7022        | 0.0187          | 4.6800e-<br>003 | 802.5634        |
| Mobile   | 0.4513          | 2.0096          | 5.6911          | 0.0210          | 1.7620           | 0.0160          | 1.7781          | 0.4723            | 0.0149           | 0.4872          | 0.0000   | 1,937.475<br>3  | 1,937.475<br>3  | 0.0972          | 0.0000          | 1,939.905<br>2  |
| Waste    |                 |                 |                 |                 |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 225.3240 | 0.0000          | 225.3240        | 13.3163         | 0.0000          | 558.2307        |
| Water    |                 |                 |                 |                 |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 3.2733   | 89.0981         | 92.3714         | 0.3383          | 8.3700e-<br>003 | 103.3243        |
| Total    | 0.8524          | 2.0621          | 5.7365          | 0.0213          | 1.7620           | 0.0200          | 1.7821          | 0.4723            | 0.0189           | 0.4912          | 228.5973 | 2,827.278<br>2  | 3,055.875<br>5  | 13.7704         | 0.013           | 3,404.026<br>3  |

| Emission Source              | GHG Emissions (MT CO <sub>2eq</sub> ) |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Construction (amortized)*    | (20.62 + 16.78 = 37.40)               |
| Operational (mobile) sources | 1,939.9052                            |
| Area sources                 | 2.72E-03                              |
| Energy                       | 802.5634                              |
| Waste                        | 558.2307                              |

| Emission Source | GHG Emissions (MT CO <sub>2eq</sub> ) |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Water           | 103.3243                              |
| Annual Total    | 3,441.43                              |

Note: \* - 20.62 MT CO<sub>2eq</sub> per year carried over from original Project for next 25 years.

The City must address this significant increase in GHG emissions in an EIR for the project to assess the necessary mitigation measures that will be required to reduce the operational emissions below the significance threshold.

## 3. The City's Analysis Of Emissions Does Not Consider The Impact From The Back Up Generator (BUG) That Will Need To Be Installed On-Site.

The City's air quality analysis does not consider the impact from the back-up generator (BUG) that will need to be installed on-site. BUGs are necessary for medical centers to ensure that operations can be maintained during emergency situations. According to SCAQMD Rules 1110.2, 1470, BUGs are allowed to operate for up to 200 hours per year and maintenance cannot exceed more than 50 hours per year. The City's analysis clearly fails to assess the amount of toxic air contaminants (TACs) that will be released from the Project. Diesel exhaust from BUGs are well recognized as TACs. The City has therefore failed to properly measure the potential impact of DPM emissions from the BUG on the receptors nearby.

In addition, the IS/MND ignores the substantial increase in operational emissions from BUGs in the Air Basin due to unscheduled events, including but not limited to Public Safety Power Shutoff (PSPS) events and extreme heat events. Extreme heat events are defined as periods where in the temperatures throughout California exceed 100 degrees Fahrenheit.<sup>3</sup> From January, 2019 through

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Governor of California. 2021. Proclamation of a state of emergency. June 17, 2021.

December, 2019, Southern California Edison reported 158 of their circuits underwent a PSP event<sup>4</sup>. In Los Angeles County, two circuits had 4 PSPS events during that period, lasting an average of 35 to 38 hours. The total duration of the PSPS events lasted between 141 hours to 154 hours in 2019. In 2021, the Governor Of California declared that during extreme heat events the use of stationary generators shall be deemed an emergency use under California Code of Regulations (CCR), title 17, section 93115.4 sub. (a) (30) (A)(2). The number of Extreme Heat Events is likely to increase in California with the continuing change in climate the State is currently undergoing.

Power produced during PSPS or extreme heat events is expected to come from engines regulated by CARB and California's 35 air pollution control and air quality management districts (air districts). <sup>5</sup> Of particular concern are health effects related to emissions from diesel back-up engines. Diesel particulate matter (DPM) has been identified as a toxic air contaminant, composed of carbon particles and numerous organic compounds, including over forty known cancer-causing organic substances. The majority of DPM is small enough to be inhaled deep into the lungs and make them more susceptible to injury.

According to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) de-energization report<sup>6</sup> in October 2019, there were almost *806 PSPS events* (emphasis added) that impacted almost 973,000 customers (~7.5% of households in California) of which ~854,000 of them were residential customers, and the rest were commercial/industrial/medical baseline/other customers. CARB's data also indicated that on average each of these customers had about 43 hours of power outage in October 2019. <sup>7</sup> Using the actual emission factors for each diesel BUG engines in the air district's stationary BUGs database, CARB staff calculated that the 1,810 additional stationary running during a PSPS in October 2019 generated 126 tons of NOx, 8.3 tons or particulate matter, and 8.3 tons of DPM.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> SCAQMD. 2020. Proposed Amendment To Rules (PARS) 1110.2, 1470, and 1472. Dated December 10, 2020. http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/rule-book/Proposed-Rules/1110.2/1110-2\_1470\_1472/par1110-2\_1470\_wgm\_121020.pdf?sfvrsn=6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> CARB. 2019. Use of Back-up Engines For Electricity Generation During Public Safety Power Shutoff Events. October 25, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> <u>https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/deenergization/</u> as cited in CARB, 2020. Potential Emission Impact of Public Safety Power Shutoff (PSPS), Emission Impact: Additional Generator Usage associated With Power Outage..

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> CARB, 2020. Potential Emission Impact of Public Safety Power Shutoff (PSPS), Emission Impact: Additional Generator Usage associated With Power Outage..

For every PSPS or Extreme Heat Event (EHE) triggered during the operational phase of the project, significant concentrations of DPM will be released that are not accounted for in the City's analysis. In 2021, two EHEs have been declared so far. For the June 17, 2021 Extreme Heat Event, the period for which stationary generator owners were allowed to use their BUGs lasted 48 hours. For the July 9, 2021 EHE, the period for which stationary generator owners were allowed to use their BUGs lasted to use their BUGs lasted 72 hours. These two events would have tripled the calculated DPM emissions from the Project for the year if the project had been completed.

An EIR must be prepared that includes an analysis of the additional operation of the BUG that will occur at the project site that is not accounted for in the current air quality analysis.

## Conclusion

The facts identified and referenced in this comment letter lead me to reasonably conclude that the Project could result in significant unmitigated impacts if the addendum to the IS/MND is approved. The City must re-evaluate the significant impacts identified in this letter by requiring the preparation of a draft environmental impact report.

Sincerely,

lir JAMES J. J. CLARK, Ph.D

Attachment A: CV



Clark & Associates Environmental Consulting, Inc

Office 12405 Venice Blvd. Suite 331 Los Angeles, CA 90066

Phone 310-907-6165

Fax 310-398-7626

Email jclark.assoc@gmail.com

# James J. J. Clark, Ph.D.

Principal Toxicologist Toxicology/Exposure Assessment Modeling Risk Assessment/Analysis/Dispersion Modeling

#### Education:

- Ph.D., Environmental Health Science, University of California, 1995
- M.S., Environmental Health Science, University of California, 1993
- B.S., Biophysical and Biochemical Sciences, University of Houston, 1987

#### **Professional Experience:**

Dr. Clark is a well-recognized toxicologist, air modeler, and health scientist. He has 30 years of experience in researching the effects of environmental contaminants on human health including environmental fate and transport modeling (SCREEN3, AEROMOD, ISCST3, Johnson-Ettinger Vapor Intrusion Modeling, RESRAD, GENII); exposure assessment modeling (partitioning of contaminants in the environment as well as PBPK modeling); conducting and managing human health risk assessments for regulatory compliance and risk-based clean-up levels; and toxicological and medical literature research.

Significant projects performed by Dr. Clark include the following:

#### LITIGATION SUPPORT

Case: Pamela Butler Vs. Mallinckrodt, Inc. & Cotter Corporation. Case No.: 4:2018cv01701 United States District Court Eastern District of Missouri Eastern Division

Case: Kenneth Edward Koterba Vs. Mallinckrodt, Inc. & Cotter Corporation. Case No.: 4:2018cv01702 United States District Court Eastern District of Missouri Eastern Division

Case: Anthony Hines Vs. Mallinckrodt, Inc. & Cotter Corporation. Case No.: 4:2018cv01703 United States District Court Eastern District of Missouri Eastern Division

## Case: Emery David Walick, III Vs. Mallinckrodt, Inc. & Cotter Corporation. Case No.: 4:2018cv01704 United States District Court Eastern District of Missouri Eastern Division

#### Client: Humphrey, Farrington & McClain, P.C., Independence, Missouri

Dr. Clark performed a historical dose reconstruction for community members exposed to radioactive waste released into the environment from the St. Louis Air Port Site (SLAPS) and the Hazelwood Interim Storage Site (HISS). The releases resulted in impacts to soils, sediments, surface waters, and groundwater in the vicinity of the SLAPS and HISS sites. The analysis was performed in general accordance with the methods outlined by the Agency for Toxic Substances Control (ATSDR) for assessing radiation doses from historical source areas in North St. Louis County, Missouri.

#### **Case Result: Trial Pending**

Case: Don Strong, et al. vs. Republic Services, Inc., Bridgeton Landfill, LLC, vs. Cotter Corporation, N.S.L., Case No.: 17SL-CC01632-01 Circuit Court of St. Louis County, State of Missouri, Division 17

#### Client: Humphrey, Farrington & McClain, P.C., Independence, Missouri

Dr. Clark performed a historical dose reconstruction for community members from radiologically impacted material (RIM) releases from the adjacent West Lake Landfill. The analysis was performed in general accordance with the methods outlined by the Agency for Toxic Substances Control (ATSDR) for assessing radiation doses from historical source areas in North St. Louis County, Missouri.

#### Case Result: Settlement in favor of plaintiff.

Case: Arnold Goldstein, Hohn Covas, Gisela Janette La Bella, et al.. vs. Exxon Mobil Corporation, PBF Energy Inc., Torrance Refining Company LLC, et al., Case No.: 2:17-cv-02477DSF United States District Court for the Central District of California

Client: Sher Edlging, LLP, San Francisco, California and Matern Law Group, PC., El Segundo, California

Dr. Clark performed a historical dose reconstruction for community members from an active 700 acre petroleum refinery in Los Angeles. The analysis included a multi-year dispersion model was performed in general accordance with the methods outlined by the U.S. EPA and the SCAQMD for assessing the health impacts in Torrance, California. The results of the analysis are being used as the basis for injunctive relief for the communities surrounding the refinery.

**Case Result: Trial Pending** 

Case: Scott D. McClurg, et al. v. Mallinckrodt Inc. and Cotter Corporation. Lead Case No.: 4:12CV00361 AGF United States District Court Eastern District of Missouri Eastern Division

#### Client: Environmental Law Group, Birmingham, AL.

Dr. Clark performed a historical dose reconstruction for community members and workers exposed to radioactive waste released into the environment from the St. Louis Air Port Site (SLAPS) and the Hazelwood Interim Storage Site (HISS). The releases resulted in impacts to soils, sediments, surface waters, and groundwater in the vicinity of the SLAPS and HISS sites. The analysis included the incorporation of air dispersion modeling across the community to determine ground-level air concentrations and deposition of thorium and uranium isotopes and their respective daughter products. The dose reconstruction considered all relevant pathways to determine total doses of radiation received across the community from 1946 through 2017.

#### Case Result: Settlement in favor of plaintiff.

Case: Mary Ann Piccolo V. Headwaters Incorporated, et al. Seventh Judicial Court In and For Carbon County, State of Utah. Case No. 130700053

#### Client: Law Offices of Roy L. Mason. Annapolis, MD

Dr. Clark performed a dose assessment of an individual occupationally exposed to metals and silica from fly ash who later developed cancer. A review of the individual's medical and occupational history was performed to prepare opinions regarding his exposure and later development of cancer. Case Result: Settlement in favor of plaintiff.

Case: Tracey Coleman V. Headwaters Incorporated, et al. Seventh Judicial Court In and For Carbon County, State of Utah. Case No. 140902847

#### Client: Law Offices of Roy L. Mason. Annapolis, MD

Dr. Clark performed a dose assessment of an individual occupationally exposed to metals and silica from fly ash who later developed cancer. A review of the individual's medical and occupational history was performed to prepare opinions regarding his exposure and later development of cancer.

#### Case Result: Settlement in favor of plaintiff.

Case: David Dominguez and Amanda Dominguez V. Cytec Industries, Inc et al. Superior Court of the State Of California for the County Of Los Angeles – Central Civil West. Civil Action. BC533123

#### Client: Rose, Klein, Marias, LLP, Long Beach, California

Dr. Clark performed a toxicological assessment of an individual occupationally exposed to hexavalent chromium who later developed cancer. A review of the individual's medical and occupational history was performed to prepare opinions regarding her exposure and later development of cancer.

#### Case Result: Settlement in favor of plaintiff.

#### SELECTED AIR MODELING RESEARCH/PROJECTS

#### Client(s) – Multiple

Indoor Air Evaluations, California: Performed multiple indoor air screening evaluations and risk characterizations consistent with California Environmental Protection Agency's (Cal/EPA) Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) and Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) methodologies. Characterizations included the use of DTSC's modified Johnson & Ettinger Model and USEPA models, as well as the attenuation factor model currently advocated by Cal/EPA's Office of Environmental Health and Hazard Assessment (OEHHA).

#### **Client – Confidential**

Dr. Clark performed a comprehensive evaluation of criteria pollutants, air toxins, and particulate matter emissions from a carbon black production facility to determine the impacts on the surrounding communities. The results of the dispersion model were used to estimate acute and chronic exposure concentrations to multiple contaminants and were be incorporated into a comprehensive risk evaluation.

#### Client - Confidential

Dr. Clark performed a comprehensive evaluation of air toxins and particulate matter emissions from a railroad tie manufacturing facility to determine the impacts on the surrounding communities. The results of the dispersion model have been used to estimate acute and chronic exposure concentrations to multiple contaminants and have been incorporated into a comprehensive risk evaluation.

#### EMERGING/PERSISTENT CONTAMINANT RESEARCH/PROJECTS

#### Client: City of Santa Clarita, Santa Clarita, California

Dr. Clark managed the oversight of the characterization, remediation and development activities of a former 1,000 acre munitions manufacturing facility for the City of Santa Clarita. The site is impacted with a number of contaminants including perchlorate, unexploded ordinance, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The site is currently under a number of regulatory consent orders, including an Immanent and Substantial Endangerment Order. Dr. Clark assisted the impacted municipality with the development of remediation strategies, interaction with the responsible parties and stakeholders, as well as interfacing with the regulatory agency responsible for oversight of the site cleanup.

#### Client - Confidential, Los Angeles, California

Dr. Clark is performing a comprehensive review of the potential for pharmaceuticals and their by-products to impact groundwater and surface water supplies. This evaluation will include a review if available data on the history of pharmaceutical production in the United States; the chemical characteristics of various pharmaceuticals; environmental fate and transport; uptake by xenobiotics; the potential effects of pharmaceuticals on water treatment systems; and the potential threat to public health. The results of the evaluation may be used as a briefing tool for non-public health professionals.

#### PUBLIC HEALTH/TOXICOLOGY

#### Client: Brayton Purcell, Novato, California

Dr. Clark performed a toxicological assessment of residents exposed to methyl-tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) from leaking underground storage tanks (LUSTs) adjacent to the subject property. The symptomology of residents and guests of the subject property were evaluated against the known outcomes in published literature to exposure to MTBE. The study found that residents had been exposed to MTBE in their drinking water; that concentrations of MTBE detected at the site were above regulatory guidelines; and, that the symptoms and outcomes expressed by residents and guests were consistent with symptoms and outcomes documented in published literature.

#### Client: Covanta Energy, Westwood, California

Evaluated health risk from metals in biosolids applied as soil amendment on agricultural lands. The biosolids were created at a forest waste cogeneration facility using 96% whole tree wood chips and 4 percent green waste. Mass loading calculations were used to estimate Cr(VI) concentrations in agricultural soils based on a maximum loading rate of 40 tons of biomass per acre of agricultural soil. The results of the study were used by the Regulatory agency to determine that the application of biosolids did not constitute a health risk to workers applying the biosolids or to residences near the agricultural lands.

#### Client: Kaiser Venture Incorporated, Fontana, California

Prepared PBPK assessment of lead risk of receptors at a 1,100-acre former steel mill. This evaluation was used as the basis for granting closure of the site by lead regulatory agency.

#### **RISK ASSESSMENTS/REMEDIAL INVESTIGATIONS**

#### Kaiser Ventures Incorporated, Fontana, California

Prepared health risk assessment of semi-volatile organic chemicals and metals for a fiftyyear old wastewater treatment facility used at a 1,100-acre former steel mill. This evaluation was used as the basis for granting closure of the site by lead regulatory agency.

#### ANR Freight - Los Angeles, California

Prepared a comprehensive Preliminary Endangerment Assessment (PEA) of petroleum hydrocarbon and metal contamination of a former freight depot. This evaluation was as the basis for reaching closure of the site with lead regulatory agency.

#### Kaiser Ventures Incorporated, Fontana, California

Prepared comprehensive health risk assessment of semi-volatile organic chemicals and metals for 23-acre parcel of a 1,100-acre former steel mill. The health risk assessment was used to determine clean up goals and as the basis for granting closure of the site by lead regulatory agency. Air dispersion modeling using ISCST3 was performed to determine downwind exposure point concentrations at sensitive receptors within a 1 kilometer radius of the site. The results of the health risk assessment were presented at a public meeting sponsored by the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) in the community potentially affected by the site.

#### **Unocal Corporation - Los Angeles, California**

Prepared comprehensive assessment of petroleum hydrocarbons and metals for a former petroleum service station located next to sensitive population center (elementary school). The assessment used a probabilistic approach to estimate risks to the community and was used as the basis for granting closure of the site by lead regulatory agency.

#### Client: Confidential, Los Angeles, California

Managed oversight of remedial investigation most contaminated heavy metal site in California. Lead concentrations in soil excess of 68,000,000 parts per billion (ppb) have been measured at the site. This State Superfund Site was a former hard chrome plating operation that operated for approximately 40-years.

#### Client: Confidential, San Francisco, California

Coordinator of regional monitoring program to determine background concentrations of metals in air. Acted as liaison with SCAQMD and CARB to perform co-location sampling and comparison of accepted regulatory method with ASTM methodology.

#### Client: Confidential, San Francisco, California

Analyzed historical air monitoring data for South Coast Air Basin in Southern California and potential health risks related to ambient concentrations of carcinogenic metals and volatile organic compounds. Identified and reviewed the available literature and calculated risks from toxins in South Coast Air Basin.

#### IT Corporation, North Carolina

Prepared comprehensive evaluation of potential exposure of workers to air-borne VOCs at hazardous waste storage facility under SUPERFUND cleanup decree. Assessment used in developing health based clean-up levels.

#### **Professional Associations**

American Public Health Association (APHA) Association for Environmental Health and Sciences (AEHS) American Chemical Society (ACS) International Society of Environmental Forensics (ISEF) Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC)

#### **Publications and Presentations:**

#### **Books and Book Chapters**

- Sullivan, P., J.J. J. Clark, F.J. Agardy, and P.E. Rosenfeld. (2007). *Synthetic Toxins In The Food, Water and Air of American Cities*. Elsevier, Inc. Burlington, MA.
- Sullivan, P. and J.J. J. Clark. 2006. Choosing Safer Foods, A Guide To Minimizing Synthetic Chemicals In Your Diet. Elsevier, Inc. Burlington, MA.
- Sullivan, P., Agardy, F.J., and J.J.J. Clark. 2005. The Environmental Science of Drinking Water. Elsevier, Inc. Burlington, MA.
- Sullivan, P.J., Agardy, F.J., Clark, J.J.J. 2002. America's Threatened Drinking Water: Hazards and Solutions. Trafford Publishing, Victoria B.C.
- Clark, J.J.J. 2001. "TBA: Chemical Properties, Production & Use, Fate and Transport, Toxicology, Detection in Groundwater, and Regulatory Standards" in *Oxygenates in the Environment*. Art Diaz, Ed.. Oxford University Press: New York.
- **Clark, J.J.J.** 2000. "Toxicology of Perchlorate" in *Perchlorate in the Environment*. Edward Urbansky, Ed. Kluwer/Plenum: New York.
- **Clark, J.J.J.** 1995. Probabilistic Forecasting of Volatile Organic Compound Concentrations At The Soil Surface From Contaminated Groundwater. UMI.

Baker, J.; Clark, J.J.J.; Stanford, J.T. 1994. Ex Situ Remediation of Diesel Contaminated Railroad Sand by Soil Washing. Principles and Practices for Diesel Contaminated Soils, Volume III. P.T. Kostecki, E.J. Calabrese, and C.P.L. Barkan, eds. Amherst Scientific Publishers, Amherst, MA. pp 89-96.

#### Journal and Proceeding Articles

- Tam L. K., Wu C. D., Clark J. J. and Rosenfeld, P.E. (2008) A Statistical Analysis Of Attic Dust And Blood Lipid Concentrations Of Tetrachloro-p-Dibenzodioxin (TCDD) Toxicity Equialency Quotients (TEQ) In Two Populations Near Wood Treatment Facilities. Organohalogen Compounds, Volume 70 (2008) page 002254.
- Tam L. K., Wu C. D., Clark J. J. and Rosenfeld, P.E. (2008) Methods For CollectSamples For Assessing Dioxins And Other Environmental Contaminants In AtticDust: A Review. Organohalogen Compounds, Volume 70 (2008) page 000527
- Hensley A.R., Scott, A., Rosenfeld P.E., Clark, J.J.J. (2007). "Attic Dust And Human Blood Samples Collected Near A Former Wood Treatment Facility." *Environmental Research*. 105:194-199.
- Rosenfeld, P.E., Clark, J. J., Hensley, A.R., and Suffet, I.H. 2007. "The Use Of An Odor Wheel Classification For The Evaluation of Human Health Risk Criteria For Compost Facilities" Water Science & Technology. 55(5): 345-357.
- Hensley A.R., Scott, A., Rosenfeld P.E., Clark, J.J.J. 2006. "Dioxin Containing Attic Dust And Human Blood Samples Collected Near A Former Wood Treatment Facility." The 26th International Symposium on Halogenated Persistent Organic Pollutants – DIOXIN2006, August 21 – 25, 2006. Radisson SAS Scandinavia Hotel in Oslo Norway.
- Rosenfeld, P.E., Clark, J. J. and Suffet, I.H. 2005. "The Value Of An Odor Quality Classification Scheme For Compost Facility Evaluations" The U.S. Composting Council's 13<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference January 23 - 26, 2005, Crowne Plaza Riverwalk, San Antonio, TX.
- Rosenfeld, P.E., Clark, J. J. and Suffet, I.H. 2004. "The Value Of An Odor Quality Classification Scheme For Urban Odor" WEFTEC 2004. 77th Annual Technical Exhibition & Conference October 2 - 6, 2004, Ernest N. Morial Convention Center, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- Clark, J.J.J. 2003. "Manufacturing, Use, Regulation, and Occurrence of a Known Endocrine Disrupting Chemical (EDC), 2,4-Dichlorophnoxyacetic Acid (2,4-D) in California Drinking Water Supplies." National Groundwater Association Southwest Focus Conference: Water Supply and Emerging Contaminants. Minneapolis, MN. March 20, 2003.

- Rosenfeld, P. and J.J.J. Clark. 2003. "Understanding Historical Use, Chemical Properties, Toxicity, and Regulatory Guidance" National Groundwater Association Southwest Focus Conference: Water Supply and Emerging Contaminants. Phoenix, AZ. February 21, 2003.
- Clark, J.J.J., Brown A. 1999. Perchlorate Contamination: Fate in the Environment and Treatment Options. In Situ and On-Site Bioremediation, Fifth International Symposium. San Diego, CA, April, 1999.
- Clark, J.J.J. 1998. Health Effects of Perchlorate and the New Reference Dose (RfD). Proceedings From the Groundwater Resource Association Seventh Annual Meeting, Walnut Creek, CA, October 23, 1998.
- Browne, T., Clark, J.J.J. 1998. Treatment Options For Perchlorate In Drinking Water. Proceedings From the Groundwater Resource Association Seventh Annual Meeting, Walnut Creek, CA, October 23, 1998.
- Clark, J.J.J., Brown, A., Rodriguez, R. 1998. The Public Health Implications of MtBE and Perchlorate in Water: Risk Management Decisions for Water Purveyors. Proceedings of the National Ground Water Association, Anaheim, CA, June 3-4, 1998.
- Clark J.J.J., Brown, A., Ulrey, A. 1997. Impacts of Perchlorate On Drinking Water In The Western United States. U.S. EPA Symposium on Biological and Chemical Reduction of Chlorate and Perchlorate, Cincinnati, OH, December 5, 1997.
- Clark, J.J.J.; Corbett, G.E.; Kerger, B.D.; Finley, B.L.; Paustenbach, D.J. 1996. Dermal Uptake of Hexavalent Chromium In Human Volunteers: Measures of Systemic Uptake From Immersion in Water At 22 PPM. Toxicologist. 30(1):14.
- Dodge, D.G.; Clark, J.J.J.; Kerger, B.D.; Richter, R.O.; Finley, B.L.; Paustenbach, D.J. 1996. Assessment of Airborne Hexavalent Chromium In The Home Following Use of Contaminated Tapwater. Toxicologist. 30(1):117-118.
- Paulo, M.T.; Gong, H., Jr.; Clark, J.J.J. (1992). Effects of Pretreatment with Ipratroprium Bromide in COPD Patients Exposed to Ozone. American Review of Respiratory Disease. 145(4):A96.
- Harber, P.H.; Gong, H., Jr.; Lachenbruch, A.; Clark, J.; Hsu, P. (1992). Respiratory Pattern Effect of Acute Sulfur Dioxide Exposure in Asthmatics. American Review of Respiratory Disease. 145(4):A88.
- McManus, M.S.; Gong, H., Jr.; Clements, P.; Clark, J.J.J. (1991). Respiratory Response of Patients With Interstitial Lung Disease To Inhaled Ozone. American Review of Respiratory Disease. 143(4):A91.
- Gong, H., Jr.; Simmons, M.S.; McManus, M.S.; Tashkin, D.P.; Clark, V.A.; Detels, R.; Clark, J.J. (1990). Relationship Between Responses to Chronic Oxidant and Acute

Ozone Exposures in Residents of Los Angeles County. American Review of Respiratory Disease. 141(4):A70.

Tierney, D.F. and J.J.J. Clark. (1990). Lung Polyamine Content Can Be Increased By Spermidine Infusions Into Hyperoxic Rats. American Review of Respiratory Disease. 139(4):A41. Attachment B: CalEEMOD Analysis

Page 1 of 30

HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

## **HPMC** Parking Lot

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

## **1.0 Project Characteristics**

## 1.1 Land Usage

| Land Uses               | Size   | Metric   | Lot Acreage | Floor Surface Area | Population |
|-------------------------|--------|----------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| Medical Office Building | 102.78 | 1000sqft | 2.36        | 102,780.00         | 0          |

## **1.2 Other Project Characteristics**

| Urbanization               | Urban                    | Wind Speed (m/s)           | 2.2   | Precipitation Freq (Days)     | 33   |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|------|
| Climate Zone               | 11                       |                            |       | Operational Year              | 2023 |
| Utility Company            | Los Angeles Department o | f Water & Power            |       |                               |      |
| CO2 Intensity<br>(Ib/MWhr) | 1227.89                  | CH4 Intensity<br>(lb/MWhr) | 0.029 | N2O Intensity 0.<br>(Ib/MWhr) | 006  |

### **1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data**

CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2016.3.2

Page 2 of 30

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

Project Characteristics -

Land Use - Addition of 3 stories of medical suites to existing parking structure.

Construction Phase - Building Schedule Per MND

Off-road Equipment - Assumed use of one 100-ton mobile crane

Off-road Equipment - Assumed use of one 25-ton mobile crane

- Off-road Equipment Assumed use of one 25-ton mobile crane
- Trips and VMT Maximum of 80 workers anticipated in the peak time

Vehicle Trips - Weekday trip rates adjusted per traffic study. Weekend trip rates adjusted per CalEEMod default weekday/weekend ratios

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - As recommended by SCAQMD, alternative applciable strageies include construction equipment with Tier 3 emission standards.

Area Mitigation - Compliant with SCAQMD Rule 1113 - Architectural Coatings (<50 gms/Liter)

Water Mitigation -

## HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

| Table Name              | Column Name                                   | Default Value | New Value |
|-------------------------|---|---------------|-----------|
| tblAreaMitigation       | UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialExteriorV<br>alue | 100           | 50        |
| tblAreaMitigation       | UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialInteriorV alue    | 100           | 50        |
| tblAreaMitigation       | UseLowVOCPaintParkingCheck                    | False         | True      |
| tblAreaMitigation       | UseLowVOCPaintParkingValue                    | 100           | 50        |
| tblConstEquipMitigation | DPF   | No Change     | Level 3   |
| tblConstructionPhase    | NumDays                                       | 220.00        | 308.00    |
| tblConstructionPhase    | NumDays                                       | 220.00        | 44.00     |
| tblConstructionPhase    | PhaseEndDate                                  | 10/25/2022    | 9/8/2023  |
| tblConstructionPhase    | PhaseEndDate                                  | 11/10/2021    | 11/1/2021 |
| tblConstructionPhase    | PhaseStartDate                                | 10/12/2022    | 7/6/2022  |
| tblConstructionPhase    | PhaseStartDate                                | 10/14/2021    | 9/1/2021  |
| tblOffRoadEquipment     | HorsePower                                    | 231.00        | 130.00    |
| tblOffRoadEquipment     | HorsePower                                    | 231.00        | 375.00    |
| tblOffRoadEquipment     | HorsePower                                    | 231.00        | 130.00    |
| tblOffRoadEquipment     | OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount                    | 1.00          | 3.00      |
| tblOffRoadEquipment     | UsageHours                                    | 6.00          | 8.00      |
| tblTripsAndVMT          | WorkerTripNumber                              | 33.00         | 80.00     |
| tblTripsAndVMT          | WorkerTripNumber                              | 33.00         | 80.00     |
| tblTripsAndVMT          | WorkerTripNumber                              | 33.00         | 80.00     |
| tblVehicleTrips         | ST_TR   | 8.96          | 5.71      |
| tblVehicleTrips         | SU_TR   | 1.55          | 0.99      |
| tblVehicleTrips         | WD_TR   | 36.13         | 23.04     |

# 2.0 Emissions Summary

Page 4 of 30

## HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

## 2.1 Overall Construction

## Unmitigated Construction

|         | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e     |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Year    |        |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | МТ        | /yr    |        |          |
| 2021    | 0.1309 | 1.0674 | 0.9958 | 1.8900e-<br>003 | 0.0354           | 0.0522          | 0.0876        | 9.4900e-<br>003   | 0.0494           | 0.0589         | 0.0000   | 164.0182  | 164.0182  | 0.0281 | 0.0000 | 164.7210 |
| 2022    | 0.3585 | 2.5422 | 2.9944 | 5.8300e-<br>003 | 0.1574           | 0.1176          | 0.2750        | 0.0422            | 0.1129           | 0.1551         | 0.0000   | 501.9085  | 501.9085  | 0.0611 | 0.0000 | 503.4356 |
| 2023    | 0.1958 | 1.3808 | 1.7487 | 3.4000e-<br>003 | 0.0885           | 0.0614          | 0.1499        | 0.0237            | 0.0591           | 0.0828         | 0.0000   | 292.4479  | 292.4479  | 0.0340 | 0.0000 | 293.2974 |
| Maximum | 0.3585 | 2.5422 | 2.9944 | 5.8300e-<br>003 | 0.1574           | 0.1176          | 0.2750        | 0.0422            | 0.1129           | 0.1551         | 0.0000   | 501.9085  | 501.9085  | 0.0611 | 0.0000 | 503.4356 |

## Mitigated Construction

|         | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e     |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Year    |        |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | M         | Г/yr   |        |          |
| 2021    | 0.1309 | 1.0674 | 0.9958 | 1.8900e-<br>003 | 0.0354           | 0.0522          | 0.0876        | 9.4900e-<br>003   | 0.0494           | 0.0589         | 0.0000   | 164.0181  | 164.0181  | 0.0281 | 0.0000 | 164.7209 |
| 2022    | 0.3585 | 2.5422 | 2.9944 | 5.8300e-<br>003 | 0.1574           | 0.1176          | 0.2750        | 0.0422            | 0.1129           | 0.1551         | 0.0000   | 501.9081  | 501.9081  | 0.0611 | 0.0000 | 503.4353 |
| 2023    | 0.1958 | 1.3808 | 1.7487 | 3.4000e-<br>003 | 0.0885           | 0.0614          | 0.1499        | 0.0237            | 0.0591           | 0.0828         | 0.0000   | 292.4477  | 292.4477  | 0.0340 | 0.0000 | 293.2972 |
| Maximum | 0.3585 | 2.5422 | 2.9944 | 5.8300e-<br>003 | 0.1574           | 0.1176          | 0.2750        | 0.0422            | 0.1129           | 0.1551         | 0.0000   | 501.9081  | 501.9081  | 0.0611 | 0.0000 | 503.4353 |

## HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

|                      | ROG  | NOx  | со   | SO2  | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4  | N20  | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent<br>Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00             | 0.00            | 0.00          | 0.00              | 0.00             | 0.00           | 0.00     | 0.00     | 0.00      | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

| Quarter | Start Date | End Date   | Maximum Unmitigated ROG + NOX (tons/quarter) | Maximum Mitigated ROG + NOX (tons/quarter) |
|---------|------------|------------|--|--|
| 1       | 10-14-2021 | 1-13-2022  | 0.6255                                       | 0.6255                                     |
| 2       | 1-14-2022  | 4-13-2022  | 0.5618                                       | 0.5618                                     |
| 3       | 4-14-2022  | 7-13-2022  | 0.6208                                       | 0.6208                                     |
| 4       | 7-14-2022  | 10-13-2022 | 1.0990                                       | 1.0990                                     |
| 5       | 10-14-2022 | 1-13-2023  | 0.6202                                       | 0.6202                                     |
| 6       | 1-14-2023  | 4-13-2023  | 0.5632                                       | 0.5632                                     |
| 7       | 4-14-2023  | 7-13-2023  | 0.5679                                       | 0.5679                                     |
| 8       | 7-14-2023  | 9-30-2023  | 0.3557                                       | 0.3557                                     |
|         |            | Highest    | 1.0990                                       | 1.0990                                     |

Page 6 of 30

## HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

## 2.2 Overall Operational

## Unmitigated Operational

|          | ROG             | NOx             | СО              | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2       | Total CO2       | CH4             | N2O             | CO2e            |  |  |  |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Category | tons/yr         |                 |                 |                 |                  |                 |                 |                   |                  |                 |          | MT/yr           |                 |                 |                 |                 |  |  |  |
| Area     | 0.4192          | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 1.3100e-<br>003 | 0.0000          |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000   | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 0.0000          | 2.7200e-<br>003 |  |  |  |
| Energy   | 5.7700e-<br>003 | 0.0525          | 0.0441          | 3.1000e-<br>004 |                  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 3.9900e-<br>003 |                   | 3.9900e-<br>003  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 800.7022        | 800.7022        | 0.0187          | 4.6800e-<br>003 | 802.5634        |  |  |  |
| Mobile   | 0.4513          | 2.0096          | 5.6911          | 0.0210          | 1.7620           | 0.0160          | 1.7781          | 0.4723            | 0.0149           | 0.4872          | 0.0000   | 1,937.475<br>3  | 1,937.475<br>3  | 0.0972          | 0.0000          | 1,939.905<br>2  |  |  |  |
| Waste    | 19              |                 |                 |                 |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 225.3240 | 0.0000          | 225.3240        | 13.3163         | 0.0000          | 558.2307        |  |  |  |
| Water    |                 |                 |                 |                 |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 4.0916   | 108.7315        | 112.8231        | 0.4228          | 0.0105          | 126.5088        |  |  |  |
| Total    | 0.8762          | 2.0621          | 5.7365          | 0.0213          | 1.7620           | 0.0200          | 1.7821          | 0.4723            | 0.0189           | 0.4912          | 229.4156 | 2,846.911<br>6  | 3,076.327<br>2  | 13.8550         | 0.0151          | 3,427.210<br>8  |  |  |  |

Page 7 of 30

## HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

## 2.2 Overall Operational

## Mitigated Operational

|                      | ROG             | NOx           | (           | CO           | SO2             | Fugit<br>PM | tive<br>10    | Exhaust<br>PM10  | PM10<br>Total     | Fugit<br>PM2 | tive Ex<br>2.5 P  | haust<br>M2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total    | Bio          | o- CO2 | NBio- CO        | 2 Tota   | al CO2       | CH4           | ļ      | 120          | CO2e            |    |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|-----------------|----------|--------------|---------------|--------|--------------|-----------------|----|
| Category             |                 |               |             |              |                 |             | tons/         | /yr              |                   |              |                   |               |                   |              |        |                 |          | MT           | /yr           |        |              |                 |    |
| Area                 | 0.3953          | 1.0000<br>005 | e- 1.3<br>( | 100e-<br>003 | 0.0000          |             |               | 0.0000           | 0.0000            |              | 0.                | .0000         | 0.0000            | 0            | .0000  | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 2.5<br>0 | 500e-<br>003 | 1.0000<br>005 | )e- 0  | 0000         | 2.7200e-<br>003 |    |
| Energy               | 5.7700e-<br>003 | 0.052         | 5 0.(       | 0441         | 3.1000e-<br>004 |             |               | 3.9900e-<br>003  | 3.9900e-<br>003   |              | 3.9               | 9900e-<br>003 | 3.9900e-<br>003   | 0            | .0000  | 800.7022        | 800      | .7022        | 0.018         | 37 4.6 | 800e-<br>003 | 802.5634        |    |
| Mobile               | 0.4513          | 2.0090        | 6 5.6       | 6911         | 0.0210          | 1.76        | 520           | 0.0160           | 1.7781            | 0.47         | 23 0.             | .0149         | 0.4872            | 0            | .0000  | 1,937.47<br>3   | 5 1,93   | 37.475<br>3  | 0.097         | 2 0    | 0000         | 1,939.905<br>2  |    |
| Waste                | F;              |               |             |              |                 |             |               | 0.0000           | 0.0000            |              | 0.                | .0000         | 0.0000            | 22           | 5.3240 | 0.0000          | 225      | .3240        | 13.31         | 63 0   | 0000         | 558.2307        |    |
| Water                | F;              |               |             |              |                 |             |               | 0.0000           | 0.0000            |              | 0.                | .0000         | 0.0000            | 3            | .2733  | 89.0981         | 92.      | .3714        | 0.338         | 3 8.3  | 700e-<br>003 | 103.3243        |    |
| Total                | 0.8524          | 2.062         | 1 5.7       | 7365         | 0.0213          | 1.76        | 520           | 0.0200           | 1.7821            | 0.47         | /23 0.            | .0189         | 0.4912            | 22           | 8.5973 | 2,827.278<br>2  | 3 3,05   | 55.875<br>5  | 13.77         | 04 0   | 0131         | 3,404.026<br>3  |    |
|                      | ROG             |               | NOx         | C            | ;o \$           | 602         | Fugiti<br>PM1 | ive Exh<br>10 PN | aust PI<br>//10 T | M10<br>otal  | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exh<br>PN     | aust Pl<br>12.5 T | M2.5<br>otal | Bio- ( | CO2 NBi         | o-CO2    | Total        | CO2           | CH4    | N2           | 0 CO            | 2e |
| Percent<br>Reduction | 2.72            |               | 0.00        | 0.           | .00 0           | .00         | 0.0           | 0 0              | .00 0             | 0.00         | 0.00              | 0.            | 00 (              | 0.00         | 0.3    | 6 0             | .69      | 0.6          | 6             | 0.61   | 13.          | 75 0.6          | 38 |

## 3.0 Construction Detail

## **Construction Phase**

| Phase<br>Number | Phase Name            | Phase Type            | Start Date | End Date  | Num Days<br>Week | Num Days | Phase Description |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1               | Steel Structure       | Building Construction | 9/1/2021   | 11/1/2021 | 5                | 44       |                   |
| 2               | Building Construction | Building Construction | 11/24/2021 | 9/27/2022 | 5                | 220      |                   |
| 3               | Build-Out             | Building Construction | 7/6/2022   | 9/8/2023  | 5                | 308      |                   |
Page 8 of 30

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 0

#### Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

#### OffRoad Equipment

| Phase Name            | Offroad Equipment Type    | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Build-Out             | Air Compressors           | 1      | 6.00        | 78          | 0.48        |
| Steel Structure       | Cranes                    | 1      | 8.00        | 375         | 0.29        |
| Steel Structure       | Concrete/Industrial Saws  | 1      | 8.00        | 81          | 0.73        |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets            | 1      | 8.00        | 84          | 0.74        |
| Building Construction | Cranes                    | 1      | 8.00        | 130         | 0.29        |
| Building Construction | Forklifts                 | 2      | 7.00        | 89          | 0.20        |
| Build-Out             | Cranes                    | 1      | 8.00        | 130         | 0.29        |
| Steel Structure       | Forklifts                 | 2      | 7.00        | 89          | 0.20        |
| Build-Out             | Forklifts                 | 2      | 7.00        | 89          | 0.20        |
| Steel Structure       | Rubber Tired Dozers       | 1      | 8.00        | 247         | 0.40        |
| Steel Structure       | Generator Sets            | 1      | 8.00        | 84          | 0.74        |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 1      | 6.00        | 97          | 0.37        |
| Steel Structure       | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3      | 8.00        | 97          | 0.37        |
| Build-Out             | Generator Sets            | 1      | 8.00        | 84          | 0.74        |
| Build-Out             | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 1      | 6.00        | 97          | 0.37        |
| Steel Structure       | Welders                   | 3      | 8.00        | 46          | 0.45        |
| Build-Out             | Welders                   | 3      | 8.00        | 46          | 0.45        |
| Building Construction | Welders                   | 3      | 8.00        | 46          | 0.45        |

Page 9 of 30

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### Trips and VMT

| Phase Name            | Offroad Equipment<br>Count | Worker Trip<br>Number | Vendor Trip<br>Number | Hauling Trip<br>Number | Worker Trip<br>Length | Vendor Trip<br>Length | Hauling Trip<br>Length | Worker Vehicle<br>Class | Vendor<br>Vehicle Class | Hauling<br>Vehicle Class |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Steel Structure       | 12                         | 80.00                 | 17.00                 | 0.00                   | 14.70                 | 6.90                  | 20.00                  | LD_Mix                  | HDT_Mix                 | HHDT                     |
| Building Construction | 8                          | 80.00                 | 17.00                 | 0.00                   | 14.70                 | 6.90                  | 20.00                  | LD_Mix                  | HDT_Mix                 | HHDT                     |
| Build-Out             | 9                          | 80.00                 | 17.00                 | 0.00                   | 14.70                 | 6.90                  | 20.00                  | LD_Mix                  | HDT_Mix                 | HHDT                     |

#### **3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction**

Use DPF for Construction Equipment

Reduce Vehicle Speed on Unpaved Roads

#### 3.2 Steel Structure - 2021

|          | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e    |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | МТ        | /yr    |        |         |
| Off-Road | 0.0891 | 0.7932 | 0.6616 | 1.1100e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0406          | 0.0406        | 1<br>1<br>1       | 0.0383           | 0.0383         | 0.0000   | 94.4699   | 94.4699   | 0.0216 | 0.0000 | 95.0106 |
| Total    | 0.0891 | 0.7932 | 0.6616 | 1.1100e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0406          | 0.0406        |                   | 0.0383           | 0.0383         | 0.0000   | 94.4699   | 94.4699   | 0.0216 | 0.0000 | 95.0106 |

Page 10 of 30

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 3.2 Steel Structure - 2021

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG             | NOx             | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O    | CO2e    |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category |                 |                 |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | МТ        | /yr             |        |         |
| Hauling  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000   | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000  |
| Vendor   | 1.1600e-<br>003 | 0.0369          | 0.0100 | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 2.3600e-<br>003  | 8.0000e-<br>005 | 2.4300e-<br>003 | 6.8000e-<br>004   | 7.0000e-<br>005  | 7.5000e-<br>004 | 0.0000   | 9.2190    | 9.2190    | 5.7000e-<br>004 | 0.0000 | 9.2331  |
| Worker   | 7.5700e-<br>003 | 5.9000e-<br>003 | 0.0666 | 1.9000e-<br>004 | 0.0193           | 1.6000e-<br>004 | 0.0195          | 5.1200e-<br>003   | 1.5000e-<br>004  | 5.2700e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 17.4049   | 17.4049   | 5.1000e-<br>004 | 0.0000 | 17.4177 |
| Total    | 8.7300e-<br>003 | 0.0428          | 0.0766 | 2.9000e-<br>004 | 0.0217           | 2.4000e-<br>004 | 0.0219          | 5.8000e-<br>003   | 2.2000e-<br>004  | 6.0200e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 26.6239   | 26.6239   | 1.0800e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 26.6509 |

|          | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e    |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | MT        | /yr    |        |         |
| Off-Road | 0.0891 | 0.7932 | 0.6616 | 1.1100e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0406          | 0.0406        |                   | 0.0383           | 0.0383         | 0.0000   | 94.4698   | 94.4698   | 0.0216 | 0.0000 | 95.0104 |
| Total    | 0.0891 | 0.7932 | 0.6616 | 1.1100e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0406          | 0.0406        |                   | 0.0383           | 0.0383         | 0.0000   | 94.4698   | 94.4698   | 0.0216 | 0.0000 | 95.0104 |

Page 11 of 30

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 3.2 Steel Structure - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG             | NOx             | co     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O    | CO2e    |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category |                 |                 |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | МТ        | /yr             |        |         |
| Hauling  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000   | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000  |
| Vendor   | 1.1600e-<br>003 | 0.0369          | 0.0100 | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 2.3600e-<br>003  | 8.0000e-<br>005 | 2.4300e-<br>003 | 6.8000e-<br>004   | 7.0000e-<br>005  | 7.5000e-<br>004 | 0.0000   | 9.2190    | 9.2190    | 5.7000e-<br>004 | 0.0000 | 9.2331  |
| Worker   | 7.5700e-<br>003 | 5.9000e-<br>003 | 0.0666 | 1.9000e-<br>004 | 0.0193           | 1.6000e-<br>004 | 0.0195          | 5.1200e-<br>003   | 1.5000e-<br>004  | 5.2700e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 17.4049   | 17.4049   | 5.1000e-<br>004 | 0.0000 | 17.4177 |
| Total    | 8.7300e-<br>003 | 0.0428          | 0.0766 | 2.9000e-<br>004 | 0.0217           | 2.4000e-<br>004 | 0.0219          | 5.8000e-<br>003   | 2.2000e-<br>004  | 6.0200e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 26.6239   | 26.6239   | 1.0800e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 26.6509 |

3.3 Building Construction - 2021

|          | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O    | CO2e    |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | MT        | /yr             |        |         |
| Off-Road | 0.0275 | 0.2041 | 0.2089 | 3.2000e-<br>004 |                  | 0.0112          | 0.0112        |                   | 0.0108           | 0.0108         | 0.0000   | 25.9820   | 25.9820   | 4.7200e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 26.1000 |
| Total    | 0.0275 | 0.2041 | 0.2089 | 3.2000e-<br>004 |                  | 0.0112          | 0.0112        |                   | 0.0108           | 0.0108         | 0.0000   | 25.9820   | 25.9820   | 4.7200e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 26.1000 |

Page 12 of 30

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

# 3.3 Building Construction - 2021

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG             | NOx             | CO              | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O    | CO2e    |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category |                 |                 |                 |                 | ton              | s/yr            |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | МТ        | /yr             |        |         |
| Hauling  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000   | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000  |
| Vendor   | 7.4000e-<br>004 | 0.0235          | 6.3700e-<br>003 | 6.0000e-<br>005 | 1.5000e-<br>003  | 5.0000e-<br>005 | 1.5500e-<br>003 | 4.3000e-<br>004   | 5.0000e-<br>005  | 4.8000e-<br>004 | 0.0000   | 5.8666    | 5.8666    | 3.6000e-<br>004 | 0.0000 | 5.8756  |
| Worker   | 4.8200e-<br>003 | 3.7500e-<br>003 | 0.0424          | 1.2000e-<br>004 | 0.0123           | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0124          | 3.2600e-<br>003   | 9.0000e-<br>005  | 3.3500e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 11.0759   | 11.0759   | 3.3000e-<br>004 | 0.0000 | 11.0840 |
| Total    | 5.5600e-<br>003 | 0.0272          | 0.0487          | 1.8000e-<br>004 | 0.0138           | 1.5000e-<br>004 | 0.0139          | 3.6900e-<br>003   | 1.4000e-<br>004  | 3.8300e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 16.9425   | 16.9425   | 6.9000e-<br>004 | 0.0000 | 16.9596 |

|          | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O    | CO2e    |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | МТ        | '/yr            |        |         |
| Off-Road | 0.0275 | 0.2041 | 0.2089 | 3.2000e-<br>004 |                  | 0.0112          | 0.0112        |                   | 0.0108           | 0.0108         | 0.0000   | 25.9819   | 25.9819   | 4.7200e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 26.0999 |
| Total    | 0.0275 | 0.2041 | 0.2089 | 3.2000e-<br>004 |                  | 0.0112          | 0.0112        |                   | 0.0108           | 0.0108         | 0.0000   | 25.9819   | 25.9819   | 4.7200e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 26.0999 |

Page 13 of 30

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 3.3 Building Construction - 2021

# Mitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG             | NOx             | со              | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O    | CO2e    |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category |                 |                 |                 |                 | ton              | s/yr            |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | МТ        | /yr             |        |         |
| Hauling  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000   | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000  |
| Vendor   | 7.4000e-<br>004 | 0.0235          | 6.3700e-<br>003 | 6.0000e-<br>005 | 1.5000e-<br>003  | 5.0000e-<br>005 | 1.5500e-<br>003 | 4.3000e-<br>004   | 5.0000e-<br>005  | 4.8000e-<br>004 | 0.0000   | 5.8666    | 5.8666    | 3.6000e-<br>004 | 0.0000 | 5.8756  |
| Worker   | 4.8200e-<br>003 | 3.7500e-<br>003 | 0.0424          | 1.2000e-<br>004 | 0.0123           | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0124          | 3.2600e-<br>003   | 9.0000e-<br>005  | 3.3500e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 11.0759   | 11.0759   | 3.3000e-<br>004 | 0.0000 | 11.0840 |
| Total    | 5.5600e-<br>003 | 0.0272          | 0.0487          | 1.8000e-<br>004 | 0.0138           | 1.5000e-<br>004 | 0.0139          | 3.6900e-<br>003   | 1.4000e-<br>004  | 3.8300e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 16.9425   | 16.9425   | 6.9000e-<br>004 | 0.0000 | 16.9596 |

3.3 Building Construction - 2022

|          | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10                      | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e     |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | tons                                  | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | MT        | '/yr   |        |          |
| Off-Road | 0.1715 | 1.2950 | 1.4181 | 2.1600e-<br>003 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 0.0665          | 0.0665        | ;                 | 0.0637           | 0.0637         | 0.0000   | 178.1866  | 178.1866  | 0.0316 | 0.0000 | 178.9769 |
| Total    | 0.1715 | 1.2950 | 1.4181 | 2.1600e-<br>003 |                                       | 0.0665          | 0.0665        |                   | 0.0637           | 0.0637         | 0.0000   | 178.1866  | 178.1866  | 0.0316 | 0.0000 | 178.9769 |

Page 14 of 30

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

# 3.3 Building Construction - 2022

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG             | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O    | CO2e     |
|----------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|----------|
| Category |                 |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                 |          |           | MT        | /yr             |        |          |
| Hauling  | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000   | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000   |
| Vendor   | 4.7600e-<br>003 | 0.1530 | 0.0413 | 4.1000e-<br>004 | 0.0103           | 2.9000e-<br>004 | 0.0106        | 2.9700e-<br>003   | 2.7000e-<br>004  | 3.2400e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 39.8749   | 39.8749   | 2.3800e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 39.9345  |
| Worker   | 0.0310          | 0.0232 | 0.2676 | 8.1000e-<br>004 | 0.0842           | 6.7000e-<br>004 | 0.0848        | 0.0224            | 6.2000e-<br>004  | 0.0230          | 0.0000   | 73.2791   | 73.2791   | 2.0200e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 73.3295  |
| Total    | 0.0358          | 0.1763 | 0.3089 | 1.2200e-<br>003 | 0.0944           | 9.6000e-<br>004 | 0.0954        | 0.0253            | 8.9000e-<br>004  | 0.0262          | 0.0000   | 113.1540  | 113.1540  | 4.4000e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 113.2640 |

|          | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10                      | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e     |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | ton                                   | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | MT        | /yr    |        |          |
| Off-Road | 0.1715 | 1.2950 | 1.4181 | 2.1600e-<br>003 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 0.0665          | 0.0665        | ,                 | 0.0637           | 0.0637         | 0.0000   | 178.1864  | 178.1864  | 0.0316 | 0.0000 | 178.9767 |
| Total    | 0.1715 | 1.2950 | 1.4181 | 2.1600e-<br>003 |                                       | 0.0665          | 0.0665        |                   | 0.0637           | 0.0637         | 0.0000   | 178.1864  | 178.1864  | 0.0316 | 0.0000 | 178.9767 |

Page 15 of 30

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 3.3 Building Construction - 2022

# Mitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG             | NOx    | со     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O    | CO2e     |
|----------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|----------|
| Category |                 |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                 |          |           | МТ        | /yr             |        |          |
| Hauling  | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000   | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000   |
| Vendor   | 4.7600e-<br>003 | 0.1530 | 0.0413 | 4.1000e-<br>004 | 0.0103           | 2.9000e-<br>004 | 0.0106        | 2.9700e-<br>003   | 2.7000e-<br>004  | 3.2400e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 39.8749   | 39.8749   | 2.3800e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 39.9345  |
| Worker   | 0.0310          | 0.0232 | 0.2676 | 8.1000e-<br>004 | 0.0842           | 6.7000e-<br>004 | 0.0848        | 0.0224            | 6.2000e-<br>004  | 0.0230          | 0.0000   | 73.2791   | 73.2791   | 2.0200e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 73.3295  |
| Total    | 0.0358          | 0.1763 | 0.3089 | 1.2200e-<br>003 | 0.0944           | 9.6000e-<br>004 | 0.0954        | 0.0253            | 8.9000e-<br>004  | 0.0262          | 0.0000   | 113.1540  | 113.1540  | 4.4000e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 113.2640 |

3.4 Build-Out - 2022

|          | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e     |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | tons             | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | MT        | /yr    |        |          |
| Off-Road | 0.1274 | 0.9535 | 1.0615 | 1.6300e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0495          | 0.0495        |                   | 0.0477           | 0.0477         | 0.0000   | 135.1319  | 135.1319  | 0.0221 | 0.0000 | 135.6854 |
| Total    | 0.1274 | 0.9535 | 1.0615 | 1.6300e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0495          | 0.0495        |                   | 0.0477           | 0.0477         | 0.0000   | 135.1319  | 135.1319  | 0.0221 | 0.0000 | 135.6854 |

Page 16 of 30

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 3.4 Build-Out - 2022

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG             | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O    | CO2e    |
|----------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category |                 |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | МТ        | /yr             |        |         |
| Hauling  | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000   | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000  |
| Vendor   | 3.1700e-<br>003 | 0.1020 | 0.0275 | 2.7000e-<br>004 | 6.8500e-<br>003  | 1.9000e-<br>004 | 7.0400e-<br>003 | 1.9800e-<br>003   | 1.8000e-<br>004  | 2.1600e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 26.5833   | 26.5833   | 1.5900e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 26.6230 |
| Worker   | 0.0207          | 0.0155 | 0.1784 | 5.4000e-<br>004 | 0.0561           | 4.5000e-<br>004 | 0.0566          | 0.0149            | 4.1000e-<br>004  | 0.0153          | 0.0000   | 48.8527   | 48.8527   | 1.3500e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 48.8864 |
| Total    | 0.0238          | 0.1175 | 0.2059 | 8.1000e-<br>004 | 0.0630           | 6.4000e-<br>004 | 0.0636          | 0.0169            | 5.9000e-<br>004  | 0.0175          | 0.0000   | 75.4360   | 75.4360   | 2.9400e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 75.5093 |

|          | ROG    | NOx    | со     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e     |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | MT        | '/yr   |        |          |
| Off-Road | 0.1274 | 0.9535 | 1.0615 | 1.6300e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0495          | 0.0495        | ;                 | 0.0477           | 0.0477         | 0.0000   | 135.1317  | 135.1317  | 0.0221 | 0.0000 | 135.6852 |
| Total    | 0.1274 | 0.9535 | 1.0615 | 1.6300e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0495          | 0.0495        |                   | 0.0477           | 0.0477         | 0.0000   | 135.1317  | 135.1317  | 0.0221 | 0.0000 | 135.6852 |

Page 17 of 30

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 3.4 Build-Out - 2022

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG             | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O    | CO2e    |
|----------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| Category |                 |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | МТ        | /yr             |        |         |
| Hauling  | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000   | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000  |
| Vendor   | 3.1700e-<br>003 | 0.1020 | 0.0275 | 2.7000e-<br>004 | 6.8500e-<br>003  | 1.9000e-<br>004 | 7.0400e-<br>003 | 1.9800e-<br>003   | 1.8000e-<br>004  | 2.1600e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 26.5833   | 26.5833   | 1.5900e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 26.6230 |
| Worker   | 0.0207          | 0.0155 | 0.1784 | 5.4000e-<br>004 | 0.0561           | 4.5000e-<br>004 | 0.0566          | 0.0149            | 4.1000e-<br>004  | 0.0153          | 0.0000   | 48.8527   | 48.8527   | 1.3500e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 48.8864 |
| Total    | 0.0238          | 0.1175 | 0.2059 | 8.1000e-<br>004 | 0.0630           | 6.4000e-<br>004 | 0.0636          | 0.0169            | 5.9000e-<br>004  | 0.0175          | 0.0000   | 75.4360   | 75.4360   | 2.9400e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 75.5093 |

3.4 Build-Out - 2023

|          | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e     |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | tons             | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | МТ        | /yr    |        |          |
| Off-Road | 0.1652 | 1.2527 | 1.4832 | 2.2900e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0606          | 0.0606        |                   | 0.0584           | 0.0584         | 0.0000   | 190.0503  | 190.0503  | 0.0303 | 0.0000 | 190.8078 |
| Total    | 0.1652 | 1.2527 | 1.4832 | 2.2900e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0606          | 0.0606        |                   | 0.0584           | 0.0584         | 0.0000   | 190.0503  | 190.0503  | 0.0303 | 0.0000 | 190.8078 |

Page 18 of 30

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 3.4 Build-Out - 2023

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG             | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O    | CO2e     |
|----------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|----------|
| Category |                 |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | МТ        | /yr             |        |          |
| Hauling  | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000   | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000   |
| Vendor   | 3.3100e-<br>003 | 0.1084 | 0.0348 | 3.7000e-<br>004 | 9.6400e-<br>003  | 1.3000e-<br>004 | 9.7600e-<br>003 | 2.7800e-<br>003   | 1.2000e-<br>004  | 2.9000e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 36.2124   | 36.2124   | 1.9700e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 36.2617  |
| Worker   | 0.0273          | 0.0197 | 0.2307 | 7.3000e-<br>004 | 0.0789           | 6.1000e-<br>004 | 0.0795          | 0.0210            | 5.6000e-<br>004  | 0.0215          | 0.0000   | 66.1852   | 66.1852   | 1.7000e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 66.2278  |
| Total    | 0.0306          | 0.1281 | 0.2654 | 1.1000e-<br>003 | 0.0885           | 7.4000e-<br>004 | 0.0893          | 0.0237            | 6.8000e-<br>004  | 0.0244          | 0.0000   | 102.3977  | 102.3977  | 3.6700e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 102.4896 |

|          | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e     |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | MT        | /yr    |        |          |
| Off-Road | 0.1652 | 1.2527 | 1.4832 | 2.2900e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0606          | 0.0606        |                   | 0.0584           | 0.0584         | 0.0000   | 190.0500  | 190.0500  | 0.0303 | 0.0000 | 190.8076 |
| Total    | 0.1652 | 1.2527 | 1.4832 | 2.2900e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0606          | 0.0606        |                   | 0.0584           | 0.0584         | 0.0000   | 190.0500  | 190.0500  | 0.0303 | 0.0000 | 190.8076 |

Page 19 of 30

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 3.4 Build-Out - 2023

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG             | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O    | CO2e     |
|----------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------|----------|
| Category |                 |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | MT        | /yr             |        |          |
| Hauling  | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000   | 0.0000    | 0.0000    | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000   |
| Vendor   | 3.3100e-<br>003 | 0.1084 | 0.0348 | 3.7000e-<br>004 | 9.6400e-<br>003  | 1.3000e-<br>004 | 9.7600e-<br>003 | 2.7800e-<br>003   | 1.2000e-<br>004  | 2.9000e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 36.2124   | 36.2124   | 1.9700e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 36.2617  |
| Worker   | 0.0273          | 0.0197 | 0.2307 | 7.3000e-<br>004 | 0.0789           | 6.1000e-<br>004 | 0.0795          | 0.0210            | 5.6000e-<br>004  | 0.0215          | 0.0000   | 66.1852   | 66.1852   | 1.7000e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 66.2278  |
| Total    | 0.0306          | 0.1281 | 0.2654 | 1.1000e-<br>003 | 0.0885           | 7.4000e-<br>004 | 0.0893          | 0.0237            | 6.8000e-<br>004  | 0.0244          | 0.0000   | 102.3977  | 102.3977  | 3.6700e-<br>003 | 0.0000 | 102.4896 |

# 4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

Page 20 of 30

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

|             | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e           |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Category    |        |        |        |        | ton              | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | MT             | /yr    |        |                |
| Mitigated   | 0.4513 | 2.0096 | 5.6911 | 0.0210 | 1.7620           | 0.0160          | 1.7781        | 0.4723            | 0.0149           | 0.4872         | 0.0000   | 1,937.475<br>3 | 1,937.475<br>3 | 0.0972 | 0.0000 | 1,939.905<br>2 |
| Unmitigated | 0.4513 | 2.0096 | 5.6911 | 0.0210 | 1.7620           | 0.0160          | 1.7781        | 0.4723            | 0.0149           | 0.4872         | 0.0000   | 1,937.475<br>3 | 1,937.475<br>3 | 0.0972 | 0.0000 | 1,939.905<br>2 |

#### 4.2 Trip Summary Information

|                         | Aver     | age Daily Trip Ra | ate    | Unmitigated | Mitigated  |
|-------------------------|----------|-------------------|--------|-------------|------------|
| Land Use                | Weekday  | Saturday          | Sunday | Annual VMT  | Annual VMT |
| Medical Office Building | 2,368.05 | 586.87            | 101.75 | 4,642,593   | 4,642,593  |
| Total                   | 2,368.05 | 586.87            | 101.75 | 4,642,593   | 4,642,593  |

### 4.3 Trip Type Information

|                         |            | Miles      |             |            | Trip %     |             |         | Trip Purpos | e %     |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Land Use                | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | Primary | Diverted    | Pass-by |
| Medical Office Building | 16.60      | 8.40       | 6.90        | 29.60      | 51.40      | 19.00       | 60      | 30          | 10      |

# 4.4 Fleet Mix

| Land Use                | LDA      | LDT1     | LDT2     | MDV      | LHD1     | LHD2     | MHD      | HHD      | OBUS     | UBUS     | MCY      | SBUS     | MH       |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Medical Office Building | 0.545842 | 0.044768 | 0.205288 | 0.119317 | 0.015350 | 0.006227 | 0.020460 | 0.031333 | 0.002546 | 0.002133 | 0.005184 | 0.000692 | 0.000862 |

# 5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

Page 21 of 30

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

### 5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

|                            | ROG             | NOx    | СО     | SO2              | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O             | CO2e     |
|----------------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Category                   |                 |        |        |                  | ton              | s/yr            |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | MT        | /yr             |                 |          |
| Electricity<br>Mitigated   |                 |        |        |                  |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000   | 743.6061  | 743.6061  | 0.0176          | 3.6300e-<br>003 | 745.1280 |
| Electricity<br>Unmitigated | 61              |        | ,      | ,<br>,<br>,<br>, |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000   | 743.6061  | 743.6061  | 0.0176          | 3.6300e-<br>003 | 745.1280 |
| NaturalGas<br>Mitigated    | 5.7700e-<br>003 | 0.0525 | 0.0441 | 3.1000e-<br>004  |                  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 3.9900e-<br>003 |                   | 3.9900e-<br>003  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 57.0961   | 57.0961   | 1.0900e-<br>003 | 1.0500e-<br>003 | 57.4354  |
| NaturalGas<br>Unmitigated  | 5.7700e-<br>003 | 0.0525 | 0.0441 | 3.1000e-<br>004  |                  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 3.9900e-<br>003 |                   | 3.9900e-<br>003  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 57.0961   | 57.0961   | 1.0900e-<br>003 | 1.0500e-<br>003 | 57.4354  |

# 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

### <u>Unmitigated</u>

|                            | NaturalGa<br>s Use | ROG             | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O             | CO2e    |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Land Use                   | kBTU/yr            |                 |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | MT        | /yr             |                 |         |
| Medical Office<br>Building | 1.06994e<br>+006   | 5.7700e-<br>003 | 0.0525 | 0.0441 | 3.1000e-<br>004 |                  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 3.9900e-<br>003 |                   | 3.9900e-<br>003  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 57.0961   | 57.0961   | 1.0900e-<br>003 | 1.0500e-<br>003 | 57.4354 |
| Total                      |                    | 5.7700e-<br>003 | 0.0525 | 0.0441 | 3.1000e-<br>004 |                  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 3.9900e-<br>003 |                   | 3.9900e-<br>003  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 57.0961   | 57.0961   | 1.0900e-<br>003 | 1.0500e-<br>003 | 57.4354 |

Page 22 of 30

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

# 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

|                            | NaturalGa<br>s Use | ROG             | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O             | CO2e    |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Land Use                   | kBTU/yr            |                 |        |        |                 | ton              | s/yr            |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | MT        | /yr             |                 |         |
| Medical Office<br>Building | 1.06994e<br>+006   | 5.7700e-<br>003 | 0.0525 | 0.0441 | 3.1000e-<br>004 |                  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 3.9900e-<br>003 |                   | 3.9900e-<br>003  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 57.0961   | 57.0961   | 1.0900e-<br>003 | 1.0500e-<br>003 | 57.4354 |
| Total                      |                    | 5.7700e-<br>003 | 0.0525 | 0.0441 | 3.1000e-<br>004 |                  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 3.9900e-<br>003 |                   | 3.9900e-<br>003  | 3.9900e-<br>003 | 0.0000   | 57.0961   | 57.0961   | 1.0900e-<br>003 | 1.0500e-<br>003 | 57.4354 |

# 5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

<u>Unmitigated</u>

|                            | Electricity<br>Use | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O             | CO2e     |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|
| Land Use                   | kWh/yr             |           | ΜT     | 7/yr            |          |
| Medical Office<br>Building | 1.33511e<br>+006   | 743.6061  | 0.0176 | 3.6300e-<br>003 | 745.1280 |
| Total                      |                    | 743.6061  | 0.0176 | 3.6300e-<br>003 | 745.1280 |

Page 23 of 30

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

# 5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

# Mitigated

|                            | Electricity<br>Use | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O             | CO2e     |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|
| Land Use                   | kWh/yr             |           | ΜT     | 7/yr            |          |
| Medical Office<br>Building | 1.33511e<br>+006   | 743.6061  | 0.0176 | 3.6300e-<br>003 | 745.1280 |
| Total                      |                    | 743.6061  | 0.0176 | 3.6300e-<br>003 | 745.1280 |

# 6.0 Area Detail

#### 6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Interior Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Exterior Use Low VOC Cleaning Supplies Page 24 of 30

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

|             | ROG    | NOx             | со              | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2       | Total CO2       | CH4             | N2O    | CO2e            |
|-------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|
| Category    |        |                 |                 |        | ton              | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |                 | МТ              | /yr             |        |                 |
| Mitigated   | 0.3953 | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 1.3100e-<br>003 | 0.0000 |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000         | 0.0000   | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 0.0000 | 2.7200e-<br>003 |
| Unmitigated | 0.4192 | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 1.3100e-<br>003 | 0.0000 |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000         | 0.0000   | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 0.0000 | 2.7200e-<br>003 |

# 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### <u>Unmitigated</u>

|                          | ROG             | NOx             | СО              | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2       | Total CO2       | CH4             | N2O    | CO2e            |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory              |                 |                 |                 |        | ton              | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |                 | МТ              | '/yr            |        |                 |
| Architectural<br>Coating | 0.0476          |                 |                 |        |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 1                 | 0.0000           | 0.0000         | 0.0000   | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000          |
| Consumer<br>Products     | 0.3714          | ,               |                 | ,      |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000         | 0.0000   | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000          |
| Landscaping              | 1.2000e-<br>004 | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 1.3100e-<br>003 | 0.0000 |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000         | 0.0000   | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 0.0000 | 2.7200e-<br>003 |
| Total                    | 0.4192          | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 1.3100e-<br>003 | 0.0000 |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000         | 0.0000   | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 0.0000 | 2.7200e-<br>003 |

Page 25 of 30

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### Mitigated

|                          | ROG             | NOx             | со              | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2       | Total CO2       | CH4             | N2O    | CO2e            |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|
| SubCategory              |                 |                 |                 |        | ton              | s/yr            |               |                   |                  |                |          |                 | МТ              | /yr             |        |                 |
| Architectural<br>Coating | 0.0238          |                 |                 |        |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000         | 0.0000   | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000          |
| Consumer<br>Products     | 0.3714          |                 |                 |        |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000         | 0.0000   | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000          | 0.0000 | 0.0000          |
| Landscaping              | 1.2000e-<br>004 | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 1.3100e-<br>003 | 0.0000 |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000         | 0.0000   | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 0.0000 | 2.7200e-<br>003 |
| Total                    | 0.3953          | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 1.3100e-<br>003 | 0.0000 |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000        |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000         | 0.0000   | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 2.5500e-<br>003 | 1.0000e-<br>005 | 0.0000 | 2.7200e-<br>003 |

# 7.0 Water Detail

#### 7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet

Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet

Install Low Flow Toilet

Install Low Flow Shower

Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

Page 26 of 30

HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

|             | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O             | CO2e     |
|-------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|
| Category    |           | MT     | ī/yr            |          |
| Mitigated   | 92.3714   | 0.3383 | 8.3700e-<br>003 | 103.3243 |
| Unmitigated | 112.8231  | 0.4228 | 0.0105          | 126.5088 |

# 7.2 Water by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

|                            | Indoor/Out<br>door Use | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e     |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Land Use                   | Mgal                   |           | МТ     | /yr    |          |
| Medical Office<br>Building | 12.8969 /<br>2.45655   | 112.8231  | 0.4228 | 0.0105 | 126.5088 |
| Total                      |                        | 112.8231  | 0.4228 | 0.0105 | 126.5088 |

Page 27 of 30

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 7.2 Water by Land Use

## Mitigated

|                            | Indoor/Out<br>door Use | Total CO2 | CH4    | N2O             | CO2e     |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|
| Land Use                   | Mgal                   |           | МТ     | /yr             |          |
| Medical Office<br>Building | 10.3175 /<br>2.3067    | 92.3714   | 0.3383 | 8.3700e-<br>003 | 103.3243 |
| Total                      |                        | 92.3714   | 0.3383 | 8.3700e-<br>003 | 103.3243 |

# 8.0 Waste Detail

# 8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

### Category/Year

|             | Total CO2 | CH4     | N2O    | CO2e     |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
|             | MT/yr     |         |        |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mitigated   | 225.3240  | 13.3163 | 0.0000 | 558.2307 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unmitigated | 225.3240  | 13.3163 | 0.0000 | 558.2307 |  |  |  |  |  |

Page 28 of 30

Fuel Type

Load Factor

Horse Power

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

#### 8.2 Waste by Land Use

# <u>Unmitigated</u>

|                            | Waste<br>Disposed | Total CO2 | CH4     | N2O    | CO2e     |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|--|--|--|
| Land Use                   | tons              | MT/yr     |         |        |          |  |  |  |
| Medical Office<br>Building | 1110.02           | 225.3240  | 13.3163 | 0.0000 | 558.2307 |  |  |  |
| Total                      |                   | 225.3240  | 13.3163 | 0.0000 | 558.2307 |  |  |  |

#### Mitigated

|                            | Waste<br>Disposed | Total CO2 | CH4     | N2O    | CO2e     |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|
| Land Use                   | tons              |           | МТ      | /yr    |          |
| Medical Office<br>Building | 1110.02           | 225.3240  | 13.3163 | 0.0000 | 558.2307 |
| Total                      |                   | 225.3240  | 13.3163 | 0.0000 | 558.2307 |

# 9.0 Operational Offroad

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Days/Year |  |
|----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|--|
|----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|--|

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Annual

# **10.0 Stationary Equipment**

# Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

| Equipment Type | Number | Hours/Day | Hours/Year | Horse Power | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|----------------|--------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

#### <u>Boilers</u>

| Equipment Type | Number | Heat Input/Day | Heat Input/Year | Boiler Rating | Fuel Type |
|----------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|

#### User Defined Equipment

# 11.0 Vegetation

HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

# **HPMC** Parking Lot

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

# **1.0 Project Characteristics**

# 1.1 Land Usage

| Land Uses               | Size   | Metric   | Lot Acreage | Floor Surface Area | Population |
|-------------------------|--------|----------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| Medical Office Building | 102.78 | 1000sqft | 2.36        | 102,780.00         | 0          |

#### **1.2 Other Project Characteristics**

| Urbanization               | Urban Wind Speed (m/s)    |                            | 2.2   | Precipitation Freq (Days)    | 33    |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| Climate Zone               | 11                        |                            |       | Operational Year             | 2023  |
| Utility Company            | Los Angeles Department of | f Water & Power            |       |                              |       |
| CO2 Intensity<br>(lb/MWhr) | 1227.89                   | CH4 Intensity<br>(lb/MWhr) | 0.029 | N2O Intensity 0<br>(Ib/MWhr) | 0.006 |

#### **1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data**

Page 2 of 25

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

Project Characteristics -

Land Use - Addition of 3 stories of medical suites to existing parking structure.

Construction Phase - Building Schedule Per MND

Off-road Equipment - Assumed use of one 100-ton mobile crane

Off-road Equipment - Assumed use of one 25-ton mobile crane

- Off-road Equipment Assumed use of one 25-ton mobile crane
- Trips and VMT Maximum of 80 workers anticipated in the peak time

Vehicle Trips - Weekday trip rates adjusted per traffic study. Weekend trip rates adjusted per CalEEMod default weekday/weekend ratios

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - As recommended by SCAQMD, alternative applciable strageies include construction equipment with Tier 3 emission standards.

Area Mitigation - Compliant with SCAQMD Rule 1113 - Architectural Coatings (<50 gms/Liter)

Water Mitigation -

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

| Table Name              | Column Name                                   | Default Value | New Value |
|-------------------------|---|---------------|-----------|
| tblAreaMitigation       | UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialExteriorV<br>alue | 100           | 50        |
| tblAreaMitigation       | UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialInteriorV alue    | 100           | 50        |
| tblAreaMitigation       | UseLowVOCPaintParkingCheck                    | False         | True      |
| tblAreaMitigation       | UseLowVOCPaintParkingValue                    | 100           | 50        |
| tblConstEquipMitigation | DPF   | No Change     | Level 3   |
| tblConstructionPhase    | NumDays                                       | 220.00        | 308.00    |
| tblConstructionPhase    | NumDays                                       | 220.00        | 44.00     |
| tblConstructionPhase    | PhaseEndDate                                  | 10/25/2022    | 9/8/2023  |
| tblConstructionPhase    | PhaseEndDate                                  | 11/10/2021    | 11/1/2021 |
| tblConstructionPhase    | PhaseStartDate                                | 10/12/2022    | 7/6/2022  |
| tblConstructionPhase    | PhaseStartDate                                | 10/14/2021    | 9/1/2021  |
| tblOffRoadEquipment     | HorsePower                                    | 231.00        | 130.00    |
| tblOffRoadEquipment     | HorsePower                                    | 231.00        | 375.00    |
| tblOffRoadEquipment     | HorsePower                                    | 231.00        | 130.00    |
| tblOffRoadEquipment     | OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount                    | 1.00          | 3.00      |
| tblOffRoadEquipment     | UsageHours                                    | 6.00          | 8.00      |
| tblTripsAndVMT          | WorkerTripNumber                              | 33.00         | 80.00     |
| tblTripsAndVMT          | WorkerTripNumber                              | 33.00         | 80.00     |
| tblTripsAndVMT          | WorkerTripNumber                              | 33.00         | 80.00     |
| tblVehicleTrips         | ST_TR   | 8.96          | 5.71      |
| tblVehicleTrips         | SU_TR   | 1.55          | 0.99      |
| tblVehicleTrips         | WD_TR   | 36.13         | 23.04     |

# 2.0 Emissions Summary

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

#### 2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

#### **Unmitigated Construction**

|         | ROG    | NOx     | CO      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e           |
|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Year    | lb/day |         |         |        |                  |                 |               |                   | lb/d             | day            |          |                |                |        |        |                |
| 2021    | 4.4458 | 37.9420 | 33.7271 | 0.0639 | 1.0031           | 1.8537          | 2.8568        | 0.2685            | 1.7506           | 2.0191         | 0.0000   | 6,111.731<br>3 | 6,111.731<br>3 | 1.1380 | 0.0000 | 6,140.181<br>9 |
| 2022    | 4.5164 | 31.9527 | 38.1191 | 0.0743 | 2.0061           | 1.4860          | 3.4920        | 0.5370            | 1.4280           | 1.9650         | 0.0000   | 7,057.863<br>1 | 7,057.863<br>1 | 0.8460 | 0.0000 | 7,079.012<br>9 |
| 2023    | 2.1729 | 15.3022 | 19.5868 | 0.0382 | 1.0031           | 0.6820          | 1.6851        | 0.2685            | 0.6567           | 0.9251         | 0.0000   | 3,623.145<br>3 | 3,623.145<br>3 | 0.4166 | 0.0000 | 3,633.559<br>8 |
| Maximum | 4.5164 | 37.9420 | 38.1191 | 0.0743 | 2.0061           | 1.8537          | 3.4920        | 0.5370            | 1.7506           | 2.0191         | 0.0000   | 7,057.863<br>1 | 7,057.863<br>1 | 1.1380 | 0.0000 | 7,079.012<br>9 |

#### Mitigated Construction

|         | ROG    | NOx     | со      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e           |
|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Year    |        |         |         |        | lb/e             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/d           | lay    |        |                |
| 2021    | 4.4458 | 37.9420 | 33.7271 | 0.0639 | 1.0031           | 1.8537          | 2.8568        | 0.2685            | 1.7506           | 2.0191         | 0.0000   | 6,111.731<br>2 | 6,111.731<br>2 | 1.1380 | 0.0000 | 6,140.181<br>9 |
| 2022    | 4.5164 | 31.9527 | 38.1191 | 0.0743 | 2.0061           | 1.4860          | 3.4920        | 0.5370            | 1.4280           | 1.9650         | 0.0000   | 7,057.863<br>1 | 7,057.863<br>1 | 0.8460 | 0.0000 | 7,079.012<br>9 |
| 2023    | 2.1729 | 15.3022 | 19.5868 | 0.0382 | 1.0031           | 0.6820          | 1.6851        | 0.2685            | 0.6567           | 0.9251         | 0.0000   | 3,623.145<br>3 | 3,623.145<br>3 | 0.4166 | 0.0000 | 3,633.559<br>8 |
| Maximum | 4.5164 | 37.9420 | 38.1191 | 0.0743 | 2.0061           | 1.8537          | 3.4920        | 0.5370            | 1.7506           | 2.0191         | 0.0000   | 7,057.863<br>1 | 7,057.863<br>1 | 1.1380 | 0.0000 | 7,079.012<br>9 |

# Page 5 of 25

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

|                      | ROG  | NOx  | со   | SO2  | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4  | N20  | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent<br>Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00             | 0.00            | 0.00          | 0.00              | 0.00             | 0.00           | 0.00     | 0.00     | 0.00      | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Page 6 of 25

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

# 2.2 Overall Operational

# Unmitigated Operational

|          | ROG    | NOx             | со      | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2       | Total CO2       | CH4             | N2O             | CO2e            |
|----------|--------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Category |        |                 |         |                 | lb/o             | day             |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |                 | lb/c            | lay             |                 |                 |
| Area     | 2.2971 | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105  | 0.0000          |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225          | 0.0225          | 6.0000e-<br>005 |                 | 0.0240          |
| Energy   | 0.0316 | 0.2874          | 0.2414  | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218          |                   | 0.0218           | 0.0218          |          | 344.8638        | 344.8638        | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131        |
| Mobile   | 3.4810 | 14.0818         | 42.7326 | 0.1579          | 13.0613          | 0.1164          | 13.1778         | 3.4953            | 0.1083           | 3.6036          |          | 16,083.22<br>09 | 16,083.22<br>09 | 0.7826          |                 | 16,102.78<br>48 |
| Total    | 5.8097 | 14.3693         | 42.9845 | 0.1596          | 13.0613          | 0.1383          | 13.1997         | 3.4953            | 0.1302           | 3.6255          |          | 16,428.10<br>72 | 16,428.10<br>72 | 0.7892          | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 16,449.72<br>18 |

#### Mitigated Operational

|          | ROG    | NOx             | CO      | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2       | Total CO2       | CH4             | N2O             | CO2e            |
|----------|--------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Category |        |                 |         |                 | lb/              | day             |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |                 | lb/o            | day             |                 |                 |
| Area     | 2.1665 | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105  | 0.0000          |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225          | 0.0225          | 6.0000e-<br>005 |                 | 0.0240          |
| Energy   | 0.0316 | 0.2874          | 0.2414  | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218          |                   | 0.0218           | 0.0218          |          | 344.8638        | 344.8638        | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131        |
| Mobile   | 3.4810 | 14.0818         | 42.7326 | 0.1579          | 13.0613          | 0.1164          | 13.1778         | 3.4953            | 0.1083           | 3.6036          |          | 16,083.22<br>09 | 16,083.22<br>09 | 0.7826          |                 | 16,102.78<br>48 |
| Total    | 5.6792 | 14.3693         | 42.9845 | 0.1596          | 13.0613          | 0.1383          | 13.1997         | 3.4953            | 0.1302           | 3.6255          |          | 16,428.10<br>72 | 16,428.10<br>72 | 0.7892          | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 16,449.72<br>18 |

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

|                      | ROG  | NOx  | со   | SO2  | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4  | N20  | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent<br>Reduction | 2.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00             | 0.00            | 0.00          | 0.00              | 0.00             | 0.00           | 0.00     | 0.00     | 0.00      | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

# **3.0 Construction Detail**

#### **Construction Phase**

| Phase<br>Number | Phase Name            | Phase Type            | Start Date | End Date  | Num Days<br>Week | Num Days | Phase Description |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1               | Steel Structure       | Building Construction | 9/1/2021   | 11/1/2021 | 5                | 44       |                   |
| 2               | Building Construction | Building Construction | 11/24/2021 | 9/27/2022 | 5                | 220      |                   |
| 3               | Build-Out             | Building Construction | 7/6/2022   | 9/8/2023  | 5                | 308      |                   |

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 0

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

#### OffRoad Equipment

| HPMC Parking Lo | ot - Los Angeles-South | Coast County | , Summer |
|-----------------|------------------------|--------------|----------|
|                 |                        | 1            | ,        |

| Phase Name            | Offroad Equipment Type    | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Build-Out             | Air Compressors           | 1      | 6.00        | 78          | 0.48        |
| Steel Structure       | Cranes                    | 1      | 8.00        | 375         | 0.29        |
| Steel Structure       | Concrete/Industrial Saws  | 1      | 8.00        | 81          | 0.73        |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets            | 1      | 8.00        | 84          | 0.74        |
| Building Construction | Cranes                    | 1      | 8.00        | 130         | 0.29        |
| Building Construction | Forklifts                 | 2      | 7.00        | 89          | 0.20        |
| Build-Out             | Cranes                    | 1      | 8.00        | 130         | 0.29        |
| Steel Structure       | Forklifts                 | 2      | 7.00        | 89          | 0.20        |
| Build-Out             | Forklifts                 | 2      | 7.00        | 89          | 0.20        |
| Steel Structure       | Rubber Tired Dozers       | 1      | 8.00        | 247         | 0.40        |
| Steel Structure       | Generator Sets            | 1      | 8.00        | 84          | 0.74        |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 1      | 6.00        | 97          | 0.37        |
| Steel Structure       | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3      | 8.00        | 97          | 0.37        |
| Build-Out             | Generator Sets            | 1      | 8.00        | 84          | 0.74        |
| Build-Out             | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 1      | 6.00        | 97          | 0.37        |
| Steel Structure       | Welders                   | 3      | 8.00        | 46          | 0.45        |
| Build-Out             | Welders                   | 3      | 8.00        | 46          | 0.45        |
| Building Construction | Welders                   | 3      | 8.00        | 46          | 0.45        |

#### Trips and VMT

| Phase Name            | Offroad Equipment<br>Count | Worker Trip<br>Number | Vendor Trip<br>Number | Hauling Trip<br>Number | Worker Trip<br>Length | Vendor Trip<br>Length | Hauling Trip<br>Length | Worker Vehicle<br>Class | Vendor<br>Vehicle Class | Hauling<br>Vehicle Class |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Steel Structure       | 12                         | 80.00                 | 17.00                 | 0.00                   | 14.70                 | 6.90                  | 20.00                  | LD_Mix                  | HDT_Mix                 | HHDT                     |
| Building Construction | 8                          | 80.00                 | 17.00                 | 0.00                   | 14.70                 | 6.90                  | 20.00                  | LD_Mix                  | HDT_Mix                 | HHDT                     |
| Build-Out             | 9                          | 80.00                 | 17.00                 | 0.00                   | 14.70                 | 6.90                  | 20.00                  | LD_Mix                  | HDT_Mix                 | HHDT                     |

Page 9 of 25

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

#### **3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction**

Use DPF for Construction Equipment

Reduce Vehicle Speed on Unpaved Roads

# 3.2 Steel Structure - 2021

|          | ROG    | NOx     | CO      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/e             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 4.0512 | 36.0558 | 30.0734 | 0.0504 |                  | 1.8431          | 1.8431        |                   | 1.7407           | 1.7407         |          | 4,733.418<br>3 | 4,733.418<br>3 | 1.0837 |     | 4,760.509<br>6 |
| Total    | 4.0512 | 36.0558 | 30.0734 | 0.0504 |                  | 1.8431          | 1.8431        |                   | 1.7407           | 1.7407         |          | 4,733.418<br>3 | 4,733.418<br>3 | 1.0837 |     | 4,760.509<br>6 |

Page 10 of 25

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

#### 3.2 Steel Structure - 2021

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0517 | 1.6505 | 0.4315 | 4.3700e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 3.3800e-<br>003 | 0.1122        | 0.0313            | 3.2300e-<br>003  | 0.0346         |          | 467.2971       | 467.2971       | 0.0275 |     | 467.9853       |
| Worker   | 0.3429 | 0.2357 | 3.2222 | 9.1500e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.2300e-<br>003 | 0.9014        | 0.2372            | 6.6600e-<br>003  | 0.2438         |          | 911.0159       | 911.0159       | 0.0268 |     | 911.6870       |
| Total    | 0.3946 | 1.8862 | 3.6537 | 0.0135          | 1.0031           | 0.0106          | 1.0137        | 0.2685            | 9.8900e-<br>003  | 0.2784         |          | 1,378.313<br>0 | 1,378.313<br>0 | 0.0544 |     | 1,379.672<br>3 |

|          | ROG    | NOx     | со      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 4.0512 | 36.0558 | 30.0734 | 0.0504 |                  | 1.8431          | 1.8431        |                   | 1.7407           | 1.7407         | 0.0000   | 4,733.418<br>3 | 4,733.418<br>3 | 1.0837 |     | 4,760.509<br>6 |
| Total    | 4.0512 | 36.0558 | 30.0734 | 0.0504 |                  | 1.8431          | 1.8431        |                   | 1.7407           | 1.7407         | 0.0000   | 4,733.418<br>3 | 4,733.418<br>3 | 1.0837 |     | 4,760.509<br>6 |

Page 11 of 25

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

#### 3.2 Steel Structure - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | day    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0517 | 1.6505 | 0.4315 | 4.3700e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 3.3800e-<br>003 | 0.1122        | 0.0313            | 3.2300e-<br>003  | 0.0346         |          | 467.2971       | 467.2971       | 0.0275 |     | 467.9853       |
| Worker   | 0.3429 | 0.2357 | 3.2222 | 9.1500e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.2300e-<br>003 | 0.9014        | 0.2372            | 6.6600e-<br>003  | 0.2438         |          | 911.0159       | 911.0159       | 0.0268 |     | 911.6870       |
| Total    | 0.3946 | 1.8862 | 3.6537 | 0.0135          | 1.0031           | 0.0106          | 1.0137        | 0.2685            | 9.8900e-<br>003  | 0.2784         |          | 1,378.313<br>0 | 1,378.313<br>0 | 0.0544 |     | 1,379.672<br>3 |

3.3 Building Construction - 2021

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/c             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.9636 | 14.5776 | 14.9183 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.8018          | 0.8018        |                   | 0.7688           | 0.7688         |          | 2,045.729<br>0 | 2,045.729<br>0 | 0.3717 |     | 2,055.020<br>6 |
| Total    | 1.9636 | 14.5776 | 14.9183 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.8018          | 0.8018        |                   | 0.7688           | 0.7688         |          | 2,045.729<br>0 | 2,045.729<br>0 | 0.3717 |     | 2,055.020<br>6 |

Page 12 of 25

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

# 3.3 Building Construction - 2021

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/e             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | day    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0517 | 1.6505 | 0.4315 | 4.3700e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 3.3800e-<br>003 | 0.1122        | 0.0313            | 3.2300e-<br>003  | 0.0346         |          | 467.2971       | 467.2971       | 0.0275 |     | 467.9853       |
| Worker   | 0.3429 | 0.2357 | 3.2222 | 9.1500e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.2300e-<br>003 | 0.9014        | 0.2372            | 6.6600e-<br>003  | 0.2438         |          | 911.0159       | 911.0159       | 0.0268 |     | 911.6870       |
| Total    | 0.3946 | 1.8862 | 3.6537 | 0.0135          | 1.0031           | 0.0106          | 1.0137        | 0.2685            | 9.8900e-<br>003  | 0.2784         |          | 1,378.313<br>0 | 1,378.313<br>0 | 0.0544 |     | 1,379.672<br>3 |

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/o             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.9636 | 14.5776 | 14.9183 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.8018          | 0.8018        |                   | 0.7688           | 0.7688         | 0.0000   | 2,045.729<br>0 | 2,045.729<br>0 | 0.3717 |     | 2,055.020<br>6 |
| Total    | 1.9636 | 14.5776 | 14.9183 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.8018          | 0.8018        |                   | 0.7688           | 0.7688         | 0.0000   | 2,045.729<br>0 | 2,045.729<br>0 | 0.3717 |     | 2,055.020<br>6 |

Page 13 of 25

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

#### 3.3 Building Construction - 2021

# Mitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/o             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0517 | 1.6505 | 0.4315 | 4.3700e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 3.3800e-<br>003 | 0.1122        | 0.0313            | 3.2300e-<br>003  | 0.0346         |          | 467.2971       | 467.2971       | 0.0275 |     | 467.9853       |
| Worker   | 0.3429 | 0.2357 | 3.2222 | 9.1500e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.2300e-<br>003 | 0.9014        | 0.2372            | 6.6600e-<br>003  | 0.2438         |          | 911.0159       | 911.0159       | 0.0268 |     | 911.6870       |
| Total    | 0.3946 | 1.8862 | 3.6537 | 0.0135          | 1.0031           | 0.0106          | 1.0137        | 0.2685            | 9.8900e-<br>003  | 0.2784         |          | 1,378.313<br>0 | 1,378.313<br>0 | 0.0544 |     | 1,379.672<br>3 |

3.3 Building Construction - 2022

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/c             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.7862 | 13.4896 | 14.7717 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.6922          | 0.6922        |                   | 0.6639           | 0.6639         |          | 2,046.011<br>7 | 2,046.011<br>7 | 0.3630 |     | 2,055.086<br>4 |
| Total    | 1.7862 | 13.4896 | 14.7717 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.6922          | 0.6922        |                   | 0.6639           | 0.6639         |          | 2,046.011<br>7 | 2,046.011<br>7 | 0.3630 |     | 2,055.086<br>4 |

Page 14 of 25

# HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

# 3.3 Building Construction - 2022

## Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/e             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | day    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0485 | 1.5696 | 0.4083 | 4.3300e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 2.9500e-<br>003 | 0.1118        | 0.0313            | 2.8200e-<br>003  | 0.0342         |          | 463.2260       | 463.2260       | 0.0266 |     | 463.8906       |
| Worker   | 0.3212 | 0.2129 | 2.9728 | 8.8200e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.0000e-<br>003 | 0.9012        | 0.2372            | 6.4500e-<br>003  | 0.2436         |          | 878.9699       | 878.9699       | 0.0243 |     | 879.5764       |
| Total    | 0.3697 | 1.7825 | 3.3811 | 0.0132          | 1.0031           | 9.9500e-<br>003 | 1.0130        | 0.2685            | 9.2700e-<br>003  | 0.2778         |          | 1,342.195<br>9 | 1,342.195<br>9 | 0.0508 |     | 1,343.466<br>9 |

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | day    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.7862 | 13.4896 | 14.7717 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.6922          | 0.6922        |                   | 0.6639           | 0.6639         | 0.0000   | 2,046.011<br>7 | 2,046.011<br>7 | 0.3630 |     | 2,055.086<br>4 |
| Total    | 1.7862 | 13.4896 | 14.7717 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.6922          | 0.6922        |                   | 0.6639           | 0.6639         | 0.0000   | 2,046.011<br>7 | 2,046.011<br>7 | 0.3630 |     | 2,055.086<br>4 |
Page 15 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

#### 3.3 Building Construction - 2022

### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | со     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0485 | 1.5696 | 0.4083 | 4.3300e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 2.9500e-<br>003 | 0.1118        | 0.0313            | 2.8200e-<br>003  | 0.0342         |          | 463.2260       | 463.2260       | 0.0266 |     | 463.8906       |
| Worker   | 0.3212 | 0.2129 | 2.9728 | 8.8200e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.0000e-<br>003 | 0.9012        | 0.2372            | 6.4500e-<br>003  | 0.2436         |          | 878.9699       | 878.9699       | 0.0243 |     | 879.5764       |
| Total    | 0.3697 | 1.7825 | 3.3811 | 0.0132          | 1.0031           | 9.9500e-<br>003 | 1.0130        | 0.2685            | 9.2700e-<br>003  | 0.2778         |          | 1,342.195<br>9 | 1,342.195<br>9 | 0.0508 |     | 1,343.466<br>9 |

3.4 Build-Out - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/o             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.9907 | 14.8981 | 16.5853 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.7739          | 0.7739        |                   | 0.7456           | 0.7456         |          | 2,327.459<br>7 | 2,327.459<br>7 | 0.3813 |     | 2,336.992<br>6 |
| Total    | 1.9907 | 14.8981 | 16.5853 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.7739          | 0.7739        |                   | 0.7456           | 0.7456         |          | 2,327.459<br>7 | 2,327.459<br>7 | 0.3813 |     | 2,336.992<br>6 |

Page 16 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

#### 3.4 Build-Out - 2022

### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | со     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0485 | 1.5696 | 0.4083 | 4.3300e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 2.9500e-<br>003 | 0.1118        | 0.0313            | 2.8200e-<br>003  | 0.0342         |          | 463.2260       | 463.2260       | 0.0266 |     | 463.8906       |
| Worker   | 0.3212 | 0.2129 | 2.9728 | 8.8200e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.0000e-<br>003 | 0.9012        | 0.2372            | 6.4500e-<br>003  | 0.2436         |          | 878.9699       | 878.9699       | 0.0243 |     | 879.5764       |
| Total    | 0.3697 | 1.7825 | 3.3811 | 0.0132          | 1.0031           | 9.9500e-<br>003 | 1.0130        | 0.2685            | 9.2700e-<br>003  | 0.2778         |          | 1,342.195<br>9 | 1,342.195<br>9 | 0.0508 |     | 1,343.466<br>9 |

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/o             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.9907 | 14.8981 | 16.5853 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.7739          | 0.7739        |                   | 0.7456           | 0.7456         | 0.0000   | 2,327.459<br>7 | 2,327.459<br>7 | 0.3813 |     | 2,336.992<br>6 |
| Total    | 1.9907 | 14.8981 | 16.5853 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.7739          | 0.7739        |                   | 0.7456           | 0.7456         | 0.0000   | 2,327.459<br>7 | 2,327.459<br>7 | 0.3813 |     | 2,336.992<br>6 |

Page 17 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

#### 3.4 Build-Out - 2022

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/c             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0485 | 1.5696 | 0.4083 | 4.3300e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 2.9500e-<br>003 | 0.1118        | 0.0313            | 2.8200e-<br>003  | 0.0342         |          | 463.2260       | 463.2260       | 0.0266 |     | 463.8906       |
| Worker   | 0.3212 | 0.2129 | 2.9728 | 8.8200e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.0000e-<br>003 | 0.9012        | 0.2372            | 6.4500e-<br>003  | 0.2436         |          | 878.9699       | 878.9699       | 0.0243 |     | 879.5764       |
| Total    | 0.3697 | 1.7825 | 3.3811 | 0.0132          | 1.0031           | 9.9500e-<br>003 | 1.0130        | 0.2685            | 9.2700e-<br>003  | 0.2778         |          | 1,342.195<br>9 | 1,342.195<br>9 | 0.0508 |     | 1,343.466<br>9 |

3.4 Build-Out - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/d           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.8353 | 13.9186 | 16.4804 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.6738          | 0.6738        |                   | 0.6491           | 0.6491         |          | 2,327.717<br>1 | 2,327.717<br>1 | 0.3712 |     | 2,336.995<br>8 |
| Total    | 1.8353 | 13.9186 | 16.4804 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.6738          | 0.6738        |                   | 0.6491           | 0.6491         |          | 2,327.717<br>1 | 2,327.717<br>1 | 0.3712 |     | 2,336.995<br>8 |

Page 18 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

#### 3.4 Build-Out - 2023

### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0360 | 1.1910 | 0.3687 | 4.1900e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 1.3800e-<br>003 | 0.1102        | 0.0313            | 1.3100e-<br>003  | 0.0327         |          | 448.6426       | 448.6426       | 0.0236 |     | 449.2315       |
| Worker   | 0.3017 | 0.1926 | 2.7377 | 8.5000e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 6.8000e-<br>003 | 0.9010        | 0.2372            | 6.2600e-<br>003  | 0.2434         |          | 846.7856       | 846.7856       | 0.0219 |     | 847.3324       |
| Total    | 0.3376 | 1.3836 | 3.1064 | 0.0127          | 1.0031           | 8.1800e-<br>003 | 1.0112        | 0.2685            | 7.5700e-<br>003  | 0.2761         |          | 1,295.428<br>2 | 1,295.428<br>2 | 0.0454 |     | 1,296.564<br>0 |

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/c             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.8353 | 13.9186 | 16.4804 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.6738          | 0.6738        |                   | 0.6491           | 0.6491         | 0.0000   | 2,327.717<br>1 | 2,327.717<br>1 | 0.3712 |     | 2,336.995<br>8 |
| Total    | 1.8353 | 13.9186 | 16.4804 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.6738          | 0.6738        |                   | 0.6491           | 0.6491         | 0.0000   | 2,327.717<br>1 | 2,327.717<br>1 | 0.3712 |     | 2,336.995<br>8 |

Page 19 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

#### 3.4 Build-Out - 2023

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/o             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0360 | 1.1910 | 0.3687 | 4.1900e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 1.3800e-<br>003 | 0.1102        | 0.0313            | 1.3100e-<br>003  | 0.0327         |          | 448.6426       | 448.6426       | 0.0236 |     | 449.2315       |
| Worker   | 0.3017 | 0.1926 | 2.7377 | 8.5000e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 6.8000e-<br>003 | 0.9010        | 0.2372            | 6.2600e-<br>003  | 0.2434         |          | 846.7856       | 846.7856       | 0.0219 |     | 847.3324       |
| Total    | 0.3376 | 1.3836 | 3.1064 | 0.0127          | 1.0031           | 8.1800e-<br>003 | 1.0112        | 0.2685            | 7.5700e-<br>003  | 0.2761         |          | 1,295.428<br>2 | 1,295.428<br>2 | 0.0454 |     | 1,296.564<br>0 |

# 4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

Page 20 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

|             | ROG    | NOx     | CO      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2       | Total CO2       | CH4    | N2O | CO2e            |
|-------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category    |        |         |         |        | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                 | lb/c            | lay    |     |                 |
| Mitigated   | 3.4810 | 14.0818 | 42.7326 | 0.1579 | 13.0613          | 0.1164          | 13.1778       | 3.4953            | 0.1083           | 3.6036         |          | 16,083.22<br>09 | 16,083.22<br>09 | 0.7826 |     | 16,102.78<br>48 |
| Unmitigated | 3.4810 | 14.0818 | 42.7326 | 0.1579 | 13.0613          | 0.1164          | 13.1778       | 3.4953            | 0.1083           | 3.6036         |          | 16,083.22<br>09 | 16,083.22<br>09 | 0.7826 |     | 16,102.78<br>48 |

#### 4.2 Trip Summary Information

|                         | Aver     | age Daily Trip Ra | ite    | Unmitigated | Mitigated  |
|-------------------------|----------|-------------------|--------|-------------|------------|
| Land Use                | Weekday  | Saturday          | Sunday | Annual VMT  | Annual VMT |
| Medical Office Building | 2,368.05 | 586.87            | 101.75 | 4,642,593   | 4,642,593  |
| Total                   | 2,368.05 | 586.87            | 101.75 | 4,642,593   | 4,642,593  |

#### 4.3 Trip Type Information

|                         |            | Miles      |             |            | Trip %     |             |         | Trip Purpos | e %     |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Land Use                | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | Primary | Diverted    | Pass-by |
| Medical Office Building | 16.60      | 8.40       | 6.90        | 29.60      | 51.40      | 19.00       | 60      | 30          | 10      |

### 4.4 Fleet Mix

| Land Use                | LDA      | LDT1     | LDT2     | MDV      | LHD1     | LHD2     | MHD      | HHD      | OBUS     | UBUS     | MCY      | SBUS     | MH       |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Medical Office Building | 0.545842 | 0.044768 | 0.205288 | 0.119317 | 0.015350 | 0.006227 | 0.020460 | 0.031333 | 0.002546 | 0.002133 | 0.005184 | 0.000692 | 0.000862 |

# 5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

Page 21 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

### 5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

|                           | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O             | CO2e     |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Category                  |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | lay             |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | lb/c      | lay             |                 |          |
| NaturalGas<br>Mitigated   | 0.0316 | 0.2874 | 0.2414 | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218        |                   | 0.0218           | 0.0218         |          | 344.8638  | 344.8638  | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131 |
| NaturalGas<br>Unmitigated | 0.0316 | 0.2874 | 0.2414 | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218        |                   | 0.0218           | 0.0218         |          | 344.8638  | 344.8638  | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131 |

### 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

### <u>Unmitigated</u>

|                            | NaturalGa<br>s Use | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O             | CO2e     |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Land Use                   | kBTU/yr            |        |        |        |                 | lb/              | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | lb/d      | day             |                 |          |
| Medical Office<br>Building | 2931.34            | 0.0316 | 0.2874 | 0.2414 | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218        |                   | 0.0218           | 0.0218         |          | 344.8638  | 344.8638  | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131 |
| Total                      |                    | 0.0316 | 0.2874 | 0.2414 | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218        |                   | 0.0218           | 0.0218         |          | 344.8638  | 344.8638  | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131 |

CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2016.3.2

Page 22 of 25

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

# 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

|                            | NaturalGa<br>s Use | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O             | CO2e     |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Land Use                   | kBTU/yr            |        |        |        |                 | lb/              | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | lb/d      | day             |                 |          |
| Medical Office<br>Building | 2.93134            | 0.0316 | 0.2874 | 0.2414 | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218        | -<br>-<br>-<br>-  | 0.0218           | 0.0218         |          | 344.8638  | 344.8638  | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131 |
| Total                      |                    | 0.0316 | 0.2874 | 0.2414 | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218        |                   | 0.0218           | 0.0218         |          | 344.8638  | 344.8638  | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131 |

### 6.0 Area Detail

#### 6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Interior

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Exterior

Use Low VOC Cleaning Supplies

Page 23 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

|             | ROG    | NOx             | CO     | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O | CO2e   |
|-------------|--------|-----------------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|--------|
| Category    |        |                 |        |        | lb/d             | day             |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | lb/d      | day             |     |        |
| Mitigated   | 2.1665 | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105 | 0.0000 |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225    | 0.0225    | 6.0000e-<br>005 |     | 0.0240 |
| Unmitigated | 2.2971 | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105 | 0.0000 |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225    | 0.0225    | 6.0000e-<br>005 |     | 0.0240 |

# 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### <u>Unmitigated</u>

|                          | ROG             | NOx             | со     | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O | CO2e   |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|--------|
| SubCategory              |                 |                 |        |        | lb/o             | day             |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | lb/o      | day             |     |        |
| Architectural<br>Coating | 0.2610          |                 | 1      |        |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          |          |           | 0.0000    |                 |     | 0.0000 |
| Consumer<br>Products     | 2.0350          |                 |        |        |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          |          |           | 0.0000    |                 |     | 0.0000 |
| Landscaping              | 9.7000e-<br>004 | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105 | 0.0000 |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225    | 0.0225    | 6.0000e-<br>005 |     | 0.0240 |
| Total                    | 2.2970          | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105 | 0.0000 |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225    | 0.0225    | 6.0000e-<br>005 |     | 0.0240 |

Page 24 of 25

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

#### 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### **Mitigated**

|                          | ROG             | NOx             | со     | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O | CO2e   |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|--------|
| SubCategory              |                 |                 |        |        | lb/e             | day             |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | lb/d      | day             |     |        |
| Architectural<br>Coating | 0.1305          |                 |        |        |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          |          |           | 0.0000    |                 |     | 0.0000 |
| Consumer<br>Products     | 2.0350          |                 |        |        |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          |          |           | 0.0000    |                 |     | 0.0000 |
| Landscaping              | 9.7000e-<br>004 | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105 | 0.0000 |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225    | 0.0225    | 6.0000e-<br>005 |     | 0.0240 |
| Total                    | 2.1665          | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105 | 0.0000 |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225    | 0.0225    | 6.0000e-<br>005 |     | 0.0240 |

### 7.0 Water Detail

#### 7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet

Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet

Install Low Flow Toilet

Install Low Flow Shower

Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

# 8.0 Waste Detail

#### 8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

### 9.0 Operational Offroad

CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2016.3.2

Page 25 of 25

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Summer

| Equipment Type              | Number          | Hours/Day      | Days/Year       | Horse Power   | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
|                             |                 |                |                 |               |             |           |
| 10.0 Stationary Equipment   |                 |                |                 |               |             |           |
| Fire Pumps and Emergency Ge | <u>nerators</u> |                |                 |               |             |           |
| Equipment Type              | Number          | Hours/Day      | Hours/Year      | Horse Power   | Load Factor | Fuel Type |
| <u>Boilers</u>              |                 |                |                 |               |             |           |
| Equipment Type              | Number          | Heat Input/Day | Heat Input/Year | Boiler Rating | Fuel Type   |           |
| User Defined Equipment      |                 |                |                 |               |             | -         |
| Equipment Type              | Number          |                |                 |               |             |           |
|                             |                 | I              |                 |               |             |           |

11.0 Vegetation

HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

# **HPMC** Parking Lot

Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

# **1.0 Project Characteristics**

### 1.1 Land Usage

| Land Uses               | Size   | Metric   | Lot Acreage | Floor Surface Area | Population |
|-------------------------|--------|----------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| Medical Office Building | 102.78 | 1000sqft | 2.36        | 102,780.00         | 0          |

#### **1.2 Other Project Characteristics**

| Urbanization               | Urban                    | Wind Speed (m/s)           | 2.2   | Precipitation Freq (Days)     | 33   |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|------|
| Climate Zone               | 11                       |                            |       | Operational Year              | 2023 |
| Utility Company            | Los Angeles Department o | f Water & Power            |       |                               |      |
| CO2 Intensity<br>(Ib/MWhr) | 1227.89                  | CH4 Intensity<br>(Ib/MWhr) | 0.029 | N2O Intensity 0.<br>(Ib/MWhr) | 006  |

#### **1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data**

CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2016.3.2

Page 2 of 25

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

Project Characteristics -

Land Use - Addition of 3 stories of medical suites to existing parking structure.

Construction Phase - Building Schedule Per MND

Off-road Equipment - Assumed use of one 100-ton mobile crane

Off-road Equipment - Assumed use of one 25-ton mobile crane

- Off-road Equipment Assumed use of one 25-ton mobile crane
- Trips and VMT Maximum of 80 workers anticipated in the peak time

Vehicle Trips - Weekday trip rates adjusted per traffic study. Weekend trip rates adjusted per CalEEMod default weekday/weekend ratios

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - As recommended by SCAQMD, alternative applciable strageies include construction equipment with Tier 3 emission standards.

Area Mitigation - Compliant with SCAQMD Rule 1113 - Architectural Coatings (<50 gms/Liter)

Water Mitigation -

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

| Table Name              | Column Name                                   | Default Value | New Value |
|-------------------------|---|---------------|-----------|
| tblAreaMitigation       | UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialExteriorV<br>alue | 100           | 50        |
| tblAreaMitigation       | UseLowVOCPaintNonresidentialInteriorV alue    | 100           | 50        |
| tblAreaMitigation       | UseLowVOCPaintParkingCheck                    | False         | True      |
| tblAreaMitigation       | UseLowVOCPaintParkingValue                    | 100           | 50        |
| tblConstEquipMitigation | DPF   | No Change     | Level 3   |
| tblConstructionPhase    | NumDays                                       | 220.00        | 308.00    |
| tblConstructionPhase    | NumDays                                       | 220.00        | 44.00     |
| tblConstructionPhase    | PhaseEndDate                                  | 10/25/2022    | 9/8/2023  |
| tblConstructionPhase    | PhaseEndDate                                  | 11/10/2021    | 11/1/2021 |
| tblConstructionPhase    | PhaseStartDate                                | 10/12/2022    | 7/6/2022  |
| tblConstructionPhase    | PhaseStartDate                                | 10/14/2021    | 9/1/2021  |
| tblOffRoadEquipment     | HorsePower                                    | 231.00        | 130.00    |
| tblOffRoadEquipment     | HorsePower                                    | 231.00        | 375.00    |
| tblOffRoadEquipment     | HorsePower                                    | 231.00        | 130.00    |
| tblOffRoadEquipment     | OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount                    | 1.00          | 3.00      |
| tblOffRoadEquipment     | UsageHours                                    | 6.00          | 8.00      |
| tblTripsAndVMT          | WorkerTripNumber                              | 33.00         | 80.00     |
| tblTripsAndVMT          | WorkerTripNumber                              | 33.00         | 80.00     |
| tblTripsAndVMT          | WorkerTripNumber                              | 33.00         | 80.00     |
| tblVehicleTrips         | ST_TR   | 8.96          | 5.71      |
| tblVehicleTrips         | SU_TR   | 1.55          | 0.99      |
| tblVehicleTrips         | WD_TR   | 36.13         | 23.04     |

# 2.0 Emissions Summary

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

#### 2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

#### **Unmitigated Construction**

|         | ROG    | NOx     | со      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e           |
|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Year    |        |         |         |        | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/d           | day    |        |                |
| 2021    | 4.4869 | 37.9638 | 33.4968 | 0.0632 | 1.0031           | 1.8538          | 2.8569        | 0.2685            | 1.7507           | 2.0192         | 0.0000   | 6,045.706<br>6 | 6,045.706<br>6 | 1.1382 | 0.0000 | 6,074.162<br>4 |
| 2022    | 4.5953 | 31.9896 | 37.6874 | 0.0730 | 2.0061           | 1.4861          | 3.4922        | 0.5370            | 1.4282           | 1.9652         | 0.0000   | 6,929.681<br>5 | 6,929.681<br>5 | 0.8465 | 0.0000 | 6,950.844<br>0 |
| 2023    | 2.2107 | 15.3172 | 19.3760 | 0.0376 | 1.0031           | 0.6821          | 1.6851        | 0.2685            | 0.6567           | 0.9252         | 0.0000   | 3,561.553<br>8 | 3,561.553<br>8 | 0.4166 | 0.0000 | 3,571.968<br>5 |
| Maximum | 4.5953 | 37.9638 | 37.6874 | 0.0730 | 2.0061           | 1.8538          | 3.4922        | 0.5370            | 1.7507           | 2.0192         | 0.0000   | 6,929.681<br>5 | 6,929.681<br>5 | 1.1382 | 0.0000 | 6,950.844<br>0 |

# Mitigated Construction

|         | ROG    | NOx     | со      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O    | CO2e           |
|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Year    |        |         |         |        | lb/              | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/d           | day    |        |                |
| 2021    | 4.4869 | 37.9638 | 33.4968 | 0.0632 | 1.0031           | 1.8538          | 2.8569        | 0.2685            | 1.7507           | 2.0192         | 0.0000   | 6,045.706<br>6 | 6,045.706<br>6 | 1.1382 | 0.0000 | 6,074.162<br>4 |
| 2022    | 4.5953 | 31.9896 | 37.6874 | 0.0730 | 2.0061           | 1.4861          | 3.4922        | 0.5370            | 1.4282           | 1.9652         | 0.0000   | 6,929.681<br>5 | 6,929.681<br>5 | 0.8465 | 0.0000 | 6,950.844<br>0 |
| 2023    | 2.2107 | 15.3172 | 19.3760 | 0.0376 | 1.0031           | 0.6821          | 1.6851        | 0.2685            | 0.6567           | 0.9252         | 0.0000   | 3,561.553<br>8 | 3,561.553<br>8 | 0.4166 | 0.0000 | 3,571.968<br>5 |
| Maximum | 4.5953 | 37.9638 | 37.6874 | 0.0730 | 2.0061           | 1.8538          | 3.4922        | 0.5370            | 1.7507           | 2.0192         | 0.0000   | 6,929.681<br>5 | 6,929.681<br>5 | 1.1382 | 0.0000 | 6,950.844<br>0 |

#### Page 5 of 25

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

|                      | ROG  | NOx  | со   | SO2  | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4  | N20  | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent<br>Reduction | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00             | 0.00            | 0.00          | 0.00              | 0.00             | 0.00           | 0.00     | 0.00     | 0.00      | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Page 6 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

### 2.2 Overall Operational

#### Unmitigated Operational

|          | ROG    | NOx             | со      | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2       | Total CO2       | CH4             | N2O             | CO2e            |
|----------|--------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Category |        |                 |         |                 | lb/o             | day             |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |                 | lb/c            | lay             |                 |                 |
| Area     | 2.2971 | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105  | 0.0000          |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225          | 0.0225          | 6.0000e-<br>005 |                 | 0.0240          |
| Energy   | 0.0316 | 0.2874          | 0.2414  | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218          |                   | 0.0218           | 0.0218          |          | 344.8638        | 344.8638        | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131        |
| Mobile   | 3.3689 | 14.3683         | 40.8492 | 0.1501          | 13.0613          | 0.1171          | 13.1784         | 3.4953            | 0.1089           | 3.6042          |          | 15,301.27<br>78 | 15,301.27<br>78 | 0.7829          |                 | 15,320.85<br>10 |
| Total    | 5.6975 | 14.6558         | 41.1011 | 0.1518          | 13.0613          | 0.1390          | 13.2003         | 3.4953            | 0.1308           | 3.6261          |          | 15,646.16<br>41 | 15,646.16<br>41 | 0.7896          | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 15,667.78<br>81 |

#### Mitigated Operational

|          | ROG    | NOx             | CO      | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2       | Total CO2       | CH4             | N2O             | CO2e            |
|----------|--------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Category |        |                 |         |                 | lb/              | day             |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |                 | lb/d            | day             |                 |                 |
| Area     | 2.1665 | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105  | 0.0000          |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225          | 0.0225          | 6.0000e-<br>005 |                 | 0.0240          |
| Energy   | 0.0316 | 0.2874          | 0.2414  | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218          |                   | 0.0218           | 0.0218          |          | 344.8638        | 344.8638        | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131        |
| Mobile   | 3.3689 | 14.3683         | 40.8492 | 0.1501          | 13.0613          | 0.1171          | 13.1784         | 3.4953            | 0.1089           | 3.6042          |          | 15,301.27<br>78 | 15,301.27<br>78 | 0.7829          |                 | 15,320.85<br>10 |
| Total    | 5.5670 | 14.6558         | 41.1011 | 0.1518          | 13.0613          | 0.1390          | 13.2003         | 3.4953            | 0.1308           | 3.6261          |          | 15,646.16<br>41 | 15,646.16<br>41 | 0.7896          | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 15,667.78<br>81 |

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

|                      | ROG  | NOx  | со   | SO2  | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio-CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4  | N20  | CO2e |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Percent<br>Reduction | 2.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00             | 0.00            | 0.00          | 0.00              | 0.00             | 0.00           | 0.00     | 0.00     | 0.00      | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

### **3.0 Construction Detail**

#### **Construction Phase**

| Phase<br>Number | Phase Name            | Phase Type            | Start Date | End Date  | Num Days<br>Week | Num Days | Phase Description |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1               | Steel Structure       | Building Construction | 9/1/2021   | 11/1/2021 | 5                | 44       |                   |
| 2               | Building Construction | Building Construction | 11/24/2021 | 9/27/2022 | 5                | 220      |                   |
| 3               | Build-Out             | Building Construction | 7/6/2022   | 9/8/2023  | 5                | 308      |                   |

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 0

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0; Striped Parking Area: 0 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

#### OffRoad Equipment

| HPMC Parking Lo | t - Los Angeles-South | Coast County, | Winter |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------|
|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------|

| Phase Name            | Offroad Equipment Type    | Amount | Usage Hours | Horse Power | Load Factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Build-Out             | Air Compressors           | 1      | 6.00        | 78          | 0.48        |
| Steel Structure       | Cranes                    | 1      | 8.00        | 375         | 0.29        |
| Steel Structure       | Concrete/Industrial Saws  | 1      | 8.00        | 81          | 0.73        |
| Building Construction | Generator Sets            | 1      | 8.00        | 84          | 0.74        |
| Building Construction | Cranes                    | 1      | 8.00        | 130         | 0.29        |
| Building Construction | Forklifts                 | 2      | 7.00        | 89          | 0.20        |
| Build-Out             | Cranes                    | 1      | 8.00        | 130         | 0.29        |
| Steel Structure       | Forklifts                 | 2      | 7.00        | 89          | 0.20        |
| Build-Out             | Forklifts                 | 2      | 7.00        | 89          | 0.20        |
| Steel Structure       | Rubber Tired Dozers       | 1      | 8.00        | 247         | 0.40        |
| Steel Structure       | Generator Sets            | 1      | 8.00        | 84          | 0.74        |
| Building Construction | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 1      | 6.00        | 97          | 0.37        |
| Steel Structure       | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 3      | 8.00        | 97          | 0.37        |
| Build-Out             | Generator Sets            | 1      | 8.00        | 84          | 0.74        |
| Build-Out             | Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes | 1      | 6.00        | 97          | 0.37        |
| Steel Structure       | Welders                   | 3      | 8.00        | 46          | 0.45        |
| Build-Out             | Welders                   | 3      | 8.00        | 46          | 0.45        |
| Building Construction | Welders                   | 3      | 8.00        | 46          | 0.45        |

#### Trips and VMT

| Phase Name            | Offroad Equipment<br>Count | Worker Trip<br>Number | Vendor Trip<br>Number | Hauling Trip<br>Number | Worker Trip<br>Length | Vendor Trip<br>Length | Hauling Trip<br>Length | Worker Vehicle<br>Class | Vendor<br>Vehicle Class | Hauling<br>Vehicle Class |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Steel Structure       | 12                         | 80.00                 | 17.00                 | 0.00                   | 14.70                 | 6.90                  | 20.00                  | LD_Mix                  | HDT_Mix                 | HHDT                     |
| Building Construction | 8                          | 80.00                 | 17.00                 | 0.00                   | 14.70                 | 6.90                  | 20.00                  | LD_Mix                  | HDT_Mix                 | HHDT                     |
| Build-Out             | 9                          | 80.00                 | 17.00                 | 0.00                   | 14.70                 | 6.90                  | 20.00                  | LD_Mix                  | HDT_Mix                 | HHDT                     |

CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2016.3.2

Page 9 of 25

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

#### **3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction**

Use DPF for Construction Equipment

Reduce Vehicle Speed on Unpaved Roads

# 3.2 Steel Structure - 2021

#### Unmitigated Construction On-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx     | CO      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/e             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 4.0512 | 36.0558 | 30.0734 | 0.0504 |                  | 1.8431          | 1.8431        |                   | 1.7407           | 1.7407         |          | 4,733.418<br>3 | 4,733.418<br>3 | 1.0837 |     | 4,760.509<br>6 |
| Total    | 4.0512 | 36.0558 | 30.0734 | 0.0504 |                  | 1.8431          | 1.8431        |                   | 1.7407           | 1.7407         |          | 4,733.418<br>3 | 4,733.418<br>3 | 1.0837 |     | 4,760.509<br>6 |

Page 10 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

#### 3.2 Steel Structure - 2021

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0543 | 1.6471 | 0.4773 | 4.2500e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 3.4800e-<br>003 | 0.1123        | 0.0313            | 3.3300e-<br>003  | 0.0347         |          | 454.4874       | 454.4874       | 0.0293 |     | 455.2209       |
| Worker   | 0.3815 | 0.2609 | 2.9461 | 8.6100e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.2300e-<br>003 | 0.9014        | 0.2372            | 6.6600e-<br>003  | 0.2438         |          | 857.8009       | 857.8009       | 0.0252 |     | 858.4319       |
| Total    | 0.4357 | 1.9080 | 3.4234 | 0.0129          | 1.0031           | 0.0107          | 1.0138        | 0.2685            | 9.9900e-<br>003  | 0.2785         |          | 1,312.288<br>3 | 1,312.288<br>3 | 0.0546 |     | 1,313.652<br>8 |

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 4.0512 | 36.0558 | 30.0734 | 0.0504 |                  | 1.8431          | 1.8431        |                   | 1.7407           | 1.7407         | 0.0000   | 4,733.418<br>3 | 4,733.418<br>3 | 1.0837 |     | 4,760.509<br>6 |
| Total    | 4.0512 | 36.0558 | 30.0734 | 0.0504 |                  | 1.8431          | 1.8431        |                   | 1.7407           | 1.7407         | 0.0000   | 4,733.418<br>3 | 4,733.418<br>3 | 1.0837 |     | 4,760.509<br>6 |

Page 11 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

#### 3.2 Steel Structure - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0543 | 1.6471 | 0.4773 | 4.2500e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 3.4800e-<br>003 | 0.1123        | 0.0313            | 3.3300e-<br>003  | 0.0347         |          | 454.4874       | 454.4874       | 0.0293 |     | 455.2209       |
| Worker   | 0.3815 | 0.2609 | 2.9461 | 8.6100e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.2300e-<br>003 | 0.9014        | 0.2372            | 6.6600e-<br>003  | 0.2438         |          | 857.8009       | 857.8009       | 0.0252 |     | 858.4319       |
| Total    | 0.4357 | 1.9080 | 3.4234 | 0.0129          | 1.0031           | 0.0107          | 1.0138        | 0.2685            | 9.9900e-<br>003  | 0.2785         |          | 1,312.288<br>3 | 1,312.288<br>3 | 0.0546 |     | 1,313.652<br>8 |

3.3 Building Construction - 2021

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx     | CO      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/c             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.9636 | 14.5776 | 14.9183 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.8018          | 0.8018        |                   | 0.7688           | 0.7688         |          | 2,045.729<br>0 | 2,045.729<br>0 | 0.3717 |     | 2,055.020<br>6 |
| Total    | 1.9636 | 14.5776 | 14.9183 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.8018          | 0.8018        |                   | 0.7688           | 0.7688         |          | 2,045.729<br>0 | 2,045.729<br>0 | 0.3717 |     | 2,055.020<br>6 |

Page 12 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

### 3.3 Building Construction - 2021

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/e             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | day    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0543 | 1.6471 | 0.4773 | 4.2500e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 3.4800e-<br>003 | 0.1123        | 0.0313            | 3.3300e-<br>003  | 0.0347         |          | 454.4874       | 454.4874       | 0.0293 |     | 455.2209       |
| Worker   | 0.3815 | 0.2609 | 2.9461 | 8.6100e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.2300e-<br>003 | 0.9014        | 0.2372            | 6.6600e-<br>003  | 0.2438         |          | 857.8009       | 857.8009       | 0.0252 |     | 858.4319       |
| Total    | 0.4357 | 1.9080 | 3.4234 | 0.0129          | 1.0031           | 0.0107          | 1.0138        | 0.2685            | 9.9900e-<br>003  | 0.2785         |          | 1,312.288<br>3 | 1,312.288<br>3 | 0.0546 |     | 1,313.652<br>8 |

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/o             | yay             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.9636 | 14.5776 | 14.9183 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.8018          | 0.8018        |                   | 0.7688           | 0.7688         | 0.0000   | 2,045.729<br>0 | 2,045.729<br>0 | 0.3717 |     | 2,055.020<br>6 |
| Total    | 1.9636 | 14.5776 | 14.9183 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.8018          | 0.8018        |                   | 0.7688           | 0.7688         | 0.0000   | 2,045.729<br>0 | 2,045.729<br>0 | 0.3717 |     | 2,055.020<br>6 |

Page 13 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

#### 3.3 Building Construction - 2021

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | day    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0543 | 1.6471 | 0.4773 | 4.2500e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 3.4800e-<br>003 | 0.1123        | 0.0313            | 3.3300e-<br>003  | 0.0347         |          | 454.4874       | 454.4874       | 0.0293 |     | 455.2209       |
| Worker   | 0.3815 | 0.2609 | 2.9461 | 8.6100e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.2300e-<br>003 | 0.9014        | 0.2372            | 6.6600e-<br>003  | 0.2438         |          | 857.8009       | 857.8009       | 0.0252 |     | 858.4319       |
| Total    | 0.4357 | 1.9080 | 3.4234 | 0.0129          | 1.0031           | 0.0107          | 1.0138        | 0.2685            | 9.9900e-<br>003  | 0.2785         |          | 1,312.288<br>3 | 1,312.288<br>3 | 0.0546 |     | 1,313.652<br>8 |

3.3 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/c             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/d           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.7862 | 13.4896 | 14.7717 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.6922          | 0.6922        | 1<br>1            | 0.6639           | 0.6639         |          | 2,046.011<br>7 | 2,046.011<br>7 | 0.3630 |     | 2,055.086<br>4 |
| Total    | 1.7862 | 13.4896 | 14.7717 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.6922          | 0.6922        |                   | 0.6639           | 0.6639         |          | 2,046.011<br>7 | 2,046.011<br>7 | 0.3630 |     | 2,055.086<br>4 |

Page 14 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

### 3.3 Building Construction - 2022

### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/o             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0509 | 1.5654 | 0.4518 | 4.2100e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 3.0500e-<br>003 | 0.1119        | 0.0313            | 2.9100e-<br>003  | 0.0343         |          | 450.4495       | 450.4495       | 0.0283 |     | 451.1572       |
| Worker   | 0.3583 | 0.2356 | 2.7134 | 8.3000e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.0000e-<br>003 | 0.9012        | 0.2372            | 6.4500e-<br>003  | 0.2436         |          | 827.6556       | 827.6556       | 0.0228 |     | 828.2253       |
| Total    | 0.4092 | 1.8010 | 3.1652 | 0.0125          | 1.0031           | 0.0101          | 1.0131        | 0.2685            | 9.3600e-<br>003  | 0.2779         |          | 1,278.105<br>0 | 1,278.105<br>0 | 0.0511 |     | 1,279.382<br>5 |

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | Jay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.7862 | 13.4896 | 14.7717 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.6922          | 0.6922        |                   | 0.6639           | 0.6639         | 0.0000   | 2,046.011<br>7 | 2,046.011<br>7 | 0.3630 |     | 2,055.086<br>4 |
| Total    | 1.7862 | 13.4896 | 14.7717 | 0.0225 |                  | 0.6922          | 0.6922        |                   | 0.6639           | 0.6639         | 0.0000   | 2,046.011<br>7 | 2,046.011<br>7 | 0.3630 |     | 2,055.086<br>4 |

Page 15 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

#### 3.3 Building Construction - 2022

### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | day    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0509 | 1.5654 | 0.4518 | 4.2100e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 3.0500e-<br>003 | 0.1119        | 0.0313            | 2.9100e-<br>003  | 0.0343         |          | 450.4495       | 450.4495       | 0.0283 |     | 451.1572       |
| Worker   | 0.3583 | 0.2356 | 2.7134 | 8.3000e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.0000e-<br>003 | 0.9012        | 0.2372            | 6.4500e-<br>003  | 0.2436         |          | 827.6556       | 827.6556       | 0.0228 |     | 828.2253       |
| Total    | 0.4092 | 1.8010 | 3.1652 | 0.0125          | 1.0031           | 0.0101          | 1.0131        | 0.2685            | 9.3600e-<br>003  | 0.2779         |          | 1,278.105<br>0 | 1,278.105<br>0 | 0.0511 |     | 1,279.382<br>5 |

3.4 Build-Out - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/o             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.9907 | 14.8981 | 16.5853 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.7739          | 0.7739        |                   | 0.7456           | 0.7456         |          | 2,327.459<br>7 | 2,327.459<br>7 | 0.3813 |     | 2,336.992<br>6 |
| Total    | 1.9907 | 14.8981 | 16.5853 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.7739          | 0.7739        |                   | 0.7456           | 0.7456         |          | 2,327.459<br>7 | 2,327.459<br>7 | 0.3813 |     | 2,336.992<br>6 |

Page 16 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

#### 3.4 Build-Out - 2022

### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0509 | 1.5654 | 0.4518 | 4.2100e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 3.0500e-<br>003 | 0.1119        | 0.0313            | 2.9100e-<br>003  | 0.0343         |          | 450.4495       | 450.4495       | 0.0283 |     | 451.1572       |
| Worker   | 0.3583 | 0.2356 | 2.7134 | 8.3000e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.0000e-<br>003 | 0.9012        | 0.2372            | 6.4500e-<br>003  | 0.2436         |          | 827.6556       | 827.6556       | 0.0228 |     | 828.2253       |
| Total    | 0.4092 | 1.8010 | 3.1652 | 0.0125          | 1.0031           | 0.0101          | 1.0131        | 0.2685            | 9.3600e-<br>003  | 0.2779         |          | 1,278.105<br>0 | 1,278.105<br>0 | 0.0511 |     | 1,279.382<br>5 |

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/c             | lay             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.9907 | 14.8981 | 16.5853 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.7739          | 0.7739        |                   | 0.7456           | 0.7456         | 0.0000   | 2,327.459<br>7 | 2,327.459<br>7 | 0.3813 |     | 2,336.992<br>6 |
| Total    | 1.9907 | 14.8981 | 16.5853 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.7739          | 0.7739        |                   | 0.7456           | 0.7456         | 0.0000   | 2,327.459<br>7 | 2,327.459<br>7 | 0.3813 |     | 2,336.992<br>6 |

Page 17 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

#### 3.4 Build-Out - 2022

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | day    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0509 | 1.5654 | 0.4518 | 4.2100e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 3.0500e-<br>003 | 0.1119        | 0.0313            | 2.9100e-<br>003  | 0.0343         |          | 450.4495       | 450.4495       | 0.0283 |     | 451.1572       |
| Worker   | 0.3583 | 0.2356 | 2.7134 | 8.3000e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 7.0000e-<br>003 | 0.9012        | 0.2372            | 6.4500e-<br>003  | 0.2436         |          | 827.6556       | 827.6556       | 0.0228 |     | 828.2253       |
| Total    | 0.4092 | 1.8010 | 3.1652 | 0.0125          | 1.0031           | 0.0101          | 1.0131        | 0.2685            | 9.3600e-<br>003  | 0.2779         |          | 1,278.105<br>0 | 1,278.105<br>0 | 0.0511 |     | 1,279.382<br>5 |

3.4 Build-Out - 2023

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/c             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.8353 | 13.9186 | 16.4804 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.6738          | 0.6738        |                   | 0.6491           | 0.6491         |          | 2,327.717<br>1 | 2,327.717<br>1 | 0.3712 |     | 2,336.995<br>8 |
| Total    | 1.8353 | 13.9186 | 16.4804 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.6738          | 0.6738        |                   | 0.6491           | 0.6491         |          | 2,327.717<br>1 | 2,327.717<br>1 | 0.3712 |     | 2,336.995<br>8 |

Page 18 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

#### 3.4 Build-Out - 2023

### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0378 | 1.1856 | 0.4015 | 4.0800e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 1.4500e-<br>003 | 0.1103        | 0.0313            | 1.3800e-<br>003  | 0.0327         |          | 436.4602       | 436.4602       | 0.0249 |     | 437.0833       |
| Worker   | 0.3375 | 0.2131 | 2.4941 | 8.0000e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 6.8000e-<br>003 | 0.9010        | 0.2372            | 6.2600e-<br>003  | 0.2434         |          | 797.3765       | 797.3765       | 0.0205 |     | 797.8895       |
| Total    | 0.3754 | 1.3987 | 2.8956 | 0.0121          | 1.0031           | 8.2500e-<br>003 | 1.0113        | 0.2685            | 7.6400e-<br>003  | 0.2761         |          | 1,233.836<br>7 | 1,233.836<br>7 | 0.0454 |     | 1,234.972<br>7 |

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx     | СО      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |         |         |        | lb/o             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Off-Road | 1.8353 | 13.9186 | 16.4804 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.6738          | 0.6738        |                   | 0.6491           | 0.6491         | 0.0000   | 2,327.717<br>1 | 2,327.717<br>1 | 0.3712 |     | 2,336.995<br>8 |
| Total    | 1.8353 | 13.9186 | 16.4804 | 0.0255 |                  | 0.6738          | 0.6738        |                   | 0.6491           | 0.6491         | 0.0000   | 2,327.717<br>1 | 2,327.717<br>1 | 0.3712 |     | 2,336.995<br>8 |

Page 19 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

#### 3.4 Build-Out - 2023

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

|          | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2      | Total CO2      | CH4    | N2O | CO2e           |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Category |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                | lb/c           | lay    |     |                |
| Hauling  | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000          | 0.0000           | 0.0000          | 0.0000        | 0.0000            | 0.0000           | 0.0000         |          | 0.0000         | 0.0000         | 0.0000 |     | 0.0000         |
| Vendor   | 0.0378 | 1.1856 | 0.4015 | 4.0800e-<br>003 | 0.1088           | 1.4500e-<br>003 | 0.1103        | 0.0313            | 1.3800e-<br>003  | 0.0327         |          | 436.4602       | 436.4602       | 0.0249 |     | 437.0833       |
| Worker   | 0.3375 | 0.2131 | 2.4941 | 8.0000e-<br>003 | 0.8942           | 6.8000e-<br>003 | 0.9010        | 0.2372            | 6.2600e-<br>003  | 0.2434         |          | 797.3765       | 797.3765       | 0.0205 |     | 797.8895       |
| Total    | 0.3754 | 1.3987 | 2.8956 | 0.0121          | 1.0031           | 8.2500e-<br>003 | 1.0113        | 0.2685            | 7.6400e-<br>003  | 0.2761         |          | 1,233.836<br>7 | 1,233.836<br>7 | 0.0454 |     | 1,234.972<br>7 |

# 4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

Page 20 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

|             | ROG    | NOx     | со      | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2       | Total CO2       | CH4    | N2O | CO2e            |
|-------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| Category    |        |         |         |        | lb/o             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |                 | lb/c            | lay    |     |                 |
| Mitigated   | 3.3689 | 14.3683 | 40.8492 | 0.1501 | 13.0613          | 0.1171          | 13.1784       | 3.4953            | 0.1089           | 3.6042         |          | 15,301.27<br>78 | 15,301.27<br>78 | 0.7829 |     | 15,320.85<br>10 |
| Unmitigated | 3.3689 | 14.3683 | 40.8492 | 0.1501 | 13.0613          | 0.1171          | 13.1784       | 3.4953            | 0.1089           | 3.6042         |          | 15,301.27<br>78 | 15,301.27<br>78 | 0.7829 |     | 15,320.85<br>10 |

#### 4.2 Trip Summary Information

|                         | Aver     | age Daily Trip Ra | ate    | Unmitigated | Mitigated  |
|-------------------------|----------|-------------------|--------|-------------|------------|
| Land Use                | Weekday  | Saturday          | Sunday | Annual VMT  | Annual VMT |
| Medical Office Building | 2,368.05 | 586.87            | 101.75 | 4,642,593   | 4,642,593  |
| Total                   | 2,368.05 | 586.87            | 101.75 | 4,642,593   | 4,642,593  |

#### 4.3 Trip Type Information

|                         |            | Miles      |             |            | Trip %     |             |         | Trip Purpos | e %     |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Land Use                | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | H-W or C-W | H-S or C-C | H-O or C-NW | Primary | Diverted    | Pass-by |
| Medical Office Building | 16.60      | 8.40       | 6.90        | 29.60      | 51.40      | 19.00       | 60      | 30          | 10      |

### 4.4 Fleet Mix

| Land Use                | LDA      | LDT1     | LDT2     | MDV      | LHD1     | LHD2     | MHD      | HHD      | OBUS     | UBUS     | MCY      | SBUS     | MH       |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Medical Office Building | 0.545842 | 0.044768 | 0.205288 | 0.119317 | 0.015350 | 0.006227 | 0.020460 | 0.031333 | 0.002546 | 0.002133 | 0.005184 | 0.000692 | 0.000862 |

# 5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

Page 21 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

### 5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

|                           | ROG    | NOx    | СО     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O             | CO2e     |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Category                  |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | lay             |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | lb/c      | lay             |                 |          |
| NaturalGas<br>Mitigated   | 0.0316 | 0.2874 | 0.2414 | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218        |                   | 0.0218           | 0.0218         |          | 344.8638  | 344.8638  | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131 |
| NaturalGas<br>Unmitigated | 0.0316 | 0.2874 | 0.2414 | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218        |                   | 0.0218           | 0.0218         |          | 344.8638  | 344.8638  | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131 |

### 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

### <u>Unmitigated</u>

|                            | NaturalGa<br>s Use | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O             | CO2e     |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Land Use                   | kBTU/yr            |        |        |        |                 | lb/              | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | lb/d      | day             |                 |          |
| Medical Office<br>Building | 2931.34            | 0.0316 | 0.2874 | 0.2414 | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218        |                   | 0.0218           | 0.0218         |          | 344.8638  | 344.8638  | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131 |
| Total                      |                    | 0.0316 | 0.2874 | 0.2414 | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218        |                   | 0.0218           | 0.0218         |          | 344.8638  | 344.8638  | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131 |

Page 22 of 25

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

# 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

|                            | NaturalGa<br>s Use | ROG    | NOx    | CO     | SO2             | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O             | CO2e     |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Land Use                   | kBTU/yr            |        |        |        |                 | lb/d             | day             |               |                   |                  |                |          |           | lb/d      | lay             |                 |          |
| Medical Office<br>Building | 2.93134            | 0.0316 | 0.2874 | 0.2414 | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218        | -<br>-<br>-<br>-  | 0.0218           | 0.0218         |          | 344.8638  | 344.8638  | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131 |
| Total                      |                    | 0.0316 | 0.2874 | 0.2414 | 1.7200e-<br>003 |                  | 0.0218          | 0.0218        |                   | 0.0218           | 0.0218         |          | 344.8638  | 344.8638  | 6.6100e-<br>003 | 6.3200e-<br>003 | 346.9131 |

### 6.0 Area Detail

#### 6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Interior

Use Low VOC Paint - Non-Residential Exterior

Use Low VOC Cleaning Supplies

Page 23 of 25

### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

|             | ROG    | NOx             | СО     | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O | CO2e   |
|-------------|--------|-----------------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|--------|
| Category    |        |                 |        |        | lb/e             | day             |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | lb/d      | day             |     |        |
| Mitigated   | 2.1665 | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105 | 0.0000 |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225    | 0.0225    | 6.0000e-<br>005 |     | 0.0240 |
| Unmitigated | 2.2971 | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105 | 0.0000 |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225    | 0.0225    | 6.0000e-<br>005 |     | 0.0240 |

# 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### <u>Unmitigated</u>

|                          | ROG             | NOx             | со     | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O | CO2e   |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|--------|
| SubCategory              |                 |                 |        |        | lb/o             | day             |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | lb/o      | day             |     |        |
| Architectural<br>Coating | 0.2610          |                 | 1      |        |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          |          |           | 0.0000    |                 |     | 0.0000 |
| Consumer<br>Products     | 2.0350          |                 |        |        |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          |          |           | 0.0000    |                 |     | 0.0000 |
| Landscaping              | 9.7000e-<br>004 | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105 | 0.0000 |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225    | 0.0225    | 6.0000e-<br>005 |     | 0.0240 |
| Total                    | 2.2970          | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105 | 0.0000 |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225    | 0.0225    | 6.0000e-<br>005 |     | 0.0240 |

Page 24 of 25

#### HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

#### 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### **Mitigated**

|                          | ROG             | NOx             | со     | SO2    | Fugitive<br>PM10 | Exhaust<br>PM10 | PM10<br>Total   | Fugitive<br>PM2.5 | Exhaust<br>PM2.5 | PM2.5<br>Total  | Bio- CO2 | NBio- CO2 | Total CO2 | CH4             | N2O | CO2e   |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----|--------|
| SubCategory              |                 |                 |        |        | lb/e             | day             |                 |                   |                  |                 |          |           | lb/o      | day             |     |        |
| Architectural<br>Coating | 0.1305          |                 |        |        |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          |          |           | 0.0000    |                 |     | 0.0000 |
| Consumer<br>Products     | 2.0350          |                 |        |        |                  | 0.0000          | 0.0000          |                   | 0.0000           | 0.0000          |          |           | 0.0000    |                 |     | 0.0000 |
| Landscaping              | 9.7000e-<br>004 | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105 | 0.0000 |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225    | 0.0225    | 6.0000e-<br>005 |     | 0.0240 |
| Total                    | 2.1665          | 1.0000e-<br>004 | 0.0105 | 0.0000 |                  | 4.0000e-<br>005 | 4.0000e-<br>005 |                   | 4.0000e-<br>005  | 4.0000e-<br>005 |          | 0.0225    | 0.0225    | 6.0000e-<br>005 |     | 0.0240 |

### 7.0 Water Detail

#### 7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet

Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet

Install Low Flow Toilet

Install Low Flow Shower

Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

# 8.0 Waste Detail

#### 8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

### 9.0 Operational Offroad

CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2016.3.2

Page 25 of 25

HPMC Parking Lot - Los Angeles-South Coast County, Winter

|          |                  | Days/Tear   | HOISE FOWER  | Load Factor   | Fuel Type   |
|----------|------------------|---|--|---|---|
|          |                  |   |  |   |   |
|          |                  |   |  |   |   |
| nerators |                  |   |  |   |   |
| Number   | Hours/Day        | Hours/Year  | Horse Power  | Load Factor   | Fuel Type   |
|          |                  |   |  |   |   |
| Number   | Heat Input/Day   | Heat Input/Year                                       | Boiler Rating  | Fuel Type   |   |
|          |                  |   |  |   |   |
| Number   |                  |   |  |   |   |
|          | Number<br>Number | nerators<br>Number Hours/Day<br>Number Heat Input/Day | nerators   Number Hours/Day Hours/Year   Number Heat Input/Day Heat Input/Year   Number Heat Input/Day Heat Input/Year | nerators   Number Hours/Day Hours/Year Horse Power   Number Heat Input/Day Heat Input/Year Boiler Rating   Number Number Heat Input/Day Heat Input/Year Boiler Rating | Number Hours/Day Hours/Year Horse Power Load Factor   Number Heat Input/Day Heat Input/Year Boiler Rating Fuel Type   Number Heat Input/Day Heat Input/Year Boiler Rating Fuel Type |

11.0 Vegetation
Applicant Copy Office: Downtown Application Invoice No: 76833



City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning





Scan this QR Code® with a barcode reading app on your Smartphone. Bookmark page for future reference.

# **City Planning Request**

NOTICE: The staff of the Planning Department will analyze your request and accord the same full and impartial consideration to your application, regardless of whether or not you obtain the services of anyone to represent you.

This filing fee is required by Chapter 1, Article 9, L.A.M.C.

If you have questions about this invoice, please contact the planner assigned to this case. To identify the assigned planner, please visit https://planning.lacity.org/pdiscaseinfo/ and enter the Case Number.

Receipt Number:071221EC0-FE802C6F-AEDC-4912-B193-D3E4048F4A2F, Amount:\$109.47, Paid Date:12/07/2021

Applicant: ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO - MARSHALL, AIDAN ( 650-5891660 )

Representative:

Project Address: 1321 N VIRGIL AVE, 90027

## NOTES:

#### APCC-2020-1764-SPE-SPP-SPR-1A

| Item  | Fee     | %    | Charged Fee |
|---|---------|------|-------------|
| Appeal by Aggrieved Parties Other than the Original Applicant * | \$89.00 | 100% | \$89.00     |
| Case Total  |         |      | \$89.00     |

| Item   | Charged Fee |  |  |
|--|-------------|--|--|
| *Fees Subject to Surcharges                              | \$89.00     |  |  |
| Fees Not Subject to Surcharges                           | \$0.00      |  |  |
|  |             |  |  |
| Plan & Land Use Fees Total                               | \$89.00     |  |  |
| Expediting Fee   | \$0.00      |  |  |
| Development Services Center Surcharge (3%)               | \$2.67      |  |  |
| City Planning Systems Development Surcharge (6%)         | \$5.34      |  |  |
| Operating Surcharge (7%)                                 | \$6.23      |  |  |
| General Plan Maintenance Surcharge (7%)                  | \$6.23      |  |  |
| Grand Total  | \$109.47    |  |  |
| Total Invoice  | \$109.47    |  |  |
| Total Overpayment Amount                                 | \$0.00      |  |  |
| Total Paid(this amount must equal the sum of all checks) | \$109.47    |  |  |

Council District: 13 Plan Area: Hollywood Processed by VIDAL, ANNA on 12/07/2021

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

**Building & Safety Copy** Office: Downtown Application Invoice No: 76833



City of Los Angeles Department of City Planning





Scan this QR Code® with a barcode reading app on your Smartphone. Bookmark page for future reference.

# **City Planning Request**

NOTICE: The staff of the Planning Department will analyze your request and accord the same full and impartial consideration to your application, regardless of whether or not you obtain the services of anyone to represent you.

This filing fee is required by Chapter 1, Article 9, L.A.M.C.

If you have questions about this invoice, please contact the planner assigned to this case. To identify the assigned planner, please visit https://planning.lacity.org/pdiscaseinfo/ and enter the Case Number.

Receipt Number:071221EC0-FE802C6F-AEDC-4912-B193-D3E4048F4A2F, Amount:\$109.47, Paid Date:12/07/2021

Applicant: ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO - MARSHALL, AIDAN ( 650-5891660 )

Representative:

Project Address: 1321 N VIRGIL AVE, 90027

### NOTES:

#### APCC-2020-1764-SPE-SPP-SPR-1A

| Item  | Fee     | %    | Charged Fee |
|---|---------|------|-------------|
| Appeal by Aggrieved Parties Other than the Original Applicant * | \$89.00 | 100% | \$89.00     |
| Case Total  |         |      | \$89.00     |

| Item   | Charged Fee |  |  |
|--|-------------|--|--|
| *Fees Subject to Surcharges                              | \$89.00     |  |  |
| Fees Not Subject to Surcharges                           | \$0.00      |  |  |
|  |             |  |  |
| Plan & Land Use Fees Total                               | \$89.00     |  |  |
| Expediting Fee   | \$0.00      |  |  |
| Development Services Center Surcharge (3%)               | \$2.67      |  |  |
| City Planning Systems Development Surcharge (6%)         | \$5.34      |  |  |
| Operating Surcharge (7%)                                 | \$6.23      |  |  |
| General Plan Maintenance Surcharge (7%)                  | \$6.23      |  |  |
| Grand Total  | \$109.47    |  |  |
| Total Invoice  | \$109.47    |  |  |
| Total Overpayment Amount                                 | \$0.00      |  |  |
| Total Paid(this amount must equal the sum of all checks) | \$109.47    |  |  |

Council District: 13 Plan Area: Hollywood Processed by VIDAL, ANNA on 12/07/2021

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_